

Supplement material 2

<u>Category</u>	<u>Subcategory</u>	<u>Number of quotes</u>
Purchase	Costs of the activity tracker ⁽¹⁾	14
	Costs of a subscription ⁽²⁾	2
	Compensation of healthcare insurance ⁽²⁾	3
	Possession of a smartphone ⁽¹⁾	7
	Possession of a computer ^(2,3)	14
	Available and clear information about the feasibility of the trackers ^(1,2)	5
Instruction	Required instruction from physiotherapist ^(1,2)	75
	Support (helpdesk, blogs, YouTube) ^(2,4,5)	0
	Required technical skills ^(2,6-8)	52
Characteristics of the activity tracker	Installing and receiving data from the activity tracker ^(1,5,7)	59
	Measured variables by the activity tracker ^(2,3,6,7,9,10)	108
	Interface ⁽⁵⁾	1
	Accessibility ^(2,5)	104
	Wearing comfort ^(1,3,5,7,8,11-13)	155
	Setting goals ^(3,8,14,15)	11
	Complexity ^(2,6,8)	131
	Feedback ^(1,3,6-11,13)	135
	Robustness ^(2,5,13)	29
Correct functioning	Validity ^(5-7,9,11-13)	11
	Reliability ^(5-7,9,11-13)	30
	Technical errors ^(2,4)	23
Sharing data	Interoperational ^(2,3,5)	0
	Possibility to share data ^(2,4,7,12,14)	8
Privacy	Safely sharing data ^(5,7,11,13)	2
	Warrant of privacy ⁽²⁾	22
	Insight into physical activity level by physiotherapist ⁽¹¹⁾	12
	Authorisation, authentication, license ⁽²⁾	0
Use of the activity tracker	Implementation in physiotherapy ^(1,2,4)	49
	Interface ⁽⁵⁾	0
	Compliance ^(2,4)	89
	Setting goals ^(4,6,15)	129
	Choice of activity tracker	30
	Discussing results with physiotherapist	38
Interest in feedback	Added value of feedback ^(1,3,6,8-11,16)	184
	Faith in measurements and measurement procedures ^(2,5,7,9,13)	39

*Subtopics in bold are added after the evaluation of the framework

References:

1. van Bommel M, Van Gisbergen T. De eerste stap zetten. De hanteerbaarheid en toepasbaarheid van activiteitenmeters.[bachelor thesis] Heerlen (Netherlands): Zuyd University of Applied Science; 2015.

2. Expertmeeting

3. Armağan K, Çiğdem E. Design for physical activity: design aspects of wearable activity trackers. International Conference of Engineering Design; 2015 Jun 27-30: Milano, Italy.
4. Verwey R. Get moving! Self-management support using mobile technology. A counselling protocol extended with a web-based coaching system to promote physical activity in patients with COPD or type 2 diabetes in primary care: the It's LiFe! study. [dissertation] Maastricht: Maastricht University 2015.
5. Mancuso PJ, Thompson M, Tietze M, et al. Can Patient Use of Daily Activity Monitors Change Nurse Practitioner Practice? The Journal for Nurse Practitioners. 2014;10(10):787-793.
6. Lazar A, Koehler C, Tanenbaum J, et al. Why we use and abandon smart devices. Ubicomp; 2015 Sept 7-11: Osaka, Japan.
7. Rosenberg D, Kadokura EA, Bouldin ED, et al. Acceptability of Fitbit for physical activity tracking within clinical care among men with prostate cancer. AMIA Annu Symp Proc. 2016 Nov 4-8: Washington, USA.
8. Mercer K, Giangregorio L, Schneider E, et al. Acceptance of Commercially Available Wearable Activity Trackers Among Adults Aged Over 50 and With Chronic Illness: A Mixed-Methods Evaluation. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth. 2016;4(1):e7.
9. Fausset CB, Mitzner TL, Price CE, et al. Older Adults' Use of and Attitudes toward Activity Monitoring Technologies. Proceedings of the human factors and ergonomics society; 2013 Sep 30- Oct 4: San Diego, USA.
10. O'Brien T, Troutman-Jordan M, Hathaway D, et al. Acceptability of wristband activity trackers among community dwelling older adults. Geriatr Nurs. 2015;36(2):21-5.
11. Andrieu N, Mannens L, Nowak K. Toepasbaarheid en hanteerbaarheid van activiteitenmeters binnen de ergotherapie bij COPD-patiënten.[bachelor thesis] Heerlen: Zuyd University of Applied Science; 2015.
12. Harrison D, Marshall P, Bianchi-Berthouze N, et al. Activity tracking; Barriers, Workarounds and Customisation. Ubicomp; 2015 Sept 7-11: Osaka, Japan
13. Shih PC, Han K, Poole ES, et al. Use and Adoption Challenges of Wearable Activity Trackers. iConference; 2015 March 24-27: Newport Beach, California, USA.
14. Lyons EJ, Lewis ZH, Mayrsohn BG, et al. Behavior change techniques implemented in electronic lifestyle activity monitors: a systematic content analysis. J Med Internet Res. 2014;16(8):e192.
15. Stevens A, Beurskens A, Koke A, et al. The use of patient-specific measurement instruments in the process of goal-setting: a systematic review of available instruments and their feasibility. Clin Rehabil. 2013;27(11):1005-1019.
16. Karapanos E, Gouveia R, Hassenzahl M, et al. Wellbeing in the Making: Peoples' Experiences with Wearable Activity Trackers. Psychol Well Being. 2016;6:4.