Supplemental Material On "Additive Nonparametric Regression in the Presence of Endogenous Regressors" Deniz Ozabaci,¹ Daniel J. Henderson,² and Liangjun Su³

THIS APPENDIX PROVIDES PROOFS FOR SOME TECHNICAL LEMMAS IN THE ABOVE PAPER.

Proof of Lemma B.1. By straightforward moment calculations, we can show that $E||Q_{n,PP} - Q_{PP}||^2 = O(\kappa_1^2/n)$ under Assumption A1(*i*)-(*ii*) and A2(*vi*). Then (*i*) follows from Markov inequality. By Weyl inequality [e.g., Bernstein (2005, Theorem 8.4.11)] and the fact that $\lambda_{\max}(A) \leq ||A||$ for any symmetric matrix A (as $|\lambda_{\max}(A)|^2 = \lambda_{\max}(AA) \leq ||A||^2$), we have

$$\lambda_{\min} (Q_{n,PP}) \leq \lambda_{\min} (Q_{PP}) + \lambda_{\max} (Q_{n,PP} - Q_{PP})$$

$$\leq \lambda_{\min} (Q_{PP}) + \|Q_{n,PP} - Q_{PP}\| = \lambda_{\min} (Q_{PP}) + o_P (1).$$

Similarly,

$$\lambda_{\min} (Q_{n,PP}) \geq \lambda_{\min} (Q_{PP}) + \lambda_{\min} (Q_{n,PP} - Q_{PP})$$

$$\geq \lambda_{\min} (Q_{PP}) - \|Q_{n,PP} - Q_{PP}\| = \lambda_{\min} (Q_{\kappa_1}) - o_P (1).$$

Analogously, we can prove the second part of (ii). Thus (ii) follows. By the submultiplicative property of the spectral norm, (i)-(ii) and Assumption A2(i),

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| Q_{n,PP}^{-1} - Q_{PP}^{-1} \right\|_{\mathrm{sp}} &= \left\| Q_{n,PP}^{-1} \left(Q_{PP} - Q_{n,PP} \right) Q_{PP}^{-1} \right\|_{\mathrm{sp}} \le \left\| Q_{n,PP}^{-1} \right\|_{\mathrm{sp}} \left\| Q_{PP} - Q_{n,PP} \right\|_{\mathrm{sp}} \left\| Q_{PP}^{-1} \right\|_{\mathrm{sp}} \\ &= O_P \left(1 \right) O_P \left(\kappa_1 / n^{1/2} \right) O_P \left(1 \right) = O_P \left(\kappa_1 / n^{1/2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that $\left\|Q_{n,PP}^{-1}\right\|_{sp} = \left[\lambda_{\min}\left(Q_{n,PP}\right)\right]^{-1} = \left[\lambda_{\min}\left(Q_{PP}\right) + o_P\left(1\right)\right]^{-1} = O_P\left(1\right)$ by (*ii*) and Assumption A2(*i*). Then (*iii*) follows. The proof of (*iv*)-(*v*) is analogous to that of (*i*)-(*ii*) and thus omitted.

Proof of Lemma B.2. (*i*) By Assumption A1(*i*) and A2(*i*), $E \|\xi_{nl}\|^2 = n^{-2} \text{tr}\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} E(P_i P'_i U_{li}^2)\}$ $\leq n^{-1} (1 + d\kappa_1) \lambda_{\max} (Q_{PP,U_l}) = O(\kappa_1/n).$ Then $\|\xi_{nl}\|^2 = O_P(\kappa_1/n)$ by Markov inequality.

¹Deniz Ozabaci, Dept of Economics, State Univ of New York, Binghamton, NY 13902-6000, (607) 777-2572, Fax: (607) 777-2681, e-mail: dozabac1@binghamton.edu.

²Daniel J. Henderson, Dept of Economics, Finance and Legal Studies, Univ of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL 35487-0224, (205) 348-8991, Fax: (205) 348-0186, e-mail: djhender@cba.ua.edu.

³Corresponding author: Liangjun Su, School of Economics, Singapore Management University, 90 Stamford Road, Singapore, 178903; Tel: (65) 6828-0386; e-mail: ljsu@smu.edu.sg.

(*ii*) By the facts that $||a||_{sp}^2 = ||a||^2$ for any vector a, $|a'b| \leq ||a|| ||b||$ for any two conformable vectors a and b and that $\varkappa' A \varkappa \leq \lambda_{\max} (A) ||\varkappa||^2$ for any p.s.d. matrix A and conformable vector \varkappa , Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, Lemma B.1(*ii*) and Assumptions A2(*iv*), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\zeta_{nl}||^{2} &= \|\zeta_{nl}\|_{\text{sp}}^{2} = \lambda_{\max} \left(\zeta_{nl}\zeta_{nl}'\right) \\ &= \max_{\|\varkappa\|=1} n^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varkappa' P_{i} P_{j}' \varkappa \left[m_{l} \left(\mathbf{Z}_{i}\right) - P_{i}' \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{l}\right] \left[m_{l} \left(\mathbf{Z}_{j}\right) - P_{j}' \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{l}\right] \\ &\leq \max_{\|\varkappa\|=1} \left\{n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{\varkappa' P_{i} P_{i}' \varkappa \left[m_{l} \left(\mathbf{Z}_{i}\right) - P_{i}' \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{l}\right]^{2}\right\}^{1/2}\right\}^{2} \\ &\leq O_{P}(\kappa_{1}^{-2\gamma}) \max_{\|\varkappa\|=1} \left\{n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varkappa' P_{i} P_{i}' \varkappa\right\} \leq O_{P}(\kappa_{1}^{-2\gamma}) \lambda_{\max} \left(Q_{n,PP}\right) = O_{P}(\kappa_{1}^{-2\gamma}). \end{aligned}$$

(*iii*) Noting that $X_{li} = m_l (\mathbf{Z}_i) + U_{li} = P'_i \boldsymbol{\alpha}_l + U_{li} + [m_l (\mathbf{Z}_i) - P'_i \boldsymbol{\alpha}_l]$, by Lemma B.1(*ii*), w.p.a.1 we have

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{l} - \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{l} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i} P_{i}'\right)^{-} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i} X_{li} - \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{l}$$

$$= Q_{n,PP}^{-1} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i} U_{li} + Q_{n,PP}^{-1} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i} \left[m_{l} \left(\mathbf{Z}_{i}\right) - P_{i}' \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{l}\right]$$

$$= Q_{n,PP}^{-1} \xi_{nl} + Q_{n,PP}^{-1} \zeta_{nl} \equiv a_{1l} + a_{2l}, \text{ say.}$$
(C.1)

Note that $a_{1l} = Q_{\kappa_1}^{-1} \xi_{nl} + r_{1nl}$ where $r_{1nl} = \left(Q_{n,PP}^{-1} - Q_{PP}^{-1}\right) \xi_{nl}$ satisfies that

$$\|r_{1l}\| \leq = \left\{ \operatorname{tr} \left[\left(Q_{n,PP}^{-1} - Q_{PP}^{-1} \right) \xi_{nl} \xi_{nl}' \left(Q_{n,PP}^{-1} - Q_{PP}^{-1} \right) \right] \right\}^{1/2} \\ \leq \|\xi_{nl}\|_{\operatorname{sp}} \left\| Q_{n,PP}^{-1} - Q_{PP}^{-1} \right\| = O_P(\kappa_1^{1/2}/n^{1/2}) O_P(\kappa_1^{1/2}/n^{1/2}) = O_P(\kappa_1/n)$$

by Lemmas B.1(*iii*) and B.2(*i*). For a_{2l} , we have $a_{2l} = Q_{\kappa_1}^{-1} \zeta_{nl} + r_{2nl}$ where $r_{2nl} = (Q_{n\kappa_1}^{-1} - Q_{n\kappa_1}^{-1}) \zeta_{nl}$ satisfies that

$$\|r_{2l}\| \le \|\zeta_{nl}\|_{\rm sp} \left\|Q_{n,PP}^{-1} - Q_{PP}^{-1}\right\| = O_P(\kappa_1^{-\gamma})O_P(\kappa_1^{1/2}/n^{1/2}) = O_P(\kappa_1^{-\gamma+1/2}/n^{1/2})$$

by Lemmas B.1(*iii*) and B.2(*ii*). The result follows. \blacksquare

Proof of Lemma B.3. (i) We only prove the r = 1 case as the proof of the other case is almost identical. By the definition of \tilde{U}_{li} and (C.1), we can decompose $\tilde{U}_{li} - U_{li} = [X_{li} - \tilde{m}_l (\mathbf{Z}_i)] - U_{li}$

as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{U}_{li} - U_{li} &= (\mu_l - \widetilde{\mu}_l) + \sum_{k=1}^{d_1} \left[m_{l,k} \left(Z_{1k,i} \right) - \widetilde{m}_{l,k} \left(Z_{1k,i} \right) \right] + \sum_{k=1}^{d_2} \left[m_{l,d_1+k} \left(Z_{2k,i} \right) - \widetilde{m}_{l,d_1+k} \left(Z_{2k,i} \right) \right] \\ &= - \left(\widetilde{\mu}_l - \mu_l \right) - \sum_{k=1}^{d_1} p^{\kappa_1} \left(Z_{1k,i} \right)' \mathbb{S}_{1k} a_{1l} - \sum_{k=1}^{d_2} p^{\kappa_1} \left(Z_{2k,i} \right)' \mathbb{S}_{1,d_1+k} a_{1l} \\ &- \sum_{k=1}^{d_1} p^{\kappa_1} \left(Z_{1k,i} \right)' \mathbb{S}_{1k} a_{2l} - \sum_{k=1}^{d_2} p^{\kappa_1} \left(Z_{2k,i} \right)' \mathbb{S}_{1,d_1+k} a_{2l} \\ &\equiv -u_{1l,i} - u_{2l,i} - u_{3l,i} - u_{4l,i} - u_{5l,i}, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$
(C.2)

Then by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\widetilde{U}_{li} - U_{li})^2 \sigma_i^2 \leq 5 \sum_{s=1}^{5} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{sl,i}^2 \sigma_i^2 \equiv 5 \sum_{s=1}^{5} V_{nl,s}$, say. Apparently, $V_{nl,1} = O_P(n^{-1})$ as $\widetilde{\mu}_l - \mu_l = O_P(n^{-1/2})$.

$$\begin{aligned} V_{nl,2} &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{d_{1}} p^{\kappa_{1}} \left(Z_{1k,i} \right)' \mathbb{S}_{1k} a_{1l} \right)^{2} \sigma_{i}^{2} \\ &\leq d_{1} \sum_{k=1}^{d_{1}} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(p^{\kappa_{1}} \left(Z_{1k,i} \right)' \mathbb{S}_{1k} a_{1l} \right)^{2} \sigma_{i}^{2} = d_{1} \sum_{k=1}^{d_{1}} \operatorname{tr} \left(\mathbb{S}_{1k} a_{1l} a_{1l}' \mathbb{S}_{1k}' Q_{n1k,pp} \right) \\ &\leq d_{1} \sum_{k=1}^{d_{1}} \lambda_{\max} \left(Q_{n1k,pp} \right) \operatorname{tr} \left(a_{1l} a_{1l}' \mathbb{S}_{1k}' \mathbb{S}_{1k} \right) \leq d_{1} \sum_{k=1}^{d_{1}} \lambda_{\max} \left(Q_{n1k,pp} \right) \| \mathbb{S}_{1k} \|_{\operatorname{sp}}^{2} \| a_{1l} \|^{2} \,. \end{aligned}$$

where $Q_{n1k,pp} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p^{\kappa_1} (Z_{1k,i}) p^{\kappa_1} (Z_{1k,i})' \sigma_i^2$ such that $\lambda_{\max}(Q_{n1k,pp}) = O_P(1)$ by Assumption A3(*ii*) and arguments analogous to those used in the proof of Lemma B.1(*ii*). In addition, $\|\mathbb{S}_{1k}\|_{sp}^2 = \lambda_{\max}(\mathbb{S}_{1k}\mathbb{S}'_{1k}) = 1$ and $\|a_{1l}\|^2 \leq \|Q_{n,PP}^{-1}\|_{sp}^2 \|\xi_{nl}\|^2 = O_P(1) O_P(\kappa_1/n) = O_P(\kappa_1/n)$ by Lemma B.1(*iii*) and B.2(*i*) and Assumption A2(*i*). It follows that $V_{nl,2} = O_P(1) \times 1 \times O_P(\kappa_1/n) = O_P(\kappa_1/n)$. Similarly, using the fact that $\|a_{2l}\|^2 \leq \|Q_{n,PP}^{-1}\|_{sp}^2 \|\zeta_{nl}\|^2 = O_P(1) O_P(\kappa_1/n) = O_P(\kappa_1/n)$.

$$V_{nl,4} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{d_1} p^{\kappa_1} \left(Z_{1k,i} \right)' \mathbb{S}_{1k} a_{2l} \right)^2 \sigma_i^2 \le d_1 \sum_{k=1}^{d_1} \lambda_{\max} \left(Q_{n1k,pp} \right) \| \mathbb{S}_{1k} \|_{sp}^2 \operatorname{tr} \left(a_{2l} a'_{2l} \right)$$
$$= O_P \left(1 \right) \times 1 \times O_P (\kappa_1^{-2\gamma}) = O_P (\kappa_1^{-2\gamma}).$$

By the same token, $V_{nl,3} = O_P(\kappa_1 n^{-1})$ and $V_{nl,5} = O_P(\kappa_1^{-2\gamma})$.

(*ii*) The result follows from (*i*) and the fact that $\max_{1 \le i \le n} \|\Phi_i\| = O_P(\varsigma_{0\kappa})$ under Assumption A2(*vi*).

(iii) By Assumption A2(vi), Taylor expansion and (i),

$$n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| p^{\kappa} \left(\widetilde{U}_{li} \right) - p^{\kappa} \left(U_{li} \right) \right\|^{2} = n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| \dot{p}^{\kappa} \left(U_{li}^{\dagger} \right) \left(\widetilde{U}_{li} - U_{li} \right) \right\|^{2}$$
$$\leq O\left(\varsigma_{1\kappa}^{2}\right) n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\widetilde{U}_{li} - U_{li} \right)^{2} = O_{P}\left(\varsigma_{1\kappa}^{2} \nu_{1n}^{2}\right),$$

where U_{li}^{\dagger} lies between \widetilde{U}_{li} and U_{li} .

(iv) By Assumption A2(vi), Taylor expansion and triangle inequality,

$$\left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[p^{\kappa} \left(\widetilde{U}_{li} \right) - p^{\kappa} \left(U_{li} \right) \right] \Phi'_{i} \right\|_{\mathrm{sp}}$$

is bounded by $\left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \dot{p}^{\kappa} (U_{li}) \Phi'_{i} \left(\widetilde{U}_{li} - U_{li} \right) \right\|_{\text{sp}} + \frac{1}{2} \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ddot{p}^{\kappa} \left(U_{li}^{\dagger} \right) \Phi'_{i} \left(\widetilde{U}_{li} - U_{li} \right)^{2} \right\|_{\text{sp}} \equiv T_{\text{sp}} + T_{s$

 $T_{nl,1} + T_{nl,2}$, where U_{li}^{\ddagger} lies between \tilde{U}_{li} and U_{li} . By triangle and Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities and (ii),

$$T_{nl,1} \leq n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|\dot{p}^{\kappa}(U_{li})\|_{\mathrm{sp}} \left\| \Phi_{i}'\left(\widetilde{U}_{li} - U_{li}\right) \right\|_{\mathrm{sp}} \\ \leq \left\{ n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|\dot{p}^{\kappa}(U_{li})\|^{2} \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|\Phi_{i}\|^{2} \left| \widetilde{U}_{li} - U_{li} \right|^{2} \right\}^{1/2} \\ = O_{P}\left(\kappa^{1/2}\right) O_{P}\left(\varsigma_{0\kappa}\nu_{1n}\right) = O_{P}\left(\kappa^{1/2}\varsigma_{0\kappa}\nu_{1n}\right).$$

By triangle inequality and (i), $T_{nl,2} \leq O(\varsigma_{0\kappa}\varsigma_{2\kappa}) n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\widetilde{U}_{li} - U_{li})^2 = O_P(\varsigma_{0\kappa}\varsigma_{2\kappa}\nu_{1n}^2)$. Then (iv) follows.

(v) Let $\Gamma_{nl} \equiv [p^{\kappa}(\tilde{U}_{l1}) - p^{\kappa}(U_{l1}), ..., [p^{\kappa}(\tilde{U}_{ln}) - p^{\kappa}(U_{ln})]]'$ and $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, ..., e_n)'$. Then we can write $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [p^{\kappa}(\tilde{U}_{li}) - p^{\kappa}(U_{li})]e_i$ as $n^{-1}\Gamma'_{nl}\mathbf{e}$. Let $\mathbb{D}_n \equiv \{(\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{Z}_i, \mathbf{U}_i)\}_{i=1}^n$. By the law of iterated expectations, Taylor expansion, Assumptions A1(i), A3(ii) and A2(vi) and (i)

$$E\left\{\left\|n^{-1}\Gamma_{nl}^{\prime}\mathbf{e}\right\|^{2}\left|\mathbb{D}_{n}\right\} = n^{-2}E\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(\Gamma_{n}^{\prime}\mathbf{ee}^{\prime}\Gamma_{n}\right)\right] = n^{-2}E\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(\Gamma_{n}^{\prime}E\left(\mathbf{ee}^{\prime}|\mathbb{D}_{n}\right)\Gamma_{n}\right)\right]\right]$$
$$= n^{-2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[p^{\kappa}(\widetilde{U}_{li}) - p^{\kappa}\left(U_{li}\right)\right]^{2}\sigma_{i}^{2}$$
$$\leq O_{P}\left(\varsigma_{1\kappa}\right)n^{-2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\widetilde{U}_{li} - U_{li}\right)^{2}\sigma_{i}^{2} = O_{P}\left(n^{-1}\varsigma_{1\kappa}^{2}\nu_{1n}^{2}\right).$$

It follows that $\|n^{-1}\Gamma'_{nl}\mathbf{e}\| = O_P\left(n^{-1/2}\varsigma_{1\kappa}\nu_{1n}\right)$ by the conditional Chebyshev inequality.

Proof of Lemma B.4. (i) Noting that $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| \widetilde{\Phi}_{i} - \Phi_{i} \right\|^{2} = \sum_{l=1}^{d_{x}} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| p^{\kappa} \left(\widetilde{U}_{li} \right) - p^{\kappa} \left(U_{li} \right) \right\|^{2}$, the result follows from Lemma B.3(*iii*).

(*ii*) Noting that $\left\|n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\widetilde{\Phi}_{i} - \Phi_{i}\right) \Phi_{i}'\right\|^{2} = \sum_{l=1}^{d_{x}} \left\|n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[p^{\kappa}\left(\widetilde{U}_{li}\right) - p^{\kappa}\left(U_{li}\right)\right] \Phi_{i}'\right\|^{2}$, the result follows from Lemma B.3(*iv*).

(*iii*) Noting that $\widetilde{Q}_{n,\Phi\Phi} - Q_{n,\Phi\Phi} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\widetilde{\Phi}_{i} \widetilde{\Phi}'_{i} - \Phi_{i} \Phi'_{i}) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\widetilde{\Phi}_{i} - \Phi_{i}) (\widetilde{\Phi}_{i} - \Phi_{i})' + n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\widetilde{\Phi}_{i} - \Phi_{i}) (\widetilde{\Phi}_{i} - \Phi_{i})',$ the result follows from (*i*)-(*ii*) and the triangle inequality.

(*iv*) By the triangle inequality $\left\| \widetilde{Q}_{n,\Phi\Phi}^{-1} - Q_{\Phi\Phi}^{-1} \right\|_{sp} \leq \left\| \widetilde{Q}_{n,\Phi\Phi}^{-1} - Q_{n,\Phi\Phi}^{-1} \right\|_{sp} + \left\| Q_{n,\Phi\Phi}^{-1} - Q_{\Phi\Phi}^{-1} \right\|_{sp}$. Arguments like those used in the proof of Lemma B.1(ii) show that $\left\| \widetilde{Q}_{n,\Phi\Phi}^{-1} \right\|_{sp} = \left[\lambda_{\min} \left(\widetilde{Q}_{n,\Phi\Phi} \right) \right]^{-1} = \left[\lambda_{\min} \left(Q_{\Phi\Phi} \right) + o_P(1) \right]^{-1} = O_P(1)$ where the second equality follows from (*iii*) and Lemma B.1(*ii*). By the submultiplicative property of the spectral norm and (*iii*),

$$\begin{split} \left\| \widetilde{Q}_{n,\Phi\Phi}^{-1} - Q_{n,\Phi\Phi}^{-1} \right\|_{\mathrm{sp}} &= \left\| \widetilde{Q}_{n,\Phi\Phi}^{-1} \left(\widetilde{Q}_{n,\Phi\Phi} - \widetilde{Q}_{n,\Phi\Phi} \right) Q_{n,\Phi\Phi}^{-1} \right\|_{\mathrm{sp}} \\ &\leq \left\| \widetilde{Q}_{n,\Phi\Phi}^{-1} \right\|_{\mathrm{sp}} \left\| \widetilde{Q}_{n,\Phi\Phi} - \widetilde{Q}_{n,\Phi\Phi} \right\|_{\mathrm{sp}} \left\| Q_{n,\Phi\Phi}^{-1} \right\|_{\mathrm{sp}} \\ &= O_P \left(\kappa^{1/2} \varsigma_{0\kappa} \nu_{1n} + \varsigma_{0\kappa} \varsigma_{2\kappa} \nu_{1n}^2 \right). \end{split}$$

Similarly, $\left\| Q_{n,\Phi\Phi}^{-1} - Q_{\Phi\Phi}^{-1} \right\|_{\mathrm{sp}} = O_P\left(\kappa/n^{1/2}\right)$ by Lemma B.1(*iii*). It follows that $\left\| \widetilde{Q}_{n,\Phi\Phi}^{-1} - Q_{\Phi\Phi}^{-1} \right\|_{\mathrm{sp}} = O_P\left(\kappa^{1/2}\varsigma_{0\kappa}\nu_{1n} + \varsigma_{0\kappa}\varsigma_{2\kappa}\nu_{1n}^2\right)$.

(v) Noting that $\left\|n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\widetilde{\Phi}_{i} - \Phi_{i}\right) e_{i}\right\|^{2} = \sum_{l=1}^{d_{x}} \left\|n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[p^{\kappa}\left(\widetilde{U}_{li}\right) - p^{\kappa}\left(U_{li}\right)\right] e_{i}\right\|^{2}$, the result follows from Lemma B.3(v).

(vi) Let $\delta_i \equiv \bar{g}(X_i, Z_{1i}, U_i) - \Phi'_i \beta$. By triangle inequality, Assumption A2(v), Jensen inequality and (i), we have $\left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\tilde{\Phi}_i - \Phi_i \right) \delta_i \right\| \leq O_P(\kappa^{-\gamma}) n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \tilde{\Phi}_i - \Phi_i \right\| = O_P(\kappa^{-\gamma}) O_P(\varsigma_{1\kappa}\nu_{1n}) = O_P(\kappa^{-\gamma}\varsigma_{1\kappa}\nu_{1n})$.

Proof of Lemma B.5. The proof of (i)-(ii) is analogous to that of Lemma B.2(i)-(ii), respectively. Noting that $\|Q_{\Phi\Phi}^{-1}\|_{sp} = O(1)$ by Assumption A2(ii), we can prove (iii) by showing that $\|T_{nl}\| = O_P(\nu_{1n})$, where $T_{nl} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_i \delta_{li} (\tilde{U}_{li} - U_{li})$ where $\delta_{li} = \dot{p}^{\kappa} (U_{li})' \beta_{d_x+d_1+l}$. By triangle inequality and Assumptions A1(ii) and A2(iii) and (v)

$$c_{\delta_{l}} \equiv \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|\delta_{li}\| \leq \sup_{u_{l} \in \mathcal{U}_{l}} \|\dot{g}_{d_{x}+d_{1}+l}(u_{l}) - \dot{p}^{\kappa}(u_{l})' \boldsymbol{\beta}_{d_{x}+d_{1}+l}\| + \sup_{u_{l} \in \mathcal{U}_{l}} \|\dot{g}_{d_{x}+d_{1}+l}(u_{l})\| \\ = O(\kappa^{-\gamma}) + O(1) = O(1).$$

By (C.2), $T_{nl} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_i \delta_{li} (\widetilde{U}_{li} - U_{li}) = \sum_{s=1}^{5} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_i \delta_{li} u_{sl,i} = \sum_{s=1}^{5} T_{nl,s}$, say. Let $\eta_{nlk} \equiv n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{li} \Phi_i p^{\kappa_1} (Z_{1k,i})'$ and $\bar{\eta}_{lk} = E(\eta_{nlk})$. Then $\|\eta_{nlk} - \bar{\eta}_{lk}\| = O_P((\kappa \kappa_1/n)^{1/2})$

by Chebyshev inequality and

$$\|\bar{\eta}_{k}\|_{\rm sp}^{2} = \left\| E\left[\delta_{li} \Phi_{i} p^{\kappa_{1}} \left(Z_{1k,i} \right)' \right] \right\|_{\rm sp}^{2} \le c_{\delta}^{2} \lambda_{\max} \left(M \right) = O\left(1 \right)$$

where $M \equiv E\left[\Phi_i p^{\kappa_1} (Z_{1k,i})'\right] E\left[p^{\kappa_1} (Z_{1k,i}) \Phi'_i\right]$ and we use the fact that M has bounded largest eigenvalue. To see the last point, first note that for $\kappa_1 \leq \kappa$, $E\left[\Phi_i p^{\kappa_1} (Z_{1k,i})'\right]$ is a submatrix of $A \equiv E\left(\Phi_i \Phi'_i\right)$ which has bounded largest eigenvalue. Partition A as follows

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $A_{ij} = A'_{ji}$ for i, j = 1, 2, 3 and $E \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_i p^{\kappa_1} (Z_{1k,i})' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A'_{12} & A_{22} & A'_{32} \end{bmatrix}'$. Then $M = \begin{bmatrix} A_{12}A'_{12} & A_{12}A_{22} & A_{12}A'_{32} \\ A_{22}A'_{12} & A_{22}A_{22} & A_{22}A'_{32} \\ A_{32}A'_{12} & A_{32}A_{22} & A_{32}A'_{32} \end{bmatrix}.$

By Thompson and Freede (1970, Theorem 2), $\lambda_{\max}(M) \leq \lambda_{\max}(A_{12}A'_{12}) + \lambda_{\max}(A_{22}A'_{22}) + \lambda_{\max}(A_{32}A'_{32})$. By Fact 8.9.3 in Bernstein (2005), the positive definiteness of A ensures that both

 $A_{12}A'_{12}$ and $A_{32}A'_{32}$ have finite maximum eigenvalues as both $\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}$

are also positive definite. In addition, $\lambda_{\max} (A_{22}A_{22}) = [\lambda_{\max} (A_{22})]^2$ is finite as A has bounded maximum eigenvalue. It follows that $\lambda_{\max} (M) = O(1)$. Consequently, $\|\eta_{nlk}\| = O_P(1 + (\kappa \kappa_1/n)^{1/2}) = O_P(1)$.

Analogously, noting that 1 is the first element of Φ_i , we can show that $\|n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i \delta_i\|_{sp} = O_P(1 + (\kappa/n)^{1/2}) = O_P(1)$. It follows that

$$\|T_{nl,1}\| = \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i} \delta_{li} \right\|_{sp} |\tilde{\mu}_{l} - \mu_{l}| = O_{P}(1) O_{P}\left(n^{-1/2}\right) = O_{P}\left(n^{-1/2}\right),$$

$$|T_{nl,2} + T_{nl,4}\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{d_{1}} \|\eta_{nlk}\| \|\mathbb{S}_{1k}\|_{sp} \left(\|a_{1l}\| + \|a_{2l}\|\right) = O_{P}(1) O(1) O(\nu_{1n}) = O(\nu_{1n}),$$

and $||T_{nl,3} + T_{nl,5}|| = O(\nu_{1n})$ by the same token. Thus we have shown that $||T_{nl}|| = O_P(\nu_{1n})$.

References

Bernstein, D. S. (2005), Matrix Mathematics: Theory, Facts and Formulas with Application to Linear Systems Theory, Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Thompson, R., and Freede, L. J. (1974), "Eigenvalues of Partitioned Hermitian Matrices," Bulletin Australian Mathematical Society, 3, 23-37.