Supplemental Material On "Additive Nonparametric Regression in the Presence of Endogenous Regressors" Deniz Ozabaci,¹ Daniel J. Henderson,² and Liangjun Su³

THIS APPENDIX PROVIDES PROOFS FOR SOME TECHNICAL LEMMAS IN THE ABOVE PA-PER.

Proof of Lemma B.1. By straightforward moment calculations, we can show that $E||Q_{n,PP}$ $-Q_{PP}||^2 = O\left(\kappa_1^2/n\right)$ under Assumption A1(i)-(ii) and A2(vi). Then (i) follows from Markov inequality. By Weyl inequality [e.g., Bernstein (2005, Theorem 8.4.11)] and the fact that $\lambda_{\max}(A) \leq ||A||$ for any symmetric matrix A (as $|\lambda_{\max}(A)|^2 = \lambda_{\max}(AA) \leq ||A||^2$), we have

$$
\lambda_{\min}(Q_{n,PP}) \leq \lambda_{\min}(Q_{PP}) + \lambda_{\max}(Q_{n,PP} - Q_{PP})
$$

$$
\leq \lambda_{\min}(Q_{PP}) + ||Q_{n,PP} - Q_{PP}|| = \lambda_{\min}(Q_{PP}) + op(1).
$$

Similarly,

$$
\lambda_{\min}(Q_{n,PP}) \geq \lambda_{\min}(Q_{PP}) + \lambda_{\min}(Q_{n,PP} - Q_{PP})
$$

$$
\geq \lambda_{\min}(Q_{PP}) - ||Q_{n,PP} - Q_{PP}|| = \lambda_{\min}(Q_{\kappa_1}) - op(1).
$$

Analogously, we can prove the second part of (ii) . Thus (ii) follows. By the submultiplicative property of the spectral norm, $(i)-(ii)$ and Assumption $A2(i)$,

$$
\left\| Q_{n,PP}^{-1} - Q_{PP}^{-1} \right\|_{\rm sp} = \left\| Q_{n,PP}^{-1} (Q_{PP} - Q_{n,PP}) Q_{PP}^{-1} \right\|_{\rm sp} \le \left\| Q_{n,PP}^{-1} \right\|_{\rm sp} \left\| Q_{PP} - Q_{n,PP} \right\|_{\rm sp} \left\| Q_{PP}^{-1} \right\|_{\rm sp}
$$

= $O_P(1) O_P\left(\kappa_1/n^{1/2}\right) O_P(1) = O_P\left(\kappa_1/n^{1/2}\right),$

where we use the fact that $||Q_{n,PF}^{-1}||$ $\Big\|_{\rm sp} = \left[\lambda_{\rm min} (Q_{n, PP}) \right]^{-1} = \left[\lambda_{\rm min} (Q_{PP}) + o_P \left(1 \right) \right]^{-1} = O_P \left(1 \right)$ by (ii) and Assumption $A2(i)$. Then (iii) follows. The proof of (iv) - (v) is analogous to that of $(i)-(ii)$ and thus omitted. \blacksquare

Proof of Lemma B.2. (i) By Assumption A1(i) and A2(i), $E \|\xi_{nl}\|^2 = n^{-2} \text{tr} \{\sum_{i=1}^n E(P_i P_i' U_{li}^2)\}$ $\leq n^{-1} (1 + d\kappa_1) \lambda_{\max} (Q_{PP,U_l}) = O(\kappa_1/n)$. Then $||\xi_{nl}||^2 = O_P(\kappa_1/n)$ by Markov inequality.

¹Deniz Ozabaci, Dept of Economics, State Univ of New York, Binghamton, NY 13902-6000, (607) 777-2572, Fax: (607) 777-2681, e-mail: dozabac1@binghamton.edu.

²Daniel J. Henderson, Dept of Economics, Finance and Legal Studies, Univ of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL 35487-0224, (205) 348-8991, Fax: (205) 348-0186, e-mail: djhender@cba.ua.edu.

³Corresponding author: Liangjun Su, School of Economics, Singapore Management University, 90 Stamford Road, Singapore, 178903; Tel: (65) 6828-0386; e-mail: ljsu@smu.edu.sg.

(*ii*) By the facts that $||a||_{\text{sp}}^2 = ||a||^2$ for any vector $a, |a'b| \le ||a|| ||b||$ for any two conformable vectors a and b and that $\varkappa' A \varkappa \leq \lambda_{\max}(A) ||\varkappa||^2$ for any p.s.d. matrix A and conformable vector \varkappa , Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, Lemma B.1(*ii*) and Assumptions A2(*iv*), we have

$$
\|\zeta_{nl}\|^2 = \|\zeta_{nl}\|_{\rm sp}^2 = \lambda_{\max} (\zeta_{nl}\zeta'_{nl})
$$

\n
$$
= \max_{\|x\|=1} n^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \varkappa' P_i P_j' \varkappa [m_l (\mathbf{Z}_i) - P_i' \alpha_l] [m_l (\mathbf{Z}_j) - P_j' \alpha_l]
$$

\n
$$
\leq \max_{\|x\|=1} \left\{ n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \varkappa' P_i P_i' \varkappa [m_l (\mathbf{Z}_i) - P_i' \alpha_l]^2 \right\}^{1/2} \right\}^2
$$

\n
$$
\leq O_P(\kappa_1^{-2\gamma}) \max_{\|x\|=1} \left\{ n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \varkappa' P_i P_i' \varkappa \right\} \leq O_P(\kappa_1^{-2\gamma}) \lambda_{\max} (Q_{n,PP}) = O_P(\kappa_1^{-2\gamma}).
$$

(*iii*) Noting that $X_{li} = m_l (\mathbf{Z}_i) + U_{li} = P'_i \alpha_l + U_{li} + [m_l (\mathbf{Z}_i) - P'_i \alpha_l],$ by Lemma B.1(*ii*), w.p.a.1 we have

$$
\tilde{\alpha}_{l} - \alpha_{l} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i} P'_{i} \right)^{-} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i} X_{li} - \alpha_{l}
$$
\n
$$
= Q_{n,PP}^{-1} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i} U_{li} + Q_{n,PP}^{-1} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i} \left[m_{l} (\mathbf{Z}_{i}) - P'_{i} \alpha_{l} \right]
$$
\n
$$
= Q_{n,PP}^{-1} \xi_{nl} + Q_{n,PP}^{-1} \zeta_{nl} \equiv a_{1l} + a_{2l}, \text{ say.}
$$
\n(C.1)

Note that $a_{1l} = Q_{\kappa_1}^{-1} \xi_{nl} + r_{1nl}$ where $r_{1nl} = \left(Q_{n,PP}^{-1} - Q_{PP}^{-1}\right) \xi_{nl}$ satisfies that

$$
||r_{1l}|| \leq = \left\{ \text{tr} \left[\left(Q_{n,PP}^{-1} - Q_{PP}^{-1} \right) \xi_{nl} \xi'_{nl} \left(Q_{n,PP}^{-1} - Q_{PP}^{-1} \right) \right] \right\}^{1/2}
$$

$$
\leq ||\xi_{nl}||_{\text{sp}} \left\| Q_{n,PP}^{-1} - Q_{PP}^{-1} \right\| = O_P(\kappa_1^{1/2}/n^{1/2}) O_P(\kappa_1^{1/2}/n^{1/2}) = O_P(\kappa_1/n)
$$

by Lemmas B.1(*iii*) and B.2(*i*). For a_{2l} , we have $a_{2l} = Q_{\kappa_1}^{-1} \zeta_{nl} + r_{2nl}$ where $r_{2nl} = (Q_{n\kappa_1}^{-1} - Q_{n\kappa_1}^{-1}) \zeta_{nl}$ satisfies that

$$
||r_{2l}|| \le ||\zeta_{nl}||_{\rm sp} ||Q_{n,PP}^{-1} - Q_{PP}^{-1}|| = O_P(\kappa_1^{-\gamma})O_P(\kappa_1^{1/2}/n^{1/2}) = O_P(\kappa_1^{-\gamma+1/2}/n^{1/2})
$$

by Lemmas B.1(*iii*) and B.2(*ii*). The result follows. \blacksquare

Proof of Lemma B.3. (i) We only prove the $r = 1$ case as the proof of the other case is almost identical. By the definition of U_{li} and (C.1), we can decompose $U_{li} - U_{li} = [X_{li} - \tilde{m}_l(\mathbf{Z}_i)] - U_{li}$ as follows

$$
\widetilde{U}_{li} - U_{li} = (\mu_l - \widetilde{\mu}_l) + \sum_{k=1}^{d_1} [m_{l,k} (Z_{1k,i}) - \widetilde{m}_{l,k} (Z_{1k,i})] + \sum_{k=1}^{d_2} [m_{l,d_1+k} (Z_{2k,i}) - \widetilde{m}_{l,d_1+k} (Z_{2k,i})]
$$
\n
$$
= -(\widetilde{\mu}_l - \mu_l) - \sum_{k=1}^{d_1} p^{\kappa_1} (Z_{1k,i})' \mathbb{S}_{1k} a_{1l} - \sum_{k=1}^{d_2} p^{\kappa_1} (Z_{2k,i})' \mathbb{S}_{1,d_1+k} a_{1l}
$$
\n
$$
- \sum_{k=1}^{d_1} p^{\kappa_1} (Z_{1k,i})' \mathbb{S}_{1k} a_{2l} - \sum_{k=1}^{d_2} p^{\kappa_1} (Z_{2k,i})' \mathbb{S}_{1,d_1+k} a_{2l}
$$
\n
$$
\equiv -u_{1l,i} - u_{2l,i} - u_{3l,i} - u_{4l,i} - u_{5l,i}, \text{ say.} \tag{C.2}
$$

Then by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\widetilde{U}_{li} - U_{li})^2 \sigma_i^2 \leq 5 \sum_{s=1}^{5} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{sl,i}^2 \sigma_i^2 \equiv 5 \sum_{s=1}^{5}$ $V_{nl,s}$, say. Apparently, $V_{nl,1} = O_P(n^{-1})$ as $\tilde{\mu}_l - \mu_l = O_P(n^{-1/2})$.

$$
V_{nl,2} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{d_1} p^{\kappa_1} (Z_{1k,i})' \mathbb{S}_{1k} a_{1l} \right)^2 \sigma_i^2
$$

\n
$$
\leq d_1 \sum_{k=1}^{d_1} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(p^{\kappa_1} (Z_{1k,i})' \mathbb{S}_{1k} a_{1l} \right)^2 \sigma_i^2 = d_1 \sum_{k=1}^{d_1} \text{tr} \left(\mathbb{S}_{1k} a_{1l} a'_{1l} \mathbb{S}'_{1k} Q_{n1k,pp} \right)
$$

\n
$$
\leq d_1 \sum_{k=1}^{d_1} \lambda_{\max} (Q_{n1k,pp}) \text{tr} \left(a_{1l} a'_{1l} \mathbb{S}'_{1k} \mathbb{S}_{1k} \right) \leq d_1 \sum_{k=1}^{d_1} \lambda_{\max} (Q_{n1k,pp}) \|\mathbb{S}_{1k}\|_{\text{sp}}^2 \|a_{1l}\|^2.
$$

where $Q_{n1k,pp} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p^{\kappa_1} (Z_{1k,i}) p^{\kappa_1} (Z_{1k,i})' \sigma_i^2$ such that $\lambda_{\max}(Q_{n1k,pp}) = O_P(1)$ by Assumption $A3(ii)$ and arguments analogous to those used in the proof of Lemma B.1(*ii*). In addition, $||\mathbb{S}_{1k}||_{sp}^2 = \lambda_{\max} (\mathbb{S}_{1k} \mathbb{S}'_{1k}) = 1$ and $||a_{1l}||^2 \le ||Q_{n,PP}^{-1}||$ ° ° ° 2 $\int_{\text{sp}}^2 ||\xi_{nl}||^2 = O_P(1) O_P(\kappa_1/n) =$ $O_P(\kappa_1/n)$ by Lemma B.1(*iii*) and B.2(*i*) and Assumption A2(*i*). It follows that $V_{nl,2} = O_P(1) \times$ $1 \times O_P(\kappa_1/n) = O_P(\kappa_1/n)$. Similarly, using the fact that $||a_{2l}||^2 \le ||Q_{n,PF}^{-1}||$ $\begin{array}{c} \hline \textbf{r} \\ \textbf{r} \end{array}$ 2 $\int_{\mathrm{sp}}^2 \|\zeta_{nl}\|^2 = O_P(1)$ $O_P(\kappa_1^{-2\gamma})$, we have

$$
V_{nl,4} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{d_1} p^{\kappa_1} (Z_{1k,i})' \mathbb{S}_{1k} a_{2l} \right)^2 \sigma_i^2 \le d_1 \sum_{k=1}^{d_1} \lambda_{\max} (Q_{n1k,pp}) \left\| \mathbb{S}_{1k} \right\|_{\text{sp}}^2 \text{tr} (a_{2l} a'_{2l})
$$

= $O_P(1) \times 1 \times O_P(\kappa_1^{-2\gamma}) = O_P(\kappa_1^{-2\gamma}).$

By the same token, $V_{nl,3} = O_P(\kappa_1 n^{-1})$ and $V_{nl,5} = O_P(\kappa_1^{-2\gamma})$.

(*ii*) The result follows from (*i*) and the fact that $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} ||\Phi_i|| = O_P(\varsigma_{0\kappa})$ under Assumption $A2(vi)$.

(*iii*) By Assumption $A2(vi)$, Taylor expansion and (*i*),

$$
n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| p^{\kappa} \left(\widetilde{U}_{li} \right) - p^{\kappa} \left(U_{li} \right) \right\|^{2} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| p^{\kappa} \left(U_{li}^{\dagger} \right) \left(\widetilde{U}_{li} - U_{li} \right) \right\|^{2}
$$

$$
\leq O \left(\varsigma_{1\kappa}^{2} \right) n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\widetilde{U}_{li} - U_{li} \right)^{2} = O_{P} \left(\varsigma_{1\kappa}^{2} \nu_{1n}^{2} \right),
$$

where U_{li}^{\dagger} lies between U_{li} and U_{li} .

(iv) By Assumption $A2(vi)$, Taylor expansion and triangle inequality,

$$
\left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[p^{\kappa} \left(\widetilde{U}_{li} \right) - p^{\kappa} \left(U_{li} \right) \right] \Phi'_{i} \right\|_{\text{sp}}
$$

is bounded by $||n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^n \dot{p}^{\kappa}(U_{li}) \Phi'_i$ $\left.\left(\widetilde{U}_{li}-U_{li}\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{sp}}+\frac{1}{2}$ ° ° ° ° $n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^n \ddot{p}^{\kappa} \left(U_{li}^{\ddagger}\right)\Phi_i'$ $\left.\left(\widetilde{U}_{li}-U_{li}\right)^2\right\|_{\mathrm{sp}}\equiv$

 $T_{nl,1} + T_{nl,2}$, where U_{li}^{\dagger} lies between U_{li} and U_{li} . By triangle and Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities and (ii) ,

$$
T_{nl,1} \leq n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} {\|\dot{p}^{\kappa} (U_{li})\|_{\rm sp} \|\Phi'_i (\tilde{U}_{li} - U_{li})\|_{\rm sp}} \leq \left\{ n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} {\|\dot{p}^{\kappa} (U_{li})\|}^2 \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} {\|\Phi_i\|}^2 |\tilde{U}_{li} - U_{li}|^2 \right\}^{1/2} = O_P\left(\kappa^{1/2}\right) O_P\left(\varsigma_{0\kappa} \nu_{1n}\right) = O_P\left(\kappa^{1/2} \varsigma_{0\kappa} \nu_{1n}\right).
$$

By triangle inequality and (i), $T_{nl,2} \leq O\left(\zeta_{0\kappa}\zeta_{2\kappa}\right) n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\widetilde{U}_{li} - U_{li})^2 = O_P(\zeta_{0\kappa}\zeta_{2\kappa}\nu_{1n}^2)$. Then (iv) follows.

(v) Let $\Gamma_{nl} \equiv [p^{\kappa}(\tilde{U}_{l1}) - p^{\kappa}(U_{l1}), ..., [p^{\kappa}(\tilde{U}_{ln}) - p^{\kappa}(U_{ln})]]'$ and $e = (e_1, ..., e_n)'$. Then we can write $n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^n [p^{\kappa}(\widetilde{U}_{li}) - p^{\kappa}(U_{li})]e_i$ as $n^{-1}\Gamma'_{nl}$ **e**. Let $\mathbb{D}_n \equiv \{(\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{Z}_i, \mathbf{U}_i)\}_{i=1}^n$. By the law of iterated expectations, Taylor expansion, Assumptions $A1(i)$, $A3(ii)$ and $A2(vi)$ and (i)

$$
E\left\{\left\|n^{-1}\Gamma'_{nl}\mathbf{e}\right\|^2\left|\mathbb{D}_n\right\}\right\} = n^{-2}E\left[\text{tr}\left(\Gamma'_n\mathbf{e}\mathbf{e}'\Gamma_n\right)\right] = n^{-2}E\left[\text{tr}\left(\Gamma'_nE\left(\mathbf{e}\mathbf{e}'|\mathbb{D}_n\right)\Gamma_n\right)\right]
$$

$$
= n^{-2}\sum_{i=1}^n[p^{\kappa}(\widetilde{U}_{li}) - p^{\kappa}(U_{li})]^2\sigma_i^2
$$

$$
\leq O_P\left(\varsigma_{1\kappa}\right)n^{-2}\sum_{i=1}^n\left(\widetilde{U}_{li} - U_{li}\right)^2\sigma_i^2 = O_P\left(n^{-1}\varsigma_{1\kappa}^2\nu_{1n}^2\right).
$$

It follows that $||n^{-1}\Gamma'_{nl}e|| = O_P(n^{-1/2} \varsigma_{1\kappa} \nu_{1n})$ by the conditional Chebyshev inequality.

Proof of Lemma B.4. (*i*) Noting that $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}$ $\left\| \widetilde{\Phi}_i - \Phi_i \right\|$ $n^2 = \sum_{l=1}^{d_x} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n$ $\left\| p^{\kappa }\left(\widetilde{U}_{li}\right) -p^{\kappa }\left(U_{li}\right) \right\|$ $\begin{array}{c} 2 \end{array}$ the result follows from Lemma $B.3(iii)$

(*ii*) Noting that $\left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\widetilde{\Phi}_i - \Phi_i \right) \Phi'_i \right\|$ ° ° ° $^2 \; = \; \sum_{l=1}^{d_x}$ $\left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[p^{\kappa} \left(\widetilde{U}_{li} \right) - p^{\kappa} \left(U_{li} \right) \right] \Phi_i' \right\|$ $\begin{array}{c} \hline \rule{0pt}{2ex} \rule{$ $\frac{2}{\cdot}$ the result follows from Lemma $B.3(iv)$.

 (iii) Noting that $\widetilde{Q}_{n,\Phi\Phi} - Q_{n,\Phi\Phi} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\widetilde{\Phi}_i \widetilde{\Phi}'_i - \Phi_i \Phi'_i) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\widetilde{\Phi}_i - \Phi_i) (\widetilde{\Phi}_i - \Phi_i)'$ $+n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^n(\widetilde{\Phi}_i-\Phi_i)\Phi'_i+n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^n\Phi_i(\widetilde{\Phi}_i-\overline{\Phi}_i)'$, the result follows from (i) - (ii) and the triangle inequality.

(*iv*) By the triangle inequality $\left\| \widetilde{Q}_{n, \Phi \Phi}^{-1} - Q_{\Phi \Phi}^{-1} \right\|$ $\Big\|_{\mathrm{sp}} \leq$ $\Big\|\widetilde{Q}_{n,\Phi\Phi}^{-1}-Q_{n,\Phi\Phi}^{-1}$ $\Big\|_{\rm sp} + \Big\| Q_{n,\Phi\Phi}^{-1} - Q_{\Phi\Phi}^{-1}$ $\Big\|_{\mathrm{sp}}$. Arguments like those used in the proof of Lemma B.1(ii) show that $\left\|\widetilde{Q}_{n,\Phi\Phi}^{-1}\right\|$ $\overline{\Big\|}_{\mathrm{sp}} = \Big[\lambda_{\mathrm{min}} \left(\widetilde{Q}_{n, \Phi \Phi}\right)\Big]^{-1}$ $= \left[\lambda_{\min} (Q_{\Phi\Phi}) + o_P(1)\right]^{-1} = O_P(1)$ where the second equality follows from (*iii*) and Lemma B.1(*ii*). By the submultiplicative property of the spectral norm and (*iii*),

$$
\begin{split}\n\left\|\tilde{Q}_{n,\Phi\Phi}^{-1} - Q_{n,\Phi\Phi}^{-1}\right\|_{\text{sp}} &= \left\|\tilde{Q}_{n,\Phi\Phi}^{-1}\left(\tilde{Q}_{n,\Phi\Phi} - \tilde{Q}_{n,\Phi\Phi}\right)Q_{n,\Phi\Phi}^{-1}\right\|_{\text{sp}} \\
&\leq \left\|\tilde{Q}_{n,\Phi\Phi}^{-1}\right\|_{\text{sp}} \left\|\tilde{Q}_{n,\Phi\Phi} - \tilde{Q}_{n,\Phi\Phi}\right\|_{\text{sp}} \left\|Q_{n,\Phi\Phi}^{-1}\right\|_{\text{sp}} \\
&= O_P\left(\kappa^{1/2}\varsigma_{0\kappa}\nu_{1n} + \varsigma_{0\kappa}\varsigma_{2\kappa}\nu_{1n}^2\right).\n\end{split}
$$

Similarly, $||Q_{n,\Phi\Phi}^{-1} - Q_{\Phi\Phi}^{-1}$ $\left\| \varepsilon_p = O_P\left(\kappa/n^{1/2}\right)$ by Lemma B.1(*iii*). It follows that $\left\| \widetilde{Q}_{n,\Phi\Phi}^{-1} - Q_{\Phi\Phi}^{-1} \right\|$ $\Big\|_{\mathrm{sp}}$ = $O_P\left(\kappa^{1/2} \varsigma_{0\kappa} \nu_{1n} + \varsigma_{0\kappa} \varsigma_{2\kappa} \nu_{1n}^2\right)$.

(*v*) Noting that $\left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\widetilde{\Phi}_i - \Phi_i \right) e_i \right\|$ $\sum_{l=1}^2$ $\left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[p^{\kappa} \left(\widetilde{U}_{li} \right) - p^{\kappa} \left(U_{li} \right) \right] e_i \right\|$ 2 , the result follows from Lemma $B.3(v)$.

(*vi*) Let $\delta_i \equiv \bar{g}(X_i, Z_{1i}, U_i) - \Phi'_i \beta$. By triangle inequality, Assumption A2(*v*₎, Jensen inequality and (*i*), we have $\left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\widetilde{\Phi}_i - \Phi_i \right) \delta_i \right\| \leq O_P\left(\kappa^{-\gamma} \right) n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n$ $\left\|\widetilde{\Phi}_i - \Phi_i\right\| = O_P\left(\kappa^{-\gamma}\right)$ $O_P(\zeta_{1\kappa}\nu_{1n}) = O_P(\kappa^{-\gamma}\zeta_{1\kappa}\nu_{1n})$.

Proof of Lemma B.5. The proof of $(i)-(ii)$ is analogous to that of Lemma B.2(*i*)-(*ii*), respectively. Noting that $||Q_{\Phi\Phi}^{-1}||_{sp} = O(1)$ by Assumption $A2(ii)$, we can prove *(iii)* by showing that $||T_{nl}|| = O_P(\nu_{1n}),$ where $T_{nl} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i \delta_{li} (\tilde{U}_{li} - U_{li})$ where $\delta_{li} = p^{\kappa} (U_{li})' \beta_{d_x+d_1+l}$. By triangle inequality and Assumptions $A1(ii)$ and $A2(iii)$ and (v)

$$
c_{\delta_l} \equiv \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|\delta_{li}\| \leq \sup_{u_l \in \mathcal{U}_l} \|\dot{g}_{d_x + d_1 + l}(u_l) - \dot{p}^{\kappa}(u_l)'\beta_{d_x + d_1 + l}\| + \sup_{u_l \in \mathcal{U}_l} \|\dot{g}_{d_x + d_1 + l}(u_l)\|
$$

= $O(\kappa^{-\gamma}) + O(1) = O(1)$.

By (C.2), $T_{nl} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_i \delta_{li} (\tilde{U}_{li} - U_{li}) = \sum_{s=1}^{5} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_i \delta_{li} u_{sl,i} = \sum_{s=1}^{5} T_{nl,s}$, say. Let $\eta_{nlk} \equiv n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{li} \Phi_i p^{\kappa_1} (Z_{1k,i})'$ and $\bar{\eta}_{lk} = E(\eta_{nlk})$. Then $\|\eta_{nlk} - \bar{\eta}_{lk}\| = O_P((\kappa \kappa_1/n)^{1/2})$

by Chebyshev inequality and

$$
\|\bar{\eta}_k\|_{\mathrm{sp}}^2 = \left\|E\left[\delta_{li}\Phi_i p^{\kappa_1} \left(Z_{1k,i}\right)'\right]\right\|_{\mathrm{sp}}^2 \leq c_\delta^2 \lambda_{\mathrm{max}}\left(M\right) = O\left(1\right),
$$

where $M \equiv E\left[\Phi_i p^{\kappa_1} \left(Z_{1k,i}\right)'\right] E\left[p^{\kappa_1} \left(Z_{1k,i}\right) \Phi_i'\right]$ and we use the fact that M has bounded largest eigenvalue. To see the last point, first note that for $\kappa_1 \leq \kappa$, $E\left[\Phi_i p^{\kappa_1} (Z_{1k,i})'\right]$ is a submatrix of $A \equiv E(\Phi_i \Phi_i')$ which has bounded largest eigenvalue. Partition A as follows

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}
$$

where $A_{ij} = A'_{ji}$ for $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ and $E[\Phi_i p^{\kappa_1} (Z_{1k,i})'] = [A'_{12} \ A_{22} \ A'_{32}]'$. Then $M =$ $\sqrt{ }$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $A_{12}A_{12}' A_{12}A_{22} A_{12}A_{32}'$ $A_{22}A_{12}'$ $A_{22}A_{22}$ $A_{22}A_{32}'$ $A_{32}A_{12}' A_{32}A_{22} A_{32}A_{32}'$ ⎤ $\vert \cdot$

By Thompson and Freede (1970, Theorem 2), $\lambda_{\max}(M) \leq \lambda_{\max}(A_{12}A'_{12}) + \lambda_{\max}(A_{22}A'_{22}) +$ $\lambda_{\text{max}}(A_{32}A_{32}^{\prime})$. By Fact 8.9.3 in Bernstein (2005), the positive definiteness of A ensures that both $A_{12}A_{12}'$ and $A_{32}A_{32}'$ have finite maximum eigenvalues as both $\sqrt{ }$ A_{11} A_{12} ⎤ | and $\sqrt{ }$ A_{22} A_{23} ⎤

 \perp

 \perp

 $\|$

 A_{21} A_{22} A_{32} A_{33} are also positive definite. In addition, $\lambda_{\text{max}} (A_{22}A_{22})=[\lambda_{\text{max}} (A_{22})]^2$ is finite as A has bounded maximum eigenvalue. It follows that $\lambda_{\max}(M) = O(1)$. Consequently, $\|\eta_{nlk}\| = O_P(1 +$ $(\kappa \kappa_1/n)^{1/2}$ = $O_P(1)$.

Analogously, noting that 1 is the first element of Φ_i , we can show that $||n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i \delta_i||_{\text{sp}} =$ $O_P(1 + (\kappa/n)^{1/2}) = O_P(1)$. It follows that

$$
||T_{nl,1}|| = \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_i \delta_{li} \right\|_{sp} |\tilde{\mu}_l - \mu_l| = O_P(1) O_P(n^{-1/2}) = O_P(n^{-1/2}),
$$

$$
||T_{nl,2} + T_{nl,4}|| \le \sum_{k=1}^{d_1} ||\eta_{nlk}|| \, ||\mathbb{S}_{1k}||_{sp} (||a_{1l}|| + ||a_{2l}||) = O_P(1) O(1) O(\nu_{1n}) = O(\nu_{1n}),
$$

and $||T_{nl,3} + T_{nl,5}|| = O(\nu_{1n})$ by the same token. Thus we have shown that $||T_{nl}|| = O_P(\nu_{1n})$.

References

Bernstein, D. S. (2005), Matrix Mathematics: Theory, Facts and Formulas with Application to Linear Systems Theory, Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Thompson, R., and Freede, L. J. (1974), "Eigenvalues of Partitioned Hermitian Matrices," Bulletin Australian Mathematical Society, 3, 23-37.