Supporting Information

Structure-based screening and molecular dynamics simulation studies for the identification

of potential Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors

Ankit Ganeshpurkar, Ravi Singh, Gore Pravin Gangaram, Devendra Kumar, Gopichand Gutti,

Ashok Kumar, Sushil Kumar Singh*

Pharmaceutical Chemistry Research Laboratory, Department of Pharmaceutical Engineering & Technology, Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University), Varanasi 221005, India.

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 542 6702736; fax: + 91 542 368428. E-mail address: <u>sksingh.phe@iitbhu.ac.in</u>

Figures



Figure S1. Schematic representation of Knime workflow utilized for the removal of compounds having undesirable properties for CNS activity.



Figure S2. (a) Local quality estimation of the developed model, (b) Graphical representation of QmeanDISCO, (c) Comparison of the model with set of PDB structures, (d) Representation of QMWAN4 and its constitutive elements of the developed model.



Figure S3. Residue-wise representation of QMEAN score for the complete protein.



Figure S4 Plots depicting. (a) stages of energy minimisation (b) Heating (c) Density equilibration (d) MD run.



Figure S5. Plot depicting (a) energy minimisation (b) heating (c) density equilibration (d) total potential energy of short NPT equilibration run (e) temperature of short NPT equilibration run (f) RMSD of short NPT equilibration run of protein-ZINC000013719534 complex



Figure S5. Plot depicting (a) energy minimisation (b) heating (c) density equilibration (d) total potential energy of short NPT equilibration run (e) temperature of short NPT equilibration run (f) RMSD of short NPT equilibration run of protein-ZINC000035551243 complex



Figure S5. Plot depicting (a) energy minimisation (b) heating (c) density equilibration (d) total potential energy of short NPT equilibration run (e) temperature of short NPT equilibration run (f) RMSD of short NPT equilibration run of protein-ZINC000035596918 complex

Tables

Table T1. Protocol for energy minimisation carried out before molecular dynamic simulation in AMBER2018.

Stage	Maximum number of steps (steepest descent)	Maximum number of steps (conjugate gradient)	Weight for the positional restraints. (in kcal/mol- Å ²)	Restrained elements
1	2000	3000	500	Protein and ligand
2	1000	4000	500	Protein and ligand except for their
				hydrogen
3	1000	4000	500	The backbone of protein and ligand
4	1000	4000	50	Protein and ligand except for their
				hydrogen
5	1000	4000	50	The backbone of protein and ligand
6	1000	4000	5	Protein and ligand excep for their
				hydrogen
7	1000	4000	5	The backbone of protein and ligand

Table T2. PLIP report displaying various interactions between 4EY7 and Donepezil

(a) Hydrophobic Interactions

Index	Residue	AA	Distance	Ligand Atom	Protein Atom
1	86A	TRP	3.84	8403	649
2	337A	TYR	3.66	8400	2530
3	338A	PHE	3.77	8394	2543
4	338A	PHE	3.83	8400	2545
5	341A	TYR	3.77	8387	2568
6	341A	TYR	3.95	8389	2566
7	341A	TYR	3.60	8394	2569

(b)

Hydrogen

bonding

Index	Residue	AA	Distance H-A Distance D-A		Dono	r I	Donor	Acceptor		
							Angle	e A	Atom	Atom
1	2954	PHF	1 99	2.04		159 5	2 2	197	8408 [02]	
1	2)JA	I IIL	1.))		2.77	r -	157.5	T [Nam]	0400[02]
(c)									3	π-π Stacking
Index	Residue	AA	Distance	Ang	gle	Offset	Туре	Ligan	d Aton	ns
1	961	трр	2 80	143	21	0.72	D	8402,	8403,	8404, 8405,
1	80A	IKF	5.89	14	51	0.72	Г	8406,	8407	
2	2861	трр	2 82	4.20	n N	1 27	D	8385,	8386,	8387, 8388,
L	200A	IKF	3.82	4.23	7	1.37	r	8389,	8390	
2	241 4		4.23 27	27.0	7.95 1.41	D	8388,	8389,	8391, 8392,	
3	341A	J41A IIK		27.3		1.41	Ľ	8393		

Table T3. LGA parameters for virtual screening and precision docking.

Docking	Number of GA run	Population size	Maximum number of generations	Maximum number of evaluations	Rate of Gene mutation	Rate of crossing over
Virtual screening	10	150	27000	250000	0.02	0.8
Precision docking	100	150	27000	2500000	0.02	0.8

Table T4. Protocol for energy minimisation carried out before MM-GBSA, MM-PBSA, and Alanine scanning in AMBER18.

Stage	Maximum number of steps (steepest descent)	Maximum number of steps (conjugate gradient)	Weight for the positional restraints. (in kcal/mol- Å ²)	Restrained elements
1	1000	1000	500	Protein and ligand
2	1000	9000	5	Protein and ligand except their hydrogen

Table T5. Detail molecular dynamics protocol for MM-GBSA, MM-PBSA, and Alanine scanning.

(a)			Heating		
Number of steps	Intial temperature(K)	Final temperature(K)	Temperature regulation	collision frequency (in ps ⁻¹)	Ensemble
25000	100.0	310.15	Langevin dynamics	2	NVT
(b)				Equi	libration
Number of steps	Temperature(K)	Temperature regulation	Pressure regulation	SHAKE bond length constraints	Ensemble
50000	310.15	Langevin dynamics	Berendsen	bonds involving hydrogen	NPT
(c) MD ru	n				
Number of steps	Temperature(K)	Temperature regulation	Pressure regulation	SHAKE bond length constraints	Ensemble
500000	310.15	Langevin dynamics	Berendsen	bonds involving hydrogen	NPT

Table T6. Detailed molecular dynamics protocol for Molecular dynamics.

(a)				Hea	ting
Number of steps	Intial temperature(K)	Final temperature(K)	Temperature regulation	collision frequency (in ps ⁻¹)	Ensemble

50000	100.0	310.15	Langevin dynamics	2	NVT
(b)				Dens	sity
equili	bration				
Number of steps	Temperature(K)	Temperature regulation	Pressure regulation	SHAKE bond length constraints	Ensemble
50000	310.15	Langevin dynamics	Berendsen	bonds involving hydrogen	NPT
(c) Equilibra	tion				
Number of steps	Temperature(K)	Temperature regulation	Pressure regulation	SHAKE bond length constraints	Ensemble
100000	310.15	Langevin dynamics	Berendsen	bonds involving hydrogen	NPT