## Supplemental material to

"Sample size allocation to regions in multiregional dose-finding study using MCP-Mod"

## 1 Probability of consistency by true dose-response model

Figure A1 shows the probability of consistency by true dose-response model: local consistency, simultaneous consistency and consistency in maximum absolute difference.


Figure A1. Probability of consistency with the mean summary function: Local consistency in contrast statistics with $\pi=0.5$ (left panel),
Simultaneous consistency in contrast statistics (central panel), and Consistency in maximum absolute difference for $\delta=0.4$ (right panel).

## 2 Summary measures of dose-response curve by true dose-response model

Figure A2 shows heat maps of proportions of MED categories for the entire population and Region 1 by true dose-response models. Figure A3.1A3.6 show the heat maps of proportions of MED cross-categories for the entire population and Region 1 by true dose-response models. Figure A4 shows the probability that the MED of Region 1 was the same, higher or lower as that for the entire population by true dose-response models.


Figure A2. Proportion in MED categories (\%) for entire population and Region 1, by true dose-response model.


Figure A3.1. Proportion in MED cross-categories (\%) for entire population and Region 1: true dose-response model = Linear.


Figure A3.2. Proportion in MED cross-categories (\%) for entire population and Region 1: true dose-response model = Emax.


Figure A3.3. Proportion in MED cross-categories (\%) for entire population and Region 1: true dose-response model = Exponential.


Figure A3.4. Proportion in MED cross-categories (\%) for entire population and Region 1: true dose-response model $=$ Logistic.


\[

\]

30\%

| 6.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 7.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 69.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

MED for Region 1 (mg)


Figure A3.5. Proportion in MED cross-categories (\%) for entire population and Region 1: true dose-response model = Betal.



MED for Region 1 (mg)

| 20\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.5 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 1.3 |
| 7.8 | 4 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 4 |
| 6 | 4.8 | 7.7 | 6.8 | 1.3 | 10.8 |
| 2 | 1.5 | 4.8 | 9.1 | 1.9 | 7.1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 1.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure A3.6. Proportion in MED cross-categories (\%) for entire population and Region 1: true dose-response model = Beta2.


Figure A4. Probability that the MED of Region 1 was the same, higher or lower as that for the entire population, by true dose-response model.

