## SUPPLEMENTARY METHODS

## 2 Assessing possible methodological problems

3	1.	The dataset compiled included inconsistent sampling methodologies [one study (Nakanishi
4		& Izawa 2016) examined stomach contents, while all the others were based upon faecal
5		analysis], sample sizes (number of analysed faeces or stomachs, $n$ ), and sampling durations
6		(months). To check if these artefacts caused bias in interpreting leopard cat diet bias (Putman
7		1984: cf. Lozano et al. 2006; Zhou et al. 2011), we performed a permutational multivariate
8		analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) using the R package vegan (Oksanen et al. 2015). We
9		defined sampling as sample size per month ( <i>n</i> /month) for each study to avoid
10		multicollinearity (Dormann et al. 2013) between the sample size and duration ( $r = 0.77$ ).
11		Across studies, there was no significant influence arising from sampling effort variation
12		(PERMANOVA: $F = 0.88$ , $R^2 = 0.08$ , $P = 0.450$ ) and methodology ( $F = 1.64$ , $R^2 = 0.16$ , $P = 0.$
13		0.244) on RFO per food category. Alternatively, we excluded the study employed stomach
14		contents analysis (Nakanishi & Izawa 2016) and repeated the PERMANOVA and
15		subsequent post-hoc tests to assess differences in diet composition between Iriomote and the
16		mainland (see the main analysis described in Materials and Methods in the main text). This
17		approach produced similar results: PERMANOVA ( $F = 10.72$ , $R^2 = 0.57$ , $P = 0.055$ ), Chi-
18		squared tests ( $P < 0.001$ for small mammals, $P < 0.001$ for reptiles, $P = 0.002$ for
19		amphibians). Consequently, all the 11 studies were used for subsequent analyses (Table S2;
20		Fig. 1).
21	2.	In one study from India, the leopard cat consumed a considerable amount of carrion (16.7%
22		RFO vs 0-5% elsewhere). To investigate whether our results were influenced or biased by
23		dietary intake resulting from consumption of carrion against hunted prey, we also repeated

24		the main PERMANOVA analysis (see Materials and Methods in the main text) to compare
25		leopard cat food composition between Iriomote and the mainland by removing the food
26		items being considered as carrion (i.e., ungulates). This approach yielded consistently
27		similar results (PERMANOVA: $F = 26.51$ , $R^2 = 0.75$ , $P = 0.016$ ), and thereby we discuss the
28		results based on the main results including both hunted prey and carrion.
29	3.	To compare RFO of each food category specifically between mainland sites vs Iriomote, in
30		addition to the Chi-squared test, we also employed likelihood ratio test [ $G$ test, a more
31		conservative approach, which can reduce the potential for type I error (Malo et al. 2004;
32		Lozano et al. 2006)] and a rank-based method (Mann-Whitney $U$ test) to confirm the
33		robustness of the analysis. Alternative approaches also showed that this lack of small
34		mammals on Iriomote (G test: $P < 0.001$ , Mann-Whitney U test: $P = 0.016$ ) was
35		compensated by consuming more reptiles (G test: $P = 0.001$ , Mann-Whitney U test: $P =$
36		0.028), and amphibians (G test: $P < 0.001$ , Mann-Whitney U test: $P = 0.021$ ); with mixed
37		support for a greater consumption of birds (Mann-Witney U test: $P = 0.024$ ; versus G test: P
38		= 0.070).

40

Table S1.A list of 24 dietary studies reviewed on the leopard cat.

Source	Geographical location
Studies that met the screening criteria and w	ere included in the analysis
Bashir et al. (2014)	Sikkim, Northern India
Chua et al. (2016)	Pulau Tekong, Singapore
Khan (2004)	Ganges Delta, Eastern India/Southern Bangladesh
Lorica and Heaney (2013)	Hacienda Dos Marias, Phillippines
Lee et al. (2014)	Gangwon, Gyeongsangbuk, South Korea
Nakanishi and Izawa (2016)	Iriomote Island, Southern Japan
Rajaratnam et al. (2007)	Sabah, Northern Borneo, Malaysia
Sakaguchi and Ono (1994)	Iriomote Island, Southern Japan
Watanabe (2012)	Iriomote Island, Southern Japan
Tatara and Doi (1994)	Tsushima Island, Western Japan
Watanabe et al. (2003)	Iriomote Island, Southern Japan
Studies that did not meet the screening criter	ia
Bao et al. (2005)	Beijing, Northern China
Inoue (1972)	Tsushima Island, Western Japan
Fernandez and De Guia (2011)	La Carlota, Central Philippines
Grassman (2000)	Kaeng Krachan, Central Thailand
Grassman et al. (2005)	Phu Khieo, Northern Thailand
Lee et al. (2013)	Samcheok, Goheung, Gwangju, South Korea
Rabinowitz (1990)	Huai Kha Khaeng, Central Thailand
Díaz-Sacco and Izawa (2013)	Iriomote Island, Southern Japan
Sakaguchi (1994)	Tsushima Island, Western Japan
Japan Wildlife Research Center (1998)	Tsushima Island, Western Japan
Watanabe and Izawa (2005)	Iriomote Island, Southern Japan
Izawa et al. (2000)	NA
Watanabe (2015)	Iriomote Island, Southern Japan

41 See Materials and Methods in the main text for the inclusion criteria.

## Table S2.

43	Results from	the principal	components	analysis (PCA)	of using the sever	n food categories.
----	--------------	---------------	------------	----------------	--------------------	--------------------

Small mammals $-0.50$ $0.13$ $0.14$ $0.12$ Other mammals $0.10$ $0.60$ $-0.39$ $-0.67$ Birds $0.44$ $0.09$ $0.47$ $-0.02$ Reptiles $0.28$ $-0.39$ $-0.69$ $0.12$ Amphibians $0.48$ $0.13$ $-0.12$ $0.32$ Fishes $0.41$ $0.38$ $0.20$ $0.22$ Invertebrates $0.25$ $-0.55$ $0.28$ $-0.57$	Variables	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3	Factor 4
Other mammals $0.10$ $0.60$ $-0.39$ $-0.67$ Birds $0.44$ $0.09$ $0.47$ $-0.02$ Reptiles $0.28$ $-0.39$ $-0.69$ $0.12$ Amphibians $0.48$ $0.13$ $-0.12$ $0.32$ Fishes $0.41$ $0.38$ $0.20$ $0.22$ Invertebrates $0.25$ $-0.55$ $0.28$ $-0.57$	Small mammals	-0.50	0.13	0.14	0.15
Birds $0.44$ $0.09$ $0.47$ $-0.02$ Reptiles $0.28$ $-0.39$ $-0.69$ $0.12$ Amphibians $0.48$ $0.13$ $-0.12$ $0.32$ Fishes $0.41$ $0.38$ $0.20$ $0.22$ Invertebrates $0.25$ $-0.55$ $0.28$ $-0.57$	Other mammals	0.10	0.60	- 0.39	-0.67
Reptiles $0.28$ $-0.39$ $-0.69$ $0.12$ Amphibians $0.48$ $0.13$ $-0.12$ $0.32$ Fishes $0.41$ $0.38$ $0.20$ $0.22$ Invertebrates $0.25$ $-0.55$ $0.28$ $-0.5'$	Birds	0.44	0.09	0.47	-0.05
Amphibians $0.48$ $0.13$ $-0.12$ $0.33$ Fishes $0.41$ $0.38$ $0.20$ $0.21$ Invertebrates $0.25$ $-0.55$ $0.28$ $-0.57$	Reptiles	0.28	- 0.39	- 0.69	0.15
Fishes0.410.380.200.22Invertebrates0.25-0.550.28-0.57	Amphibians	0.48	0.13	-0.12	0.35
Invertebrates $0.25 - 0.55 0.28 - 0.5'$	Fishes	0.41	0.38	0.20	0.23
	Invertebrates	0.25	- 0.55	0.28	-0.57

46	REFERENCES FOR SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
47	Bao W, Xiao-jing L, Yang S. 2005. Comparative analysis of food habits in carnivores from three
48	areas of Beijing. Zool Res. 26:118-122. Chinese.
49	Bashir T, Bhattacharya T, Poudyal K, Sathyakumar S, Qureshi Q. 2014. Integrating aspects of
50	ecology and predictive modelling: implications for the conservation of the leopard cat
51	(Prionailurus bengalensis) in the Eastern Himalaya. Acta Theriol. 59:35-47.
52	Chua MA, Sivasothi N, Meier R. 2016. Population density, spatiotemporal use and diet of the
53	leopard cat (Prionailurus bengalensis) in a human-modified succession forest landscape of
54	Singapore. Mamm Res. 61:99-108.
55	Díaz-Sacco JJ, Izawa M. 2013. Road use by the Iriomote cat (Prionailurus bengalensis
56	iriomotensis) on Iriomote-jima Island in relation to its herpetile prey. Mamm Stud. 38:73-
57	81.
58	Dormann CF, Elith J, Bacher S, Buchmann C, Carl G, Carre G, Marquez JRG, Gruber B,
59	Lafourcade B, Leitao PJ, et al. 2013. Collinearity: a review of methods to deal with it and a
60	simulation study evaluating their performance. Ecography. 36:27-46.
61	Fernandez DAP, De Guia APO. 2011. Feeding habits of Visayan leopard cats (Prionailurus
62	bengalensis rabori) in sugarcane fields of Negros Occidental, Philippines. Asia Int J Life
63	Sci. 20:143-154.
64	Grassman L. 2000. Movements and diet of the leopard cat Prionalilurus bengalensis in a
65	seasonal evergreen forest in south-central Thailand. Acta Theriol. 45:421-426.
66	Grassman LI, Tewes ME, Silvy NJ, Kreetiyutanont K. 2005. Spatial organization and diet of the
67	leopard cat (Prionailurus bengalensis) in north-central Thailand. J Zool. 266:45-54.
68	Inoue T. 1972. The food habit of Tsushima leopard cat, <i>Felis bengalensis</i> spp., analysed from 5

their scats. J Mammal Soc Japan. 5:155-169. Japanese.

- Izawa M, Sakaguchi N, Doi T. 2000. Recent conservation programs for the Iriomote cat *Felis iriomotensis*. Tropics. 10:79-85.
- Japan Wildlife Research Center. 1988. Reports on the conservational study on the Tsushima Cat
   and its habitat. Japan Wildl Res Cent Tokyo. Japanese.
- Khan MMH. 2004. Food habit of the leopard cat *Prionailurus bengalensis* (Kerr, 1792) in the
  Sundarbans East wildlife sanctuary, Bangladesh. Zoos Print J. 19:1475-1476.
- 76 Lee O, Lee S, Nam DH, Lee HY. 2013. Molecular analysis for investigating dietary habits:
- genetic screening of prey items in scat and stomach contents of leopard cats *Prionailurus*
- 78 *bengalensis euptilurus*. Zool Stud. 52:45.
- Lee O, Lee S, Nam DH, Lee HY. 2014. Food habits of the leopard cat (*Prionailurus bengalensis euptilurus*) in Korea. Mamm Stud. 39:43-46.
- 81 Lorica MRP, Heaney LR. 2013. Survival of a native mammalian carnivore, the leopard cat
- 82 Prionailurus bengalensis Kerr, 1792 (Carnivora: Felidae), in an agricultural landscape on
- an oceanic Philippine island. J Threat Taxa. 5:4451-4460.
- 84 Lozano J, Moleón M, Virgós E. 2006. Biogeographical patterns in the diet of the wildcat, Felis
- 85 *silvestris* Schreber, in Eurasia: factors affecting the trophic diversity. J Biogeogr. 33:1076-
- 86 1085.
- 87 Malo AF, Lozano J, Huertas DL, Virgós E. 2004. A change of diet from rodents to rabbits
- 88 (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*). Is the wildcat (*Felis silvestris*) a specialist predator? J Zool.
  89 263:401-407.
- 90 Nakanishi N, Izawa M. 2016. Importance of frogs in the diet of the Iriomote cat based on

- 91 stomach content analysis. Mamm Res. 61:35-44.
- 92 Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB, Simpson GL, Solymos
- P, Stevens MHH, Wagner H. 2015. vegan: Community ecology package. R package
  version 2.5-1.
- 95 Putman RJ. 1984. Facts from faeces. Mamm Rev. 14:79-97.
- Rabinowit. A. 1990. Notes on the behavior and movements of leopard cats, *Felis bengalensis*, in
  a dry tropical forest mosaic in Thailand. Biotropica. 22:397-403.
- 98 Rajaratnam R, Sunquist M, Rajaratnam L, Ambu L. 2007. Diet and habitat selection of the
- 99 leopard cat (*Prionailurus bengalensis borneoensis*) in an agricultural landscape in Sabah,
- 100 Malaysian Borneo. J Trop Ecol. 23:209-217.
- Sakaguchi N. 1994. Ecological aspects and social system of the Iriomote cat *Felis iriomotensis*(Carnivore; Felidae) [Ph.D. Thesis]. Fukuoka (Japan): Kyushu University.
- 103 Sakaguchi N, Ono Y. 1994. Seasonal change in the food habits of the Iriomote cat *Felis*
- 104 *iriomotensis*. Ecol Res. 9:167-174.
- Tatara M, Doi T. 1994. Comparative analyses on food habits of Japanese marten, Siberian weasel
  and leopard cat in the Tsushima islands, Japan. Ecol Res. 9:99-107.
- 107 Watanabe S. 2012. Ecological flexibility of the top predator in an island ecosystem Food habit
- 108 of the Iriomote Cat. In: Mahamane A, editor. Diversity of ecosystems. London (UK):
- 109 IntechOpen; p. 465-484.
- 110 Watanabe S. 2015. Ecological flexibility of the top predator in an island ecosystem The
- 111 Iriomote cat changes feeding patterns in relation to prey availability. In: Yueh-Hsin Lo, et
- al., editors. Biodiversity in ecosystems-linking structure and function. London (UK):
- 113 IntechOpen; p. 353-381

114	Watanabe S, Izawa M. 2005. Species composition and size structure of frogs preyed by the
115	Iriomote cat Prionailurus bengalensis iriomotensis. Mamm Stud. 30:151-155.
116	Watanabe S, Nakanishi N, Izawa M. 2003. Habitat and prey resource overlap between the
117	Iriomote cat Prionailurus iriomotensis and introduced feral cat Felis catus based on
118	assessment of scat content and distribution. Mamm Stud. 28:47-56.
119	Zhou YB, Newman C, Buesching CD, Zalewski A, Kaneko Y, Macdonald DW, Xie ZQ. 2011.
120	Diet of an opportunistically frugivorous carnivore, Martes flavigula, in subtropical forest. J
121	Mammal. 92:611-619.
122	