

Supplementary Materials for “A kernel nonparametric quantile estimator for right-censored competing risks data”

Caiyun Fan, Gang Ding and Feipeng Zhang

Appendix B Detailed Results of Simulation Studies

Table B. 1: Performance comparison between the kernel smoothed quantile estimator $\tilde{Q}_1(p)$ with $h = 0.05$ and the Peng and Fine's quantile estimator $\hat{Q}_1(p)$, based on 1000 simulated samples.

n	Method	p	$C\% = 15\%$				$C\% = 30\%$			
			0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4
100	$\widehat{Q}_1(p)$	True	0.427	0.637	0.833	1.048	0.427	0.637	0.833	1.048
		Bias	0.005	0.006	0.009	0.015	0.007	0.006	0.009	0.019
		SD	0.075	0.086	0.100	0.133	0.077	0.089	0.107	0.151
	$\widetilde{Q}_1(p)$	RMSE	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
		Bias	0.003	0.005	0.009	0.016	0.006	0.007	0.010	0.017
		SD	0.069	0.083	0.096	0.127	0.073	0.086	0.103	0.141
		ESE	0.068	0.081	0.100	0.140	0.070	0.086	0.109	0.162
	$\widehat{Q}_1(p)$	RMSE	0.859	0.922	0.934	0.913	0.894	0.924	0.939	0.874
		Bias	0.005	0.003	0.005	0.007	0.006	0.004	0.006	0.010
		SD	0.051	0.058	0.069	0.095	0.053	0.061	0.073	0.100
200	$\widehat{Q}_1(p)$	RMSE	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
		Bias	0.002	0.003	0.005	0.009	0.003	0.004	0.006	0.012
		SD	0.047	0.056	0.067	0.092	0.049	0.058	0.071	0.097
	$\widetilde{Q}_1(p)$	ESE	0.048	0.056	0.068	0.092	0.049	0.059	0.074	0.103
		RMSE	0.869	0.917	0.950	0.943	0.847	0.914	0.945	0.955
		bias	0.002	-0.000	0.001	0.001	0.003	0.001	0.003	0.003
		SD	0.036	0.041	0.049	0.061	0.038	0.043	0.053	0.066
	$\widehat{Q}_1(p)$	RMSE	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
		Bias	-0.000	-0.000	0.001	0.003	0.000	0.001	0.003	0.005
		SD	0.034	0.039	0.047	0.061	0.035	0.041	0.051	0.065
400	$\widehat{Q}_1(p)$	ESE	0.034	0.039	0.048	0.063	0.035	0.041	0.051	0.069
		RMSE	1.181	1.102	1.059	1.023	1.189	1.092	1.076	1.027
	$\widetilde{Q}_1(p)$									
	$\widehat{Q}_1(p)$									
$\widetilde{Q}_1(p)$										

Bias: the empirical bias; SD: the empirical standard error; ESE: the average bootstrap estimated standard error; RMSE: $\text{MSE}(\tilde{Q}_1(p))/\text{MSE}(\hat{Q}_1(p))$.

Table B. 2: Performance comparison between the kernel smoothed quantile estimator $\tilde{Q}_2(p)$ with $h = 0.05$ and the Peng and Fine's quantile estimator $\hat{Q}_2(p)$, based on 1000 simulated samples.

n	Method	p	$C\% = 15\%$			$C\% = 30\%$		
			0.1	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.3
100	$\hat{Q}_2(p)$	True	0.349	0.627	0.995	0.349	0.627	0.995
		Bias	0.002	0.015	0.045	0.010	0.018	0.040
		SD	0.084	0.128	0.272	0.085	0.137	0.269
		RMSE	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
	$\tilde{Q}_2(p)$	Bias	0.007	0.017	0.003	0.008	0.022	-0.020
		SD	0.077	0.124	0.225	0.079	0.133	0.253
		ESE	0.082	0.138	0.262	0.085	0.146	0.285
		RMSE	0.844	0.947	0.666	0.863	0.964	0.874
	$\hat{Q}_2(p)$	Bias	0.007	0.012	0.039	0.006	0.012	0.039
		SD	0.059	0.095	0.212	0.060	0.098	0.211
		RMSE	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
200	$\tilde{Q}_2(p)$	Bias	0.006	0.014	0.034	0.006	0.015	0.015
		SD	0.055	0.092	0.165	0.056	0.095	0.174
		ESE	0.056	0.093	0.186	0.058	0.098	0.206
		RMSE	0.873	0.941	0.613	0.883	0.937	0.661
	$\hat{Q}_2(p)$	Bias	0.001	0.002	0.008	0.002	0.002	0.011
		SD	0.041	0.062	0.124	0.042	0.065	0.133
		RMSE	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
	$\tilde{Q}_2(p)$	Bias	0.001	0.004	0.017	0.001	0.004	0.017
		SD	0.038	0.060	0.120	0.039	0.063	0.126
		ESE	0.039	0.062	0.123	0.040	0.065	0.134
		RMSE	0.865	0.916	0.956	0.864	0.922	0.910
400	$\hat{Q}_2(p)$	Bias	0.001	0.002	0.008	0.002	0.002	0.011
		SD	0.041	0.062	0.124	0.042	0.065	0.133
		RMSE	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
	$\tilde{Q}_2(p)$	Bias	0.001	0.004	0.017	0.001	0.004	0.017
		SD	0.038	0.060	0.120	0.039	0.063	0.126
		ESE	0.039	0.062	0.123	0.040	0.065	0.134
		RMSE	0.865	0.916	0.956	0.864	0.922	0.910

Bias: the empirical bias; SD: the empirical standard error; ESE: the average bootstrap estimated standard error; RMSE: $\text{MSE}(\tilde{Q}_2(p))/\text{MSE}(\hat{Q}_2(p))$. Note: since $P(\epsilon = 2) = 0.4$, we only report $p = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3$.

Table B. 3: Performance comparison between the kernel smoothed quantile estimator $\tilde{Q}_1(p)$ with $h = 0.10$ and the Peng and Fine's quantile estimator $\hat{Q}_1(p)$, based on 1000 simulated samples.

n	Method	p	$C\% = 15\%$				$C\% = 30\%$			
			0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4
100	$\widehat{Q}_1(p)$	True	0.427	0.637	0.833	1.048	0.427	0.637	0.833	1.048
		Bias	0.005	0.006	0.009	0.015	0.007	0.006	0.009	0.019
		SD	0.075	0.086	0.100	0.133	0.077	0.089	0.107	0.151
		RMSE	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
	$\widetilde{Q}_1(p)$	Bias	-0.004	0.004	0.010	0.019	-0.002	0.006	0.012	0.018
		SD	0.065	0.080	0.094	0.118	0.068	0.083	0.100	0.129
		ESE	0.063	0.078	0.097	0.129	0.065	0.082	0.105	0.146
		RMSE	0.758	0.853	0.893	0.800	0.778	0.865	0.884	0.733
200	$\widehat{Q}_1(p)$	Bias	0.005	0.003	0.005	0.007	0.006	0.004	0.006	0.010
		SD	0.051	0.058	0.069	0.095	0.053	0.061	0.073	0.100
		RMSE	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
		$\widetilde{Q}_1(p)$	Bias	-0.006	0.002	0.007	0.015	-0.005	0.003	0.008
	SD		0.044	0.054	0.066	0.092	0.045	0.056	0.069	0.097
	ESE		0.044	0.054	0.067	0.090	0.045	0.057	0.072	0.098
	RMSE		0.758	0.851	0.926	0.969	0.732	0.849	0.910	0.958
	400	$\widehat{Q}_1(p)$	Bias	0.002	-0.000	0.001	0.001	0.003	0.001	0.003
SD			0.036	0.041	0.049	0.061	0.038	0.043	0.053	0.066
RMSE			1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
$\widetilde{Q}_1(p)$			Bias	-0.008	-0.001	0.003	0.009	-0.008	0.000	0.004
		SD	0.031	0.037	0.046	0.061	0.032	0.039	0.049	0.065
		ESE	0.031	0.038	0.046	0.063	0.032	0.040	0.050	0.068
		RMSE	0.772	0.843	0.902	1.009	0.757	0.847	0.885	1.007

Bias: the empirical bias; SD: the empirical standard error; ESE: the average bootstrap estimated standard error; RMSE: $\text{MSE}(\tilde{Q}_1(p))/\text{MSE}(\hat{Q}_1(p))$.

Table B. 4: Performance comparison between the kernel smoothed quantile estimator $\tilde{Q}_2(p)$ with $h = 0.10$ and the Peng and Fine's quantile estimator $\hat{Q}_2(p)$, based on 1000 simulated samples.

n	Method	p	$C\% = 15\%$			$C\% = 30\%$		
			0.1	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.3
100	$\hat{Q}_2(p)$	True	0.349	0.627	0.995	0.349	0.627	0.995
		Bias	0.002	0.015	0.045	0.010	0.018	0.040
		SD	0.084	0.128	0.272	0.085	0.137	0.269
		RMSE	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
	$\tilde{Q}_2(p)$	Bias	0.007	0.025	-0.040	0.008	0.028	-0.074
		SD	0.072	0.124	0.177	0.074	0.129	0.203
		ESE	0.077	0.128	0.201	0.080	0.132	0.224
		RMSE	0.739	0.960	0.431	0.764	0.925	0.635
	$\hat{Q}_2(p)$	Bias	0.007	0.012	0.039	0.006	0.012	0.039
		SD	0.059	0.095	0.212	0.060	0.098	0.211
		RMSE	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
200	$\tilde{Q}_2(p)$	Bias	0.005	0.021	0.004	0.005	0.023	-0.024
		SD	0.053	0.092	0.122	0.053	0.095	0.145
		ESE	0.053	0.091	0.143	0.054	0.094	0.161
		RMSE	0.784	0.987	0.323	0.789	0.971	0.469
	$\hat{Q}_2(p)$	Bias	0.001	0.002	0.008	0.002	0.002	0.011
		SD	0.041	0.062	0.124	0.042	0.065	0.133
		RMSE	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
	$\tilde{Q}_2(p)$	Bias	-0.001	0.010	0.021	-0.001	0.011	0.010
		SD	0.036	0.059	0.095	0.037	0.063	0.107
		ESE	0.036	0.062	0.097	0.037	0.065	0.109
		RMSE	0.762	0.930	0.614	0.761	0.947	0.648
400	$\hat{Q}_2(p)$	Bias	0.001	0.002	0.008	0.002	0.002	0.011
		SD	0.041	0.062	0.124	0.042	0.065	0.133
		RMSE	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
	$\tilde{Q}_2(p)$	Bias	-0.001	0.010	0.021	-0.001	0.011	0.010
		SD	0.036	0.059	0.095	0.037	0.063	0.107
		ESE	0.036	0.062	0.097	0.037	0.065	0.109
		RMSE	0.762	0.930	0.614	0.761	0.947	0.648

Bias: the empirical bias; SD: the empirical standard error; ESE: the average bootstrap estimated standard error; RMSE: $\text{MSE}(\tilde{Q}_2(p))/\text{MSE}(\hat{Q}_2(p))$. Note: since $P(\epsilon = 2) = 0.4$, we only report $p = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3$.

Table B. 5: Performance comparison between the kernel smoothed quantile estimator $\tilde{Q}_1(p)$ with $h = 0.15$ and the Peng and Fine's quantile estimator $\hat{Q}_1(p)$, based on 1000 simulated samples.

n	Method	p	$C\% = 15\%$				$C\% = 30\%$			
			0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4
100	$\widehat{Q}_1(p)$	True	0.427	0.637	0.833	1.048	0.427	0.637	0.833	1.048
		Bias	0.005	0.006	0.009	0.015	0.007	0.006	0.009	0.019
		SD	0.075	0.086	0.100	0.133	0.077	0.089	0.107	0.151
		RMSE	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
	$\widetilde{Q}_1(p)$	Bias	-0.021	0.003	0.013	0.022	-0.019	0.004	0.015	0.011
		SD	0.059	0.077	0.093	0.109	0.061	0.080	0.098	0.116
		ESE	0.056	0.075	0.094	0.118	0.058	0.079	0.101	0.134
		RMSE	0.696	0.791	0.880	0.684	0.695	0.807	0.855	0.587
	$\widehat{Q}_1(p)$	Bias	0.005	0.003	0.005	0.007	0.006	0.004	0.006	0.010
		SD	0.051	0.058	0.069	0.095	0.053	0.061	0.073	0.100
RMSE		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
$\widetilde{Q}_1(p)$		Bias	-0.023	0.001	0.009	0.023	-0.023	0.002	0.011	0.024
	SD	0.039	0.052	0.066	0.088	0.040	0.054	0.069	0.091	
	ESE	0.039	0.053	0.066	0.085	0.041	0.055	0.071	0.092	
	RMSE	0.812	0.792	0.925	0.915	0.767	0.793	0.907	0.881	
200	$\widehat{Q}_1(p)$	Bias	0.002	-0.000	0.001	0.001	0.003	0.001	0.003	0.003
		SD	0.036	0.041	0.049	0.061	0.038	0.043	0.053	0.066
		RMSE	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
		$\widetilde{Q}_1(p)$	Bias	-0.026	-0.002	0.005	0.020	-0.025	-0.001	0.007
	SD		0.028	0.036	0.045	0.063	0.029	0.038	0.048	0.066
	ESE		0.028	0.037	0.046	0.062	0.029	0.039	0.049	0.066
	RMSE		1.074	0.797	0.883	1.148	1.017	0.796	0.861	1.124

Bias: the empirical bias; SD: the empirical standard error; ESE: the average bootstrap estimated standard error; RMSE: $\text{MSE}(\tilde{Q}_1(p))/\text{MSE}(\hat{Q}_1(p))$.

Table B. 6: Performance comparison between the kernel smoothed quantile estimator $\tilde{Q}_2(p)$ with $h = 0.15$ and the Peng and Fine's quantile estimator $\hat{Q}_2(p)$, based on 1000 simulated samples.

n	Method	p	$C\% = 15\%$			$C\% = 30\%$		
			0.1	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.3
100	$\hat{Q}_2(p)$	True	0.349	0.627	0.995	0.349	0.627	0.995
		Bias	0.002	0.015	0.045	0.010	0.018	0.040
		SD	0.084	0.128	0.272	0.085	0.137	0.269
		RMSE	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
	$\tilde{Q}_2(p)$	Bias	0.005	0.033	-0.120	0.007	0.032	-0.156
		SD	0.068	0.118	0.150	0.071	0.122	0.179
		ESE	0.073	0.116	0.162	0.075	0.119	0.185
		RMSE	0.658	0.908	0.485	0.693	0.842	0.766
	$\hat{Q}_2(p)$	Bias	0.007	0.012	0.039	0.006	0.012	0.039
		SD	0.059	0.095	0.212	0.060	0.098	0.211
		RMSE	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
200	$\tilde{Q}_2(p)$	Bias	0.002	0.034	-0.080	0.003	0.033	-0.109
		SD	0.050	0.092	0.101	0.051	0.091	0.133
		ESE	0.050	0.086	0.115	0.052	0.088	0.135
		RMSE	0.705	1.062	0.358	0.712	0.950	0.645
	$\hat{Q}_2(p)$	Bias	0.001	0.002	0.008	0.002	0.002	0.011
		SD	0.041	0.062	0.124	0.042	0.065	0.133
		RMSE	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
	$\tilde{Q}_2(p)$	Bias	-0.003	0.023	-0.060	-0.003	0.024	-0.078
		SD	0.034	0.062	0.071	0.035	0.064	0.092
		ESE	0.034	0.063	0.076	0.035	0.064	0.094
		RMSE	0.683	1.114	0.567	0.686	1.092	0.813
400	$\hat{Q}_2(p)$	Bias	0.001	0.002	0.008	0.002	0.002	0.011
		SD	0.041	0.062	0.124	0.042	0.065	0.133
		RMSE	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
	$\tilde{Q}_2(p)$	Bias	-0.003	0.023	-0.060	-0.003	0.024	-0.078
		SD	0.034	0.062	0.071	0.035	0.064	0.092
		ESE	0.034	0.063	0.076	0.035	0.064	0.094
		RMSE	0.683	1.114	0.567	0.686	1.092	0.813

Bias: the empirical bias; SD: the empirical standard error; ESE: the average bootstrap estimated standard error; RMSE: $\text{MSE}(\tilde{Q}_2(p))/\text{MSE}(\hat{Q}_2(p))$. Note: since $P(\epsilon = 2) = 0.4$, we only report $p = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3$.