## **Supplementary material**

### Supplementary appendix A. Information about PDS items

Information about PDS items is collected in two separate modules: the rations module and a seven-day diary of food purchases. The former collects information about the quantity of ration items received, consumed, bartered, sold, or given away by the household during the previous 30 days. In the rations module, households are also asked how much they would pay in the open market to purchase each PDS or ration item. The diary records all purchases of food, including ration items, over the previous seven days (expenditures and quantities) (table SA.A.1). To estimate a household's consumption of PDS items, the analysis follow the methodology for the construction of the official welfare aggregate for estimating poverty (World Bank, 2013). Any purchases of PDS items recorded in the diary over the seven-day recall period are multiplied by a factor of 30/7 to obtain 30-day equivalents, and these quantities are added to the consumption of PDS items over the previous 30 days as recorded in the rations module. In practice, purchases of PDS items (recorded in the diary) are few and far between.

### Table SA.A.1 near here

Next, these monthly quantities of PDS items need to be valued. Two key principles guide this valuation procedure. Households that consume (or purchase) a larger quantity of PDS items must be assigned higher consumption and, thereby, utility. Second, in principle, goods and services ought to be valued equal to the market price faced for the marginal unit consumed. In Iraq, ration items are rarely traded in the market, and a market-equivalent price is nonexistent. Few transactions are recorded in the diary. There are two main reasons why market prices or, in this case, unit values (the ratio of expenditure to quantity) from market transactions are not used as the reference for valuing ration items. The first is the insufficient number of observations per item. For instance; the share of households reporting purchases of ration items in the diary questionnaire varies from less than 1 per cent in the case of vegetable fat, lentils, brown wheat, and sugar to a maximum of less than 3 per cent in the case of rice. Furthermore, there are no transactions recorded in some geographical divisions for some items. Second, there is a possibility that these unit values may be associated with some households that are quantity constrained and purchased PDS items on the market because their allocation proved insufficient.

Additionally, the unit values for the nearest free-market equivalents are significantly higher for some items. For instance, the difference between the median unit value of ration rice and the unit value of diary and commercial local rice is 70 per cent (table SA.A.2). This gap doubles if one is comparing with the median unit value of imported commercial rice. This could be mainly related to important quality differences between these types of goods. This implies that market prices for commercially available items cannot be used to value all ration items because they are not perfect substitutes.

### Table SA.A.2 near here

Another possibility is to use official prices for ration items. Two main concerns are relevant. The first is that these prices are low. Using these heavily subsidised prices would artificially suppress the value of food expenditures stemming from rations. The second concern is that rations should be valued

at a price close to the price at which the products would be traded. But these official prices are not prices at which households can procure unlimited quantities (by design). The remaining candidate for the valuation of rations is the self-reported value of ration items. The 2012 Iraq Household and Socio-Economic Survey (IHSES 2012) asks households how much they would pay for ration-equivalent items on the market.<sup>1</sup> In practice, few households expressed an opinion, and enumerators approached the local ration agent in the cluster in a manner akin to a price survey. However, there were variations in these prices that may reflect uncertainty, noise, and local variations in supply, demand, and quality. To ensure that all those who consume exactly the same amount of a ration item are assigned the same expenditure and, thereby, utility and that this expenditure increases with higher consumption, the methodology followed in the study uses the national median values of prices reported by ration agents to value ration items (World Bank, 2013).

#### Supplementary appendix B. The mixed demand model

In a mixed demand model, there are *n* free-market products and *m* subsidised products. Let  $X = [x_1, ..., x_n]$  be the vector of goods the prices of which are determined on the market. Let  $Z = [z_1...z_m]$  be the vector of goods the quantities of which are predetermined (quotas). Let *p* and *q* be the price vectors associated with *X* and *Z*, respectively. The mixed demand of a representative consumer is derived from the solution to the following maximisation problem (Moschini and Rizzi, 2007; Ramadan and Thomas, 2011):

$$\max_{x,q} u(x,z) - v(p,q,y)$$
(SA.B.1)
$$s.t. \ p'x + q'z = y$$

where *u* and *v* are the direct and indirect utility functions, respectively, and y is the consumer's income (or total expenditure). Solving the first-order conditions of the above maximisation problem yields the vector of Marshallian mixed demands:

$$x^* = x(p, z, y)$$
 and  $q^* = q(p, z, y)$  (SA.B.2)

These yield the following optimum direct and indirect utility functions:<sup>2</sup>

$$u(x^*, z) = v(p, q^*, y)$$
(SA.B.3)  
$$\equiv V^M(p, z, y)$$

where  $V^{M}(p, z, y)$  is the mixed utility function. The mixed demand functions x(p, z, y) and q(p, z, y) satisfy the adding up conditions and are homogeneous of degree zero and degree one in p and y, respectively. The symmetry property applies to the compensated mixed demand functions that are the same as the compensated demand under rationing and may be characterised in terms of the restricted cost function as follows (Moschini & Rizzi, 2006, 2007):

$$C(p, z, u) \equiv \min_{x} \{ p, x | u(x, z) \ge u \}$$
(SA.B.4)

The restricted cost function C(p, z, u) is monotonic in its arguments and homogeneous of degree one and concave in p. Using Shepard's lemma, one finds that the partial derivatives of the cost function with respect to p and z yield the compensated (Hicksian) demand functions for the goods that are chosen optimally,  $x^h$ , and the compensated price-dependent functions,  $q^h$ , respectively. The latter are the prices that would have resulted in z being identified as the cost-minimising solution (Moschini and Anuradha, 1993; Moschini and Rizzi, 2007):

$$\nabla_p C(p, z, u) = x^h(p, z, u) \tag{SA.B.5}$$

$$\nabla_z C(p, z, u) = -q^h(p, z, u)$$

These Hicksian demands can be related to the Marshallian demands, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}(p, z, y) &= x^{h}(p, z, V^{M}(p, z, y)) \\ \mathbf{q}(p, z, y) &= -q^{h}(p, z, V^{M}(p, z, y)) \end{aligned} \tag{SA.B.6}$$

So, for achieving a given utility level u, the total cost given (p,z) can be written as:

$$C^{M}(p, z, V^{M}(p, z, y)) = C(p, z, u) - \nabla_{z}C(p, z, u) \equiv y$$
(SA.B.7)

where  $C^{M}(p, z, V^{M}(p, z, y))$  is defined as the mixed cost function. According to Moschini and Rizzi (2007), the mixed utility function,  $V^{M}(p, z, y)$ , can be derived from equation (SA.B.7). For this, they select a cost function from the Gorman Polar form that is affine in u, as follows:

$$C(p, z, u) = F(p, z) + G(p, z)u$$
(SA.B.8)

where *F* and *G* are continuous and differentiable in *p* and *z*. Such a specification allows a closed form of the mixed utility function to be derived from the mixed cost function, as follows:

$$V^{M}(p, z, R) = \frac{R - F(p, z) + \nabla_{z} F(p, z) z}{G(p, z) - \nabla_{z} G(p, z) z}$$
(SA.B.9)

Following Diewert and Wales (1988) and Moschini and Rizzi (2007), the analysis uses a normalised quadratic form for the functions F and G to ensure that the chosen parameterisation satisfies the requirements of a flexible functional form, as follows:

$$F(p, z) = \delta' p(a'p)(\mu'z)$$

$$G(p, z) = \beta' p + (a'p)(\gamma'z) + 0.5(a'p)(z'\Gamma z) + p'Lz$$
(SA.B.10)

Using the above specification, the mixed demand equations and the mixed utility can be written as follows:

$$x_{i}^{*} = \delta_{i} + (\mu'z)a_{i} + \{\beta_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\beta_{ij}p_{j}}{a'p} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_{ik}z_{k} + a_{i}\left[\gamma'z - 0.5\left(\frac{p'Bp}{(a'p)^{2}}\right) + 0.5(z'\Gamma z)\right]\}V^{M}$$
(SA.B.11)

$$-q_{k}^{*} = (a'p)\mu_{k} + [(a'p)\gamma_{k} + (a'p)\sum_{s=1}^{m}\gamma_{ks}z_{s} + \sum_{j=1}^{n}\lambda_{jk}p_{j}]V^{M}$$
(SA.B.12)

$$V^{M} = \frac{y - \delta' p}{\beta' p + 0.5 \left(\frac{p' B p}{(a' p)^{2}}\right) - 0.5 (a' p)(z' \Gamma z)}$$
(SA.B.13)

where i = 1, 2, ... n for the free-market products and k = 1, 2, ... m for the quantity determined products.

The structural estimation equations of the demand system can be written in terms of budget shares as follows:

$$W_{i} = \left[\delta_{i} + (\mu'z)a_{i} + \left\{\beta_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\beta_{ij}p_{j}}{a'p} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_{ik}z_{k} + a_{i}\left[\gamma'z - 0.5\left(\frac{p'Bp}{(a'p)^{2}}\right) + 0.5(z'\Gamma z)\right]\right\}V^{M}]\frac{p_{i}}{y} + \varepsilon_{i}$$
(SA.B.14)

$$-W_{k} = [(a'p)\mu_{k} + [(a'p)\gamma_{k} + (a'p)\sum_{s=1}^{m}\lambda_{ks}z_{s} + \sum_{j=1}^{n}\lambda_{jk}p_{j}]V^{M}]\frac{z_{k}}{y} + \xi_{k}$$
(SA.B.15)

The  $W_i$ 's and the  $W_k$ 's are the budget shares of the goods with predetermined prices and fixed quantities, respectively;  $\gamma$  and  $\mu$  are  $m \times 1$  vectors of parameters;  $B = [\beta_{ij}]$  is the  $n \times n$  matrix of parameters;  $\Gamma = [\gamma_{ks}]$  is the  $m \times m$  matrix of parameters;  $\beta_i$  and  $\delta_i$  are parameters to be estimated;  $a = [a_1, a_2, ..., a_n]$ ' is a vector of arbitrarily chosen coefficients to ensure the homogeneity property; and  $\varepsilon_i$  and  $\xi_k$  are error terms.

Supplementary appendix C. Items included in the four free-market products

Table SA.C.1 here

### Supplementary appendix D. The formulas of the estimated elasticities

Price elasticities of free-market goods (*i*, *j*=1,2,..*n*):

$$\varepsilon_{ij} = \frac{\partial x_i^*(z, p, u)}{\partial p_j} * \frac{p_j}{x_i}$$
(SA.D.1)

Own quantity mixed elasticities of ration items (k, s = 1, 2, ...m):

$$\varepsilon_{ks} = \frac{\partial q_k^*(z, p, u)}{\partial z_s} * \frac{q_k}{z_s}$$
(SA.D.2)

Elasticities of free-market goods with respect to ration goods:

$$\varepsilon_{ik} = \frac{\partial x_i^*(z, p, u)}{\partial z_k} * \frac{z_k}{x_i}$$
(SA.D.3)

Price elasticities of ration items with respect to free-market goods:

$$\varepsilon_{kj} = \frac{\partial q_k^*(z, p, u)}{\partial p_j} * \frac{p_j}{q_k}$$
(SA.D.4)

Supplementary appendix E. The estimated results for the different quintiles in rural and urban areas

Tables SA.E.1–SA.E.7 here

Supplementary appendix F. The estimated results for Kurdistan and the rest of Iraq

Tables SA.F.1 and SA.F.2 here

### Endnotes

<sup>1</sup> The question on the IHSES questionnaire is 'If you could buy this [ITEM] in the market, how much would you have to pay for it?'

<sup>2</sup> Given the duality between direct and indirect utility functions; the indirect utility function derived from a utility function achieves a minimum on prices such that  $u(x) = \min v(p,y)$ . Hence, for each level of x, there is a level of p such that: u(x) = v(p,y).

#### References

- Diewert, W. E., & Wales, T. J. (1988). Normalized quadratic systems of consumer demand functions. *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, *6*, 303–312.
- Moschini, G. C., & Anuradha, V. (1993). Flexible specification of mixed demand systems. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 75, 1–9.
- Moschini, G. C., & Rizzi, P. L. (2006). Coherent specification of a mixed demand system: The Stone-Geary model. In M. T. Holt & J.-P. Chavas (Eds), *Exploring frontiers in applied economics: Essays in honor of Stanley R. Johnson*. (Chapter 10). Berkeley, CA: Berkeley Electronic Press.
- Moschini, G. C., & Rizzi, P. L. (2007). Deriving a flexible mixed demand system: The normalized quadratic model. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, *89*, 1034–1045.
- Ramadan, R., & Thomas, A. (2011). Evaluating the impact of reforming the food subsidy program in Egypt: A mixed demand approach. *Food Policy*, *36*, 637–645.
- World Bank. (2013). Poverty in Iraq, 2007–2012 (Methodological Note). Washington, DC: Author.

### Tables

	Quintile	Poorest	2	3	4	Richest
	Brown flour	32.9	28.1	24.7	21.4	16.1
Rural	Rice	13.4	10.8	9.6	7.8	6.0
	Vegetable oil	20.1	17.4	15.5	13.9	10.9
	Sugar	16.7	14.5	13.0	11.5	9.1
	Brown flour	30.7	26.1	22.1	18.0	12.7
Urban	Rice	12.8	11.2	9.2	7.5	5.0
	Vegetable oil	19.4	17.0	15.2	13.3	10.5
	Sugar	16.1	14.5	13.0	11.5	9.2

Table SA.A.1. Average shares of ration items in total expenditure, four food items analysed (%)

Table SA.A.2. Unit values of rationed items and free-market counterparts

Variable	Observations	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
Price of rationed brown wheat flour	1140	0.532	0.048	0.415	1.019
Price of rationed rice	1140	0.745	0.067	0.607	1.426
Price of rationed sugar	1140	1.594	0.143	1.236	3.056
Price of rationed vegetable oil	1140	2.392	0.218	1.866	4.621
Price of free-market cereals	1140	1.723	0.641	0.497	6.840
Price of free-market rice"	1140	1.236	0.194	0.557	2.228
Price of free-market sweets	1140	3.041	1.219	1.000	12.731
Price of free-market oil	1140	1.653	0.630	1.000	8.603

Table SA.C.1. Items included in the four free-market products

Cereals	Sweets	Oil	Rice
Wheat	Assorted sweets	Vegetable fat (commercial)	Commercial rice (imported)
Brown wheat flour (commercial)	Chocolate	Animal fat (ghee)	Commercial rice (local)
White wheat flour (commercial)	Jam	Vegetable oil (commercial)	Ground rice
Barley	Honey	Olive oil	
Barley flour	Date syrup	Sesame oil	
Maize	Artificially flavoured juice	Other oils	
Burghul (cracked wheat)	Chewing gum		
Habbiya (whole roasted wheat)	lce-cream		
Jareesh and sameed	Ice		
Macaroni and vermicelli			
Starch			
Cornflakes			
Corn crisps			
Corn chips			
Bread, all types			
Buns, all types and sizes			
Kahi (local millefeuille)			
Klecha (local pastry)			
Cake			
Biscuits			
Rusk and zwieback			
Ready-made pizza			
Other bread and bakery products			

	Poorest	2	3	4	Richest				
	R	Rural areas							
Cereals with respect to price of									
Cereals	-0.003	-0.004	-0.001	0.000	-0.004				
Rice	0.022	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.006				
Sweets	-0.062	-0.043	-0.037	-0.032	-0.030				
Oils	-0.025	-0.007	-0.013	-0.017	-0.019				
Rice with respect to price of									
Cereals	0.083	0.044	0.034	0.026	0.010				
Rice	-0.019	-0.015	-0.008	-0.006	-0.005				
Sweets	-0.062	-0.046	-0.031	-0.025	-0.019				
Oils	-0.282	-0.162	-0.134	-0.120	-0.089				
Sweets with respect to price of									
Cereals	-0.062	-0.050	-0.035	-0.028	-0.028				
Rice	-0.036	-0.029	-0.020	-0.017	-0.018				
Sweets	-0.035	-0.034	-0.026	-0.024	-0.032				
Oils	-0.151	-0.092	-0.110	-0.124	-0.155				
Oil with respect to price of									
Cereals	0.201	0.104	0.076	0.068	0.038				
Rice	0.180	0.088	0.067	0.068	0.039				
Sweets	0.394	0.202	0.164	0.159	0.092				
Oils	-0.761	-0.378	-0.288	-0.280	-0.105				
	U	rban areas							
Cereals with respect to price of									
Cereals	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001				
Rice	0.023	0.017	0.015	0.012	0.011				
Sweets	-0.058	-0.041	-0.036	-0.031	-0.027				
Oils	-0.015	-0.016	-0.014	-0.013	-0.019				
Rice with respect to price of									
Cereals	0.091	0.067	0.045	0.031	0.019				

Table SA.E.1. Price elasticities of free-market goods

Rice	-0.017	-0.012	-0.008	-0.006	-0.002
Sweets	-0.077	-0.054	-0.039	-0.031	-0.021
Oils	-0.273	-0.222	-0.162	-0.129	-0.116
Sweets with respect to price of					
Cereals	-0.045	-0.034	-0.027	-0.023	-0.018
Rice	-0.028	-0.021	-0.017	-0.015	-0.010
Sweets	-0.035	-0.026	-0.024	-0.024	-0.023
Oils	-0.117	-0.112	-0.107	-0.108	-0.170
Oil with respect to price of					
Cereals	0.171	0.139	0.095	0.078	0.054
Rice	0.182	0.148	0.109	0.094	0.073
Sweets	0.423	0.336	0.247	0.212	0.162
Oils	-0.843	-0.696	-0.509	-0.429	-0.313

		Poorest	2	3	4	Richest
		Rura	lareas			
Brown f	lour price with respect to					
	Brown flour	-0.032	-0.034	-0.041	-0.047	-0.066
Ration	Rice	-0.005	-0.001	-0.004	-0.007	0.002
Nation	Sugar	0.034	0.033	0.043	0.051	0.062
	Vegetable oil	0.018	0.021	0.024	0.027	0.042
Rice prio	ce with respect to					
	Brown flour	-0.004	-0.004	-0.005	-0.006	-0.009
Pation	Rice	-0.030	-0.030	-0.036	-0.042	-0.050
Ration	Sugar	0.020	0.020	0.025	0.028	0.036
	Vegetable oil	-0.043	-0.045	-0.054	-0.062	-0.082
Sugar pi	rice with respect to					
	Brown flour	0.012	0.012	0.015	0.017	0.023
Ration	Rice	0.010	0.010	0.012	0.014	0.018
Nation	Sugar	-0.006	-0.006	-0.008	-0.009	-0.013
	Vegetable oil	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.008
Vegetab	ole oil price with respect to					
	Brown flour	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.008
Ration	Rice	-0.012	-0.013	-0.016	-0.018	-0.024
Nation	Sugar	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.003
	Vegetable oil	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001	-0.002	-0.002
		Urban	areas			
Brown f	lour price with respect to					
	Brown flour	-0.030	-0.034	-0.037	-0.042	-0.059
Ration	Rice	-0.009	-0.011	-0.012	-0.013	-0.018
Nation	Sugar	0.035	0.041	0.046	0.053	0.082
	Vegetable oil	0.016	0.018	0.020	0.024	0.035
Rice prio	ce with respect to					
Ration	Brown flour	-0.004	-0.004	-0.005	-0.006	-0.008

# Table SA.E.2. Own quantity mixed elasticities of ration items

	Rice	-0.028	-0.033	-0.035	-0.039	-0.051
	Sugar	0.019	0.022	0.024	0.027	0.041
	Vegetable oil	-0.041	-0.049	-0.054	-0.061	-0.092
Sugar pr	rice with respect to					
	Brown flour	0.011	0.013	0.014	0.016	0.023
Ration	Rice	0.009	0.010	0.011	0.013	0.017
Ration	Sugar	-0.006	-0.006	-0.007	-0.008	-0.013
	Vegetable oil	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.008
Vegetab	le oil price with respect to					
	Brown flour	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.007
Ration	Rice	-0.012	-0.014	-0.015	-0.017	-0.023
Nation	Sugar	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.004
	Vegetable oil	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001	-0.002	-0.003

		Poorest	2	3	4	Richest
Cereals wit	h respect to					
	Brown flour	-0.034	-0.021	-0.015	-0.008	-0.003
Detien	Rice	0.116	0.068	0.059	0.048	0.035
Ration	Sugar	0.008	0.005	0.004	0.002	0.001
	Vegetable oil	-0.025	-0.016	-0.013	-0.010	-0.007
Rice with re	espect to					
	Brown flour	0.300	0.184	0.131	0.102	0.061
<b>D</b>	Rice	0.085	0.051	0.035	0.027	0.015
Ration	Sugar	-0.017	-0.010	-0.008	-0.006	-0.004
	Vegetable oil	-0.009	-0.006	-0.004	-0.003	-0.001
Sweets wit	h respect to					
	Brown flour	0.245	0.162	0.139	0.120	0.100
<b>.</b>	Rice	0.033	0.021	0.018	0.014	0.010
Ration	Sugar	0.060	0.042	0.034	0.028	0.022
	Vegetable oil	0.014	0.009	0.009	0.008	0.007
Oil with res	pect to					
	Brown flour	-1.107	-0.532	-0.432	-0.395	-0.227
<b>D</b>	Rice	-0.313	-0.150	-0.123	-0.116	-0.066
Ration	Sugar	-0.086	-0.042	-0.035	-0.033	-0.018
	Vegetable oil	-0.074	-0.037	-0.030	-0.027	-0.016

Table SA.E.3. Elasticities of free-market goods with respect to ration items, rural areas, quintiles

		Poorest	2	3	4	Richest
Ration brown	flour with respect to					
	Cereals	0.088	0.103	0.093	0.067	0.058
Free market	Rice	-0.408	-0.405	-0.494	-0.627	-0.866
Free market	Sweets	-0.342	-0.360	-0.465	-0.582	-0.782
	Oils	0.976	0.989	1.211	1.477	1.910
Ration rice wi	th respect to					
	Cereals	-0.205	-0.204	-0.239	-0.255	-0.291
Free market	Rice	-0.064	-0.062	-0.073	-0.087	-0.111
Fiee market	Sweets	-0.012	-0.012	-0.013	-0.013	-0.012
	Oils	0.235	0.230	0.275	0.306	0.364
Ration sugar v	with respect to					
	Cereals	-0.009	-0.009	-0.010	-0.009	-0.007
Free market	Rice	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.003	0.006
Free market	Sweets	-0.036	-0.039	-0.048	-0.056	-0.071
	Oils	0.020	0.021	0.029	0.036	0.045
Ration vegeta	ble oil with respect to					
	Cereals	0.021	0.021	0.024	0.024	0.025
Free market	Rice	0.009	0.009	0.010	0.012	0.014
riee market	Sweets	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.002	-0.005
	Oils	-0.015	-0.015	-0.016	-0.016	-0.018

Table SA.E.4. Price elasticities of ration items with respect to free-market goods, rural areas, quintiles

		Poorest	2	3	4	Richest
Cereals	with respect to ration of					
	Brown flour	-0.028	-0.017	-0.012	-0.008	-0.003
Ration	Rice	0.092	0.067	0.053	0.043	0.033
Ration	Sugar	0.007	0.005	0.003	0.002	0.001
	Vegetable oil	-0.021	-0.015	-0.012	-0.010	-0.008
Rice wi	th respect to ration of					
	Brown flour	0.318	0.231	0.160	0.119	0.078
Ration	Rice	0.090	0.065	0.043	0.031	0.018
Ration	Sugar	-0.016	-0.012	-0.008	-0.006	-0.005
	Vegetable oil	-0.011	-0.008	-0.005	-0.004	-0.002
Sweets	with respect to ration of					
	Brown flour	0.197	0.156	0.129	0.109	0.103
Ration	Rice	0.026	0.020	0.015	0.012	0.010
Ration	Sugar	0.051	0.040	0.032	0.027	0.025
	Vegetable oil	0.011	0.010	0.008	0.007	0.008
Oil with	n respect to ration of					
	Brown flour	-0.994	-0.778	-0.555	-0.463	-0.354
Ration	Rice	-0.281	-0.225	-0.157	-0.133	-0.098
RALION	Sugar	-0.082	-0.067	-0.048	-0.042	-0.035
	Vegetable oil	-0.067	-0.053	-0.038	-0.032	-0.026

		Poorest	2	3	4	Richest
Ration brown	flour with respect to					
	Cereals	0.067	0.063	0.054	0.048	0.021
Fue e un e ul est	Rice	-0.422	-0.500	-0.574	-0.667	-1.002
Free market	Sweets	-0.393	-0.463	-0.537	-0.627	-0.932
	Oils	1.063	1.227	1.389	1.581	2.250
Ration price o	f rice with respect to					
	Cereals	-0.181	-0.210	-0.219	-0.230	-0.296
Fue e un e ul est	Rice	-0.063	-0.073	-0.080	-0.089	-0.126
Free market	Sweets	-0.013	-0.014	-0.014	-0.013	-0.013
	Oils	0.210	0.249	0.263	0.283	0.385
Ration price o	f sugar with respect to					
	Cereals	-0.010	-0.010	-0.010	-0.010	-0.009
Fue e un e ul e t	Rice	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.005
Free market	Sweets	-0.042	-0.048	-0.054	-0.061	-0.085
	Oils	0.027	0.031	0.037	0.042	0.063
Ration price o	f oil with respect to					
	Cereals	0.019	0.021	0.022	0.022	0.026
	Rice	0.010	0.011	0.012	0.012	0.016
Free market	Sweets	0.001	0.000	-0.001	-0.002	-0.005
	Oils	-0.013	-0.014	-0.015	-0.015	-0.020

# Table SA.E.6. Price elasticities of ration items with respect to free-market goods, urban areas

Quintile		Equivalent free-market product						
	Brown flour	Rice	Sugar	Vegetable oil	Cereal	Rice	Sweets	Oils
Rural								
1	0.015	-0.024	0.005	0.021	0.086	0.353	0.359	-0.017
2	0.084	-0.005	0.006	0.023	0.054	0.227	0.259	-0.021
3	0.059	-0.009	0.005	0.027	0.045	0.155	0.213	-0.022
4	0.027	0.003	0.005	0.030	0.037	0.118	0.180	-0.013
5	0.263	0.082	0.017	0.044	0.033	0.072	0.166	-0.046
Urban								
1	-0.057	-0.008	0.000	0.019	0.060	0.359	0.292	0.087
2	-0.073	-0.014	0.000	0.022	0.044	0.256	0.224	0.085
3	-0.093	-0.004	-0.001	0.025	0.035	0.175	0.187	0.061
4	-0.088	0.012	-0.001	0.028	0.029	0.129	0.162	0.042
5	-0.146	0.035	-0.002	0.041	0.023	0.082	0.152	0.017

# Table SA.E.7. Expenditure elasticities, by quintile of per capita consumption and area

Quintila	Ration product				Equivalent free-market product				
Quintile	Brown flour	Rice	Sugar	Vegetable oil	Cereal	Rice	Sweets	Oils	
Kurdistan									
1	-0.032	-0.038	-0.006	-0.005	0.010	-0.004	-0.013	-1.034	
2	-0.037	-0.046	-0.007	-0.007	0.006	-0.002	-0.005	-0.941	
3	-0.040	-0.044	-0.007	-0.007	0.003	-0.004	-0.013	-0.457	
4	-0.048	-0.055	-0.009	-0.009	0.005	0.000	-0.011	-0.541	
5	-0.076	-0.080	-0.016	-0.015	0.006	0.002	-0.010	-0.398	
Rest of Iraq									
1	-0.030	-0.029	-0.005	-0.004	0.009	-0.007	-0.048	-0.533	
2	-0.033	-0.032	-0.006	-0.005	0.007	-0.005	-0.035	-0.370	
3	-0.038	-0.038	-0.007	-0.005	0.007	0.000	-0.028	-0.321	
4	-0.043	-0.042	-0.007	-0.006	0.006	0.000	-0.026	-0.271	
5	-0.058	-0.053	-0.011	-0.009	0.003	0.000	-0.029	-0.133	

Table SA.F.1. Own-price elasticities of ration items, by quintile of per capita consumption and area, 2012

Quintila	Ration product				Equivalent free-market product				
Quintile	Brown flour	Rice	Sugar	Vegetable oil	Cereal	Rice	Sweets	Oils	
Kurdistan									
1	-0.04	-0.07	0.00	0.03	0.04	0.29	0.21	0.16	
2	-0.10	-0.11	0.00	0.03	0.04	0.18	0.20	0.17	
3	-0.02	-0.07	0.00	0.04	0.03	0.12	0.18	0.06	
4	-0.13	-0.07	0.00	0.04	0.03	0.09	0.15	0.08	
5	-0.34	-0.07	-0.01	0.07	0.02	0.06	0.14	0.05	
Rest of Iraq									
1	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.090	0.46	0.37	-0.13	
2	0.05	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.06	0.35	0.25	-0.09	
3	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.04	0.25	0.21	-0.07	
4	-0.02	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.20	0.17	-0.06	
5	0.06	0.09	0.00	0.05	0.03	0.12	0.16	-0.06	

# Table SA.F.2. Expenditure elasticities, by quintile of per capita consumption and region