

# checkCIF/PLATON report

Structure factors have been supplied for datablock(s) crl119

THIS REPORT IS FOR GUIDANCE ONLY. IF USED AS PART OF A REVIEW PROCEDURE FOR PUBLICATION, IT SHOULD NOT REPLACE THE EXPERTISE OF AN EXPERIENCED CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC REFEREE.

No syntax errors found.      CIF dictionary      Interpreting this report

## Datablock: crl119

---

Bond precision:    O- C = 0.0040 A                      Wavelength=0.71073

Cell:                      a=9.987(4)              b=5.658(3)              c=11.581(5)  
                                alpha=90              beta=99.68(1)              gamma=90  
Temperature:              100 K

	Calculated	Reported
Volume	645.1(5)	645.0(5)
Space group	P 21/n	P 21/n
Hall group	-P 2yn	-P 2yn
Moiety formula	C8 H16 Cu N6 O4	?
Sum formula	C8 H16 Cu N6 O4	C8 H16 Cu N6 O4
Mr	323.82	323.81
Dx,g cm-3	1.667	1.667
Z	2	2
Mu (mm-1)	1.714	1.714
F000	334.0	334.0
F000'	334.75	
h,k,lmax	13,7,15	13,7,15
Nref	1724	1663
Tmin,Tmax	0.669,0.773	0.669,0.773
Tmin'	0.592	

Correction method= # Reported T Limits: Tmin=0.669 Tmax=0.773  
AbsCorr = MULTI-SCAN

Data completeness= 0.965                      Theta(max)= 29.000

R(reflections)= 0.0383( 1217)              wR2(reflections)= 0.1724( 1663)

S = 0.668                      Npar= 98

---

The following ALERTS were generated. Each ALERT has the format  
**test-name\_ALERT\_alert-type\_alert-level.**  
Click on the hyperlinks for more details of the test.

---

### Alert level B

PLAT352\_ALERT\_3\_B Short N-H (X0.87,N1.01A) N1 - H1 .. 0.59 Ang.

---

### Alert level C

GOODF01\_ALERT\_2\_C The least squares goodness of fit parameter lies outside the range 0.80 <> 2.00

Goodness of fit given = 0.668

PLAT352\_ALERT\_3\_C Short N-H (X0.87,N1.01A) N2 - H2 .. 0.67 Ang.

PLAT420\_ALERT\_2\_C D-H Without Acceptor N1 -- H1 ... Please Check

PLAT420\_ALERT\_2\_C D-H Without Acceptor N2 -- H2 ... Please Check

PLAT480\_ALERT\_4\_C Long H...A H-Bond Reported H2 .. O2 .. 2.64 Ang.

PLAT978\_ALERT\_2\_C Number C-C Bonds with Positive Residual Density. 0 Note

---

### Alert level G

PLAT066\_ALERT\_1\_G Predicted and Reported Tmin&Tmax Range Identical ? Check

PLAT072\_ALERT\_2\_G SHELXL First Parameter in WGHT Unusually Large 0.20 Report

PLAT912\_ALERT\_4\_G Missing # of FCF Reflections Above STh/L= 0.600 61 Note

---

- 0 **ALERT level A** = Most likely a serious problem - resolve or explain  
1 **ALERT level B** = A potentially serious problem, consider carefully  
6 **ALERT level C** = Check. Ensure it is not caused by an omission or oversight  
3 **ALERT level G** = General information/check it is not something unexpected

- 1 ALERT type 1 CIF construction/syntax error, inconsistent or missing data  
5 ALERT type 2 Indicator that the structure model may be wrong or deficient  
2 ALERT type 3 Indicator that the structure quality may be low  
2 ALERT type 4 Improvement, methodology, query or suggestion  
0 ALERT type 5 Informative message, check
- 

## Validation response form

Please find below a validation response form (VRF) that can be filled in and pasted into your CIF.

```
# start Validation Reply Form
```

```
_vrf_GOODF01_crl119
```

```
;
```

```
PROBLEM: The least squares goodness of fit parameter lies
```

```
RESPONSE: ...
```

```
;
```

```
_vrf_PLAT352_crl119
```

```
;
```

```
PROBLEM: Short N-H (X0.87,N1.01A) N2 - H2 .. 0.67 Ang.
```

```
RESPONSE: ...
```

```
;
```

```
_vrf_PLAT420_crl119
```

```
;
```

```
PROBLEM: D-H Without Acceptor N1 -- H1 ... Please Check
```

```
RESPONSE: ...
```

```
;
```

```
_vrf_PLAT480_crl119
```

```
;
```

```
PROBLEM: Long H...A H-Bond Reported H2 .. O2 .. 2.64 Ang.
```

```
RESPONSE: ...
```

```
;
```

```
_vrf_PLAT978_crl119
```

```
;
```

PROBLEM: Number C-C Bonds with Positive Residual Density.

0 Note

RESPONSE: ...

;

# end Validation Reply Form

---

It is advisable to attempt to resolve as many as possible of the alerts in all categories. Often the minor alerts point to easily fixed oversights, errors and omissions in your CIF or refinement strategy, so attention to these fine details can be worthwhile. In order to resolve some of the more serious problems it may be necessary to carry out additional measurements or structure refinements. However, the purpose of your study may justify the reported deviations and the more serious of these should normally be commented upon in the discussion or experimental section of a paper or in the "special\_details" fields of the CIF. checkCIF was carefully designed to identify outliers and unusual parameters, but every test has its limitations and alerts that are not important in a particular case may appear. Conversely, the absence of alerts does not guarantee there are no aspects of the results needing attention. It is up to the individual to critically assess their own results and, if necessary, seek expert advice.

### **Publication of your CIF in IUCr journals**

A basic structural check has been run on your CIF. These basic checks will be run on all CIFs submitted for publication in IUCr journals (*Acta Crystallographica*, *Journal of Applied Crystallography*, *Journal of Synchrotron Radiation*); however, if you intend to submit to *Acta Crystallographica Section C* or *E* or *IUCrData*, you should make sure that full publication checks are run on the final version of your CIF prior to submission.

### **Publication of your CIF in other journals**

Please refer to the *Notes for Authors* of the relevant journal for any special instructions relating to CIF submission.

---

**PLATON version of 27/03/2017; check.def file version of 24/03/2017**

