#### Supplemental Material

## 1. Calculation of Subjective Unconsciousness using ROC measures

#### 1.1: ROC calculations and experimental method

There was no precedence for the calculation of non-parametric discrimination performance using ROC criteria for subjective adjustments (Kingdom & Prins, 2010; Prins, 2016). In consultation with on-topic colleagues and further development of existing methods (Tsikandilakis, Chapman & Peirce, 2018), ROC-adjustments were made to the duration of presentation (13.89 or 20.83 or 27.78 or 34.72 or 41.67 ms) for which hits produced the closest – corrected for multiple available forced-choices – score to .167 when false alarms where designated as false positives for the particular stimulus type in the implemented duration (13.89 or 20.83 or 27.78 or 34.72 or 41.67 ms) after dividing the false alarm rate by the number of available stimulus categories that could confer the possibility for false alarms. The blurs were also treated as potential instances for false alarms. The implementation of the duration of the blur stimuli was performed also using subjective criteria for .167 (n  $_{13.89} = 59$ ; n  $_{20.83} = 18$ ; M. = .174, S.E. = .007; B = .34). Instances of equal performance between two or more thresholds were not reported for ROC or hit-rate adjustments.

## 1.2: Perfect Chance Level Performance for A adapted for Multiple Choice Assessment

For available experimental durations 13.89 or 20.83 or 27.78 or 34.72 or 41.67 ms and for Angry adjusted duration "x", Fearful adjusted duration "y", happy adjusted duration "z", sad adjusted duration "w", neutral adjusted duration "q" and blur adjusted duration "i", perfect chance-level performance (A = .167):

Presented	Angry	Angry	Angry	Angry	Angry	Angry
Stimulus	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)
Responded	Angry	Fearful	Happy	Sad	Neutral	Blur
Presented	Fearful	Fearful	Fearful	Fearful	Fearful	Fearful
Stimulus	(y)	(y)	(y)	(y)	(y)	(y)
Responded	Angry	Fearful	Happy	Sad	Neutral	Blur
Presented	Happy	Happy	Happy	Happy	Happy	Нарру
Stimulus	(z)	(z)	(z)	(z)	(z)	(z)
Responded	Angry	Fearful	Happy	Sad	Neutral	Blur
Presented	Sad	Sad	Sad	Sad	Sad	Sad
Stimulus	(w)	(w)	(w)	(w)	(w)	(w)
Responded	Angry	Fearful	Happy	Sad	Neutral	Blur
Presented	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral (q)
Stimulus	(q)	(q)	(q)	(q)	(q)	
Responded	Angry	Fearful	Happy	Sad	Neutral	Blur
Presented	Blur	Blur	Blur	Blur	Blur	Blur $K =$
Stimulus	(i)	(i)	(i)	(i)	(i)	S(blurs)
						() n(emotion types)
Responded	Angry	Fearful	Нарру	Sad	Neutral	Blur/K

Tab.2: Perfect chance-level performance

# . Supplemental Material 2: Session Analysis

# 2.1: Frequentist Analysis

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
	Between Groups	.000	1	.000	.201	.656
Fearful_SCR	Within Groups	.010	75	.000		
	Total	.010	76			
	Between Groups	.000	1	.000	.324	.571
Angry_SCR	Within Groups	.005	75	.000		
	Total	.005	76			
	Between Groups	.000	1	.000	.077	.783
Happiness_SCR	Within Groups	.003	75	.000		
	Total	.003	76			
	Between Groups	.000	1	.000	1.894	.173
Sadness_SCR	Within Groups	.001	75	.000		
	Total	.001	76			
	Between Groups	.000	1	.000	2.778	.100
Neutrality_SCR	Within Groups	.001	75	.000		
	Total	.001	76			
	Between Groups	.013	1	.013	1.371	.245
Fear_HR	Within Groups	.694	75	.009		
	Total	.707	76			
	Between Groups	.014	1	.014	1.329	.253
Anger_HR	Within Groups	.798	75	.011		
	Total	.812	76			
	Between Groups	.018	1	.018	3.469	.066
Happiness_HR	Within Groups	.391	75	.005		
	Total	.409	76			
	Between Groups	.011	1	.011	1.617	.207
Sadness_HR	Within Groups	.528	75	.007		
	Total	.539	76			

# 2.2: Bayesian Analysis

Full Bayesian pairwise comparisons are included in a dedicated section in 3.1 to 3.7 using matrix statistical tables for each assessment.

## 3. Bayesian Analysis

#### 3.1: SCR responses for ROC adjustments

A Bayesian analysis with uncorrected degrees of freedom was run using the Dienes calculator. The intervals were defined at - .01 and .01. The results for B can be seen in the confusion matrix below:

	Angry	Нарру	Sad	Neutral
Fearful	.27	.11	.55	1.31
Angry		.12	.47	1.12
Happy			.36	.97

#### 3.2: Heart-rate responses for ROC adjustments

A Bayesian analysis with uncorrected degrees of freedom was run using the Dienes calculator. The intervals were defined at - 1 and 1. The results for B can be seen in the confusion matrix below:

	Angry	Happy	Sad	Neutral
Fearful	.5	.12	.17	.92
Angry		.15	.19	.74
Happy			.21	.97

## 3.3: Valence responses for ROC adjustments

A Bayesian analysis with uncorrected degrees of freedom was run using the Dienes calculator. The intervals were defined at - 1 and 1. The results for B can be seen in the confusion matrix below:

	Angry	Happy	Sad	Neutral
Fearful	.23	.47	.51	.49
Angry		.36	.49	.41
Happy				.21

## 3.4: Arousal responses for ROC adjustments

A Bayesian analysis with uncorrected degrees of freedom was run using the Dienes calculator. The intervals were defined at - 1 and 1. The results for B can be seen in the confusion matrix below:

	Angry	Нарру	Sad	Neutral
Fearful	.62	.74	.66	.99
Angry		.72	.66	.98
Нарру			.67	.99

#### 3.5 Facial-Emotional Responses

<u>A</u> Bayesian analysis with uncorrected degrees of freedom was run using the Dienes calculator. The intervals were defined at - .1 and .1. The results for B can be seen in the confusion matrix below:

	Angry	Sad
Fearful	.02	.01
Angry		.01

## 3.6: Force Pressure Responses for ROC Adjustments

A single participant was excluded from the analysis due to excessive movement artefacts. See raw data at <a href="https://osf.io/3v4uh/">https://osf.io/3v4uh/</a> A Bayesian analysis with uncorrected degrees of freedom was run using the Dienes calculator. The intervals were defined at - .1 and .1. The results for B can be seen in the confusion matrix below:

	Angry	Happy	Sad	Neutral
Fearful	.02	.07	.05	.14
Angry		.04	.09	.11
Happy			.09	.08

## 3.7: RDR Responses for Hit-Rate and ROC Adjustments

A single participant was excluded from the analysis due to excessive movement artefacts. See raw data at <a href="https://osf.io/3v4uh/">https://osf.io/3v4uh/</a> A Bayesian analysis with uncorrected degrees of freedom was run using the Dienes calculator. The intervals were defined at - .1 and .1. The results for B can be seen in the confusion matrix below:

Hit-Rate Adjustments:

	Angry	Нарру	Sad	Neutral
Fearful	.73	.71	.95	.96
Angry		.79	.91	.97
Happy			.92	.96

#### **ROC** Adjustments:

	Angry	Happy	Sad	Neutral
Fearful	.03	.08	.09	.19
Angry		.09	.09	.13
Нарру			.11	.12

# Supplemental Material 4: Pairwise Comparisons

# 4.1: Frequentist Analysis

**Descriptive Statistics** 

	Mean Std. Deviation		N		
SCRFEARHITS	.0922	.01059	77		
SCRANGRYHITS	.0566	.00968	77		
SCRHAPPYHITS	.0555	.01165	77		
SCRSADHITS	.0174	.00894	77		
SCRNEUTRALHITS	.0166	.03110	77		

## **Pairwise Comparisons**

Measure: MEASURE\_1

(I) SCR_Hits	(J) SCR_Hits	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig. <sup>b</sup>	95% Confidence Interval for Difference <sup>b</sup>	
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound
	2	.036*	.002	.000	.031	.040
	3	.037*	.002	.000	.032	.042
1	4	.075*	.001	.000	.070	.079
	5	.076*	.004	.000	.065	.086
	1	036*	.002	.000	040	031
2	3	.001	.002	1.000	004	.006
2	4	.039*	.002	.000	.035	.044
	5	.040*	.004	.000	.030	.050
	1	037*	.002	.000	042	032
3	2	001	.002	1.000	006	.004
3	4	.038*	.002	.000	.033	.043
	5	.039*	.004	.000	.028	.049
	1	075 <sup>*</sup>	.001	.000	079	070
4	2	039*	.002	.000	044	035
4	3	038*	.002	.000	043	033
	5	.001	.004	1.000	010	.012
	1	076*	.004	.000	086	065
5	2	040 <sup>*</sup>	.004	.000	050	030
5	3	039 <sup>*</sup>	.004	.000	049	028
	4	001	.004	1.000	012	.010

**Descriptive Statistics** 

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N				
SCRFEARMISS	.0306	.00848	77				
SCRANGRYMISS	.0297	.00873	77				
SCRHAPPYMISS	.0257	.01105	77				
SCRSADMISS	.0186	.00914	77				
SCRNEUTRALMISS	.0113	.00817	77				

## **Pairwise Comparisons**

Measure: MEASURE\_1

(I) SCR_Hits	(J) SCR_Hits	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig. <sup>♭</sup>	95% Confidence Interval for Difference <sup>b</sup>		
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
2 3 1 4	2	.001	.001	1.000	003	.005	
	3	.005*	.002	.035	.000	.010	
	.012*	.001	.000	.008	.016		
	5	.019*	.001	.000	.016	.023	
	1	001	.001	1.000	005	.003	
3	3	.004	.002	.209	001	.009	
2	4	.011*	.001	.000	.007	.015	
	5	.018*	.001	.000	.014	.023	
1 2 4 5	1	005*	.002	.035	010	.000	
	004	.002	.209	009	.001		
	.007*	.002	.001	.002	.012		
	.014*	.002	.000	.010	.019		
	1	012 <sup>*</sup>	.001	.000	016	008	
2 3 5	011 <sup>*</sup>	.001	.000	015	007		
	3	007 <sup>*</sup>	.002	.001	012	002	
	.007*	.001	.000	.003	.011		
1 2 5 3	1	019 <sup>*</sup>	.001	.000	023	016	
	2	018 <sup>*</sup>	.001	.000	023	014	
	3	014*	.002	.000	019	010	
	4	007 <sup>*</sup>	.001	.000	011	003	

# 4.2: Bayesian Analysis

Full Bayesian pairwise comparisons are included in a dedicated section in 3.1 to 3.7 using matrix statistical tables for each assessment.

# 5. Bonferonni Corrected Comparisons for Linear Trend Analysis

# 5.1: SPSS Output

## Bonferroni

		Possibly	01747 <sup>*</sup>	.00355	.000	0261	0089
Fear_Trend_SCR	Unsure	Definetely	03317 <sup>*</sup>	.00355	.000	0418	0246
	Possibly	Unsure	.01747 <sup>*</sup>	.00355	.000	.0089	.0261
		Definetely	01570*	.00353	.000	0243	0071
	Definetely	Unsure	.03317*	.00355	.000	.0246	.0418
		Possibly	.01570*	.00353	.000	.0071	.0243
	Unsure	Possibly	55793*	.03775	.000	6495	4663
	Ulisule	Definetely	64363*	.03775	.000	7352	5520
Fear_Trend_HR	Possibly	Unsure	.55793 <sup>*</sup>	.03775	.000	.4663	.6495
r ear_rrend_rnx	r Ossibly	Definetely	08570	.03752	.072	1768	.0054
	Definetely	Unsure	.64363*	.03775	.000	.5520	.7352
	Definition	Possibly	.08570	.03752	.072	0054	.1768
		Possibly	00006	.00180	1.000	0044	.0043
	Unsure Possibly Definetely	Definetely	01817*	.00180	.000	0225	0138
		Unsure	.00006	.00180	1.000	0043	.0044
Angry_Trend_SCR							
		Definetely	01811 <sup>*</sup>	.00178	.000	0224	0138
		Unsure	.01817*	.00180	.000	.0138	.0225
		Possibly	.01811*	.00178	.000	.0138	.0224
		Possibly	04026	.05897	1.000	1834	.1029
	Unsure	Definetely	55367*	.05897	.000	6968	4105
		Unsure	.04026	.05897	1.000	1029	.1834
Angry_Trend_HR	Possibly						
		Definetely	51341 <sup>*</sup>	.05827	.000	6548	3720
		Unsure	.55367*	.05897	.000	.4105	.6968
Happy_Trend_SCR	Definetely	Possibly	.51341 <sup>*</sup>	.05827	.000	.3720	.6548
	Po	Possibly	00081	.00375	1.000	0099	.0083
	Unsure [	Definetely	00982*	.00373	.029	0189	0008
	Possibly	Unsure	.00081	.00375	1.000	0083	.0099
		Definetely	00900	.00375	.054	0181	.0001
	Definetely	Unsure	.00982*	.00373	.029	.0008	.0189
		Possibly	.00900	.00375	.054	0001	.0181
I							

Happy_Trend_HR	Unsure	Possibly	36103 <sup>*</sup>	.05049	.000	4836	2385
		Definetely	52951 <sup>*</sup>	.05019	.000	6513	4077
	Possibly	Unsure	.36103 <sup>*</sup>	.05049	.000	.2385	.4836
		Definetely	16847*	.05049	.003	2910	0459
	Definetely	Unsure	.52951 <sup>*</sup>	.05019	.000	.4077	.6513
	•	Possibly	.16847 <sup>*</sup>	.05049	.003	.0459	.2910

# 5.2: Bayesian Analysis

Full Bayesian pairwise comparisons are included in a dedicated section in 3.1 to 3.7 using matrix statistical tables for each assessment. Further supplementary material and analysis can also be found at  $\frac{\text{https://osf.io/3v4uh/}}{\text{https://osf.io/3v4uh/}}$