

Eligibility form

Record ID

Study ID:

(surname, first name of the main author, and
publication year - e.g. Van der Meer, 2011)

Reviewer initials

Date form completed

STUDY DESIGN

1. The study is a quantitative, qualitative, or mixed
methods primary research study reporting on the
feasibility, acceptability, and/or effectiveness of
PTSD Coach (web-based or mobile application) as
treatment or prevention?

- ☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ Unclear

Notes

PARTICIPANTS / POPULATION

2. The study is in participants of all ages that focus
on PTSD Coach (web-based or mobile application) ?

- ☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ Unclear

Notes

3. The study includes trauma-exposed individuals?
(fulfilling DSM/ICD criteria)

- ☐ yes
☐ No
☐ Unclear

Notes

INTERVENTION

4. The study evaluates PTSD Coach (web-based or mobile
application) as an intervention?

- ☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ Unclear

Notes

COMPARATOR(S)/CONSTROL ARM(S)

5. The study compares PTSD Coach (web-based or mobile application) to any comparator (i.e. treatment as usual / wait list control etc) ?

☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ Unclear

Notes

6. The study has no comparator (i.e qualitative research investigating user experience/pre to post)?

☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ Unclear

REVIEWER DECISION

7. Include in this review?
(Answers 1 - 4 = "Yes" and 5 or 6 = "Yes")

☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ Unclear/Uncertain

Notes

8. Is additional information required from the study authors before a final assessment/decision can be made?

☐ Yes
☐ No

Notes

9. If yes to the above, what information is required before a decision can be made?

Notes

COMPLETE AFTER BOTH PRIMARY REVIEWERS HAVE INDEPENDENTLY COMPLETED THE ELIGIBILITY REVIEW

10. Do both reviewers agree?

☐ Yes
☐ No

Notes

11. In the even that there was disagreement, was this resolved through discussion?

☐ Not applicable
☐ Yes
☐ No

Notes

12. In the event that disagreement was not resolved through discussion, what was the secondary reviewer's decision?

☐ Not applicable
☐ Include
☐ Exclude

Notes

13. In summary, reason for exclusion is (if applicable) ?

Notes