

Online Supplement for “Condition-based Maintenance for Multi-component systems: Modeling, Structural Properties, and Algorithms” by Zhicheng Zhu and Yisha Xiang

A.1. Proof of Proposition 1

Proof. (1) We first consider the case where there is no failed component in the first stage.

We need to compare the total costs among three cases for partition (N_0, N_1) : (a) $N_0 = \emptyset$, (b) $N_0 \neq \emptyset$ and $N_1 \neq \emptyset$ and (c) $N_0 = \mathcal{N}$. Denote C_1 , C_2 and C_3 by the total costs for the three cases respectively, we show that C_1 is minimum.

Denote the total cost for component $i \in \mathcal{N}$ *without* considering economic dependence by

$$\begin{cases} TC_i^1 = c_{i,\text{pm}} + c_s + Q_i(1, m)(c_{i,\text{cm}} + c_s), & \tilde{x}_{i,1} = 1, \\ TC_i^0 = Q_i(g_{i,1}, m)(c_{i,\text{cm}} + c_s), & \tilde{x}_{i,1} = 0, \end{cases}$$

Because $\tilde{x}_{i,1}^* = 1$, we have $TC_i^1 < TC_i^0, \forall i \in \mathcal{N}$.

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} TC_i^1 - (n-1)c_s - c_s \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} Q_i(1, m) + c_s(1 - \prod_{i \in \mathcal{N}} (1 - Q_i(1, m))), \\ C_2 &= \sum_{i \in N_0} TC_i^0 + \sum_{i \in N_1} TC_i^1 - (|N_1| - 1)c_s - c_s(\sum_{i \in N_0} Q_i(g_{i,1}, m) + \sum_{i \in N_1} Q_i(1, m)) \\ &\quad + c_s(1 - \prod_{i \in N_0} (1 - Q_i(g_{i,1}, m)) \prod_{i \in N_1} (1 - Q_i(1, m))) \text{ and} \\ C_3 &= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} TC_i^0 - c_s \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} Q_i(g_{i,1}, m) + c_s(1 - \prod_{i \in \mathcal{N}} (1 - Q_i(g_{i,1}, m))). \end{aligned}$$

(1a) Prove $C_1 < C_2$.

Because

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} TC_i^0 > TC_i^1 \\ (|N_1| - 1)c_s + c_s \left(\sum_{i \in N_0} Q_i(g_{i,1}, m) + \sum_{i \in N_1} Q_i(1, m) \right) < (n - 1)c_s + c_s \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} Q_i(1, m) \\ c_s(1 - \prod_{i \in N_0} (1 - Q_i(g_{i,1}, m)) \prod_{i \in N_1} (1 - Q_i(1, m))) > c_s(1 - \prod_{i \in \mathcal{N}} (1 - Q_i(1, m))) \end{array} \right.$$

we have $C_1 < C_2$.

(1b) Prove $C_1 < C_3$

It is easy to show that function $f(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} v_i + \prod_{i \in \mathcal{N}} (1 - v_i)$ has $\frac{\partial f}{\partial v_i} \geq 0$ for all $0 \leq v_i \leq 1, i \in \mathcal{N}$. Therefore, we have

$$\max(C_1) = C_1|_{Q_i(1,m)=0, \forall i \in \mathcal{N}} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} TC_i^1 - (n - 1)c_s$$

and

$$\min(C_3) = C_3|_{Q_i(g_{i,1},m)=1, \forall i \in \mathcal{N}} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} TC_i^0 - (n - 1)c_s.$$

Because $TC_i^0 > TC_i^1$ for all $i \in \mathcal{N}$, we have $C_1 \leq \max(C_1) < \min(C_3) \leq C_3$.

Therefore, C_1 is minimum.

(2) Consider the case where there exists at least one component failed at the first stage.

Let set $N \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ collect all failed components and $N \neq \emptyset$. Following proof (1), we only need to compare case (a) and feasible case (b) because case (c) is not feasible.

The cost of case (a) and feasible case (b) are denoted by C'_1 and C'_2 respectively, where

$$C'_1 = C_1 + \sum_{i \in N} (c_{i,\text{cm}} - c_{i,\text{pm}})$$

and

$$C'_2 = C_2 + \sum_{i \in N} (c_{i,\text{cm}} - c_{i,\text{pm}}).$$

From $C_1 < C_2$ in proof (1a), we have $C'_1 < C'_2$.

□

A.2. Proof of Proposition 2

Proof. Denote the total cost for component $i \in \mathcal{N}$ *without* considering economic dependence by

$$\begin{cases} TC_i^1 = c_{i,\text{pm}} + c_s + Q_i(1, m)(c_{i,\text{cm}} + c_s), & \tilde{x}_{i,1} = 1, \\ TC_i^0 = Q_i(g_{i,1}, m)(c_{i,\text{cm}} + c_s). & \tilde{x}_{i,1} = 0, \end{cases}$$

and let $Q_i(1, m) = Q_i(1)$ and $Q_i(g_{i,1}, m) = Q_i(g) \forall i \in \mathcal{N}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} C = & \sum_{i \in N_0} TC_i^0 + \sum_{i \in N_1} TC_i^1 - (\max(|N_1| - 1, 0))c_s - c_s \left(\sum_{i \in N_0} Q_i(g) + \sum_{i \in N_1} Q_i(1) \right) \\ & + c_s \left(1 - \prod_{i \in N_0} (1 - Q_i(g)) \prod_{i \in N_1} (1 - Q_i(1)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C' = & \sum_{i \in N'_0} TC_i^0 + \sum_{i \in N'_1} TC_i^1 - (\max(|N'_1| - 1, 0))c_s \\ & - c_s \left(\sum_{i \in N'_0} Q_i(g) + \sum_{i \in N'_1} Q_i(1) \right) + c_s \left(1 - \prod_{i \in N'_0} (1 - Q_i(g)) \prod_{i \in N'_1} (1 - Q_i(1)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

If $N_1 = \emptyset$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C' - C = & \sum_{k \in N} (TC_k^1 - TC_k^0) + c_s \sum_{k \in N} (Q_k(g) - Q_k(1)) \\ & + c_s \left(\prod_{i \in N_0} (1 - Q_i(g)) \prod_{i \in N_1} (1 - Q_i(1)) - \prod_{i \in N'_0} (1 - Q_i(g)) \prod_{i \in N'_1} (1 - Q_i(1)) \right) \\ = & \sum_{k \in N} \underbrace{(c_{k,\text{pm}} - (Q_k(g) - Q_k(1)) c_{k,\text{cm}})}_{\rho_k c_s} + c_s \\ & - c_s \underbrace{\prod_{i \in N_0} (1 - Q_i(g)) \prod_{i \in N_1} (1 - Q_i(1))}_{p(N_0, N_1)} \underbrace{\left(\prod_{k \in N} \frac{1 - Q_k(1)}{1 - Q_k(g)} - 1 \right)}_{r_N} \\ = & \sum_{k \in N} \rho_k c_s + c_s - c_s p(N_0, N_1) r_N \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from $C' < C$, we have

$$\frac{\sum_{k \in N} \rho_k c_s + c_s}{c_s r_N p(N_0, N_1)} = \frac{1 + \sum_{k \in N} \rho_k}{r_N p(N_0, N_1)} = \Delta_r(N_0, N_1, N) < 1.$$

From $\Delta_r(N_0, N_1, N) < 1$, we have $C' < C$.

Similarly, if $N_1 \neq \emptyset$,

$$\begin{aligned}
C' - C &= \sum_{k \in N} (TC_k^1 - TC_k^0) - |N|c_s + c_s \sum_{k \in N} (Q_k(g) - Q_k(1)) \\
&\quad + c_s \left(\prod_{i \in N_0} (1 - Q_i(g)) \prod_{i \in N_1} (1 - Q_i(1)) - \prod_{i \in N'_0} (1 - Q_i(g)) \prod_{i \in N'_1} (1 - Q_i(1)) \right) \\
&= \sum_{k \in N} \underbrace{(c_{k,\text{pm}} - (Q_k(g) - Q_k(1)) c_{k,\text{cm}})}_{\rho_k c_s} \\
&\quad - c_s \underbrace{\prod_{i \in N_0} (1 - Q_i(g)) \prod_{i \in N_1} (1 - Q_i(1))}_{p(N_0, N_1)} \underbrace{\left(\prod_{k \in N} \frac{1 - Q_k(1)}{1 - Q_k(g)} - 1 \right)}_{r_N} \\
&= \sum_{k \in N} \rho_k c_s - c_s p(N_0, N_1) r_N
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from $C' < C$, we have

$$\frac{\sum_{k \in N} \rho_k c_s}{c_s r_N p(N_0, N_1)} = \frac{\sum_{k \in N} \rho_k}{r_N p(N_0, N_1)} = \Delta_r(N_0, N_1, N) < 1.$$

From $\Delta_r(N_0, N_1, N) < 1$, we have $C' < C$. □

A.3. Proof of Proposition 3

Proof. Denote the total cost for component $i \in \mathcal{N}$ *without* considering economic dependence by

$$\begin{cases} TC_i^1 = c_{i,\text{pm}} + c_s + Q_i(1, m)(c_{i,\text{cm}} + c_s), & \tilde{x}_{i,1} = 1, \\ TC_i^0 = Q_i(g_{i,1}, m)(c_{i,\text{cm}} + c_s). & \tilde{x}_{i,1} = 0, \end{cases}$$

and let $Q_i(1, m) = Q_i(1)$ and $Q_i(g_{i,1}, m) = Q_i(g) \forall i \in \mathcal{N}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
C &= \sum_{i \in N_0} TC_i^0 + \sum_{i \in N_1} TC_i^1 - (\max(|N_1| - 1, 0))c_s - c_s \left(\sum_{i \in N_0} Q_i(g) + \sum_{i \in N_1} Q_i(1) \right) \\
&\quad + c_s \left(1 - \prod_{i \in N_0} (1 - Q_i(g)) \prod_{i \in N_1} (1 - Q_i(1)) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C' &= \sum_{i \in N'_0} TC_i^0 + \sum_{i \in N'_1} TC_i^1 - (\max(|N'_1| - 1, 0))c_s - c_s \left(\sum_{i \in N'_0} Q_i(g) + \sum_{i \in N'_1} Q_i(1) \right) \\
&\quad + c_s \left(1 - \prod_{i \in N'_0} (1 - Q_i(g)) \prod_{i \in N'_1} (1 - Q_i(1)) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

If $N'_1 = \emptyset$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
C - C' &= \sum_{k \in N} (TC_k^1 - TC_k^0) + c_s \sum_{k \in N} (Q_k(g) - Q_k(1)) \\
&\quad + c_s \left(\prod_{i \in N'_0} (1 - Q_i(g)) \prod_{i \in N'_1} (1 - Q_i(1)) - \prod_{i \in N_0} (1 - Q_i(g)) \prod_{i \in N_1} (1 - Q_i(1)) \right) \\
&= \sum_{k \in N} \underbrace{(c_{k,\text{pm}} - (Q_k(g) - Q_k(1)) c_{k,\text{cm}})}_{\rho_k c_s} + c_s \\
&\quad - c_s \underbrace{\prod_{i \in N_0} (1 - Q_i(g)) \prod_{i \in N_1} (1 - Q_i(1))}_{p(N_0, N_1)} \underbrace{\left(1 - \prod_{k \in N} \frac{1 - Q_k(g)}{1 - Q_k(1)} \right)}_{s_N} \\
&= \sum_{k \in N} \rho_k c_s + c_s - c_s p(N_0, N_1) s_N
\end{aligned}$$

From $C > C'$, we have $\sum_{k \in N} \rho_k c_s + c_s - c_s p(N_0, N_1) s_N > 0$. Therefore,

$$\frac{\sum_{k \in N} \rho_k c_s + c_s}{c_s s_N p(N_0, N_1)} = \frac{1 + \sum_{k \in N} \rho_k}{s_N p(N_0, N_1)} = \Delta_s(N_0, N_1, N) > 1,$$

From $\Delta_s(N_0, N_1, N) > 1$, we have $C > C'$.

Similarly, if $N'_1 \neq \emptyset$,

$$\begin{aligned}
C - C' &= \sum_{k \in N} (TC_k^1 - TC_k^0) - |N|c_s + c_s \sum_{k \in N} (Q_k(g) - Q_k(1)) \\
&\quad + c_s \left(\prod_{i \in N'_0} (1 - Q_i(g)) \prod_{i \in N'_1} (1 - Q_i(1)) - \prod_{i \in N_0} (1 - Q_i(g)) \prod_{i \in N_1} (1 - Q_i(1)) \right) \\
&= \sum_{k \in N} \underbrace{(c_{k,\text{pm}} - (Q_k(g) - Q_k(1)) c_{k,\text{cm}})}_{\rho_k c_s} \\
&\quad - c_s \underbrace{\prod_{i \in N_0} (1 - Q_i(g)) \prod_{i \in N_1} (1 - Q_i(1))}_{p(N_0, N_1)} \underbrace{\left(1 - \prod_{k \in N} \frac{1 - Q_k(g)}{1 - Q_k(1)} \right)}_{s_N} \\
&= \sum_{k \in N} \rho_k c_s - c_s p(N_0, N_1) s_N
\end{aligned}$$

From $C > C'$, we have

$$\frac{\sum_{k \in N} \rho_k c_s}{c_s s_{NP}(N_0, N_1)} = \frac{\sum_{k \in N} \rho_k}{s_{NP}(N_0, N_1)} = \Delta_s(N_0, N_1, N) > 1,$$

From $\Delta_s(N_0, N_1, N) > 1$, we have $C > C'$ □

A.4. Proof of Proposition 4

Proof. We prove this proposition by showing that the cost of partition (N_0^*, N_1^*) is no worse than that of any other feasible partitions.

For any other feasible partition (N'_0, N'_1) and the partition (N_0^*, N_1^*) that is obtained by Algorithm 1, we always rewrite $(N'_0, N'_1) = (N_0 \cup N_b, N_1 \cup N_a)$ and $(N_0^*, N_1^*) = (N_0 \cup N_a, N_1 \cup N_b)$ respectively, where set $N_0 = N'_0 \cap N_0^*$, $N_1 = N'_1 \cap N_1^*$, $N_b = N'_0 \setminus N_0 = N_1^* \setminus N_1$ and $N_a = N'_1 \setminus N_1 = N_0^* \setminus N_0$. We now show that the cost of partition (N_0^*, N_1^*) is no worse than that of (N'_0, N'_1) by the following three parts: (1) When $N_b \neq \emptyset$, we have cost relationship $(N_0^*, N_1^*) = (N_0 \cup N_a, N_1 \cup N_b) < (N_0 \cup N_a \cup N_b, N_1)$, (2) when $N_b \neq \emptyset$, we have cost relationship $(N_0 \cup N_a \cup N_b, N_1) < (N_0 \cup N_b, N_1 \cup N_a) = (N'_0, N'_1)$, and (3) we have cost $(N'_0, N'_1) = (N_0^*, N_1^*)$ if and only if $N_b = \emptyset$ and $\Delta_r(N_0 \cup N_a, N_1, N_a) = \Delta_s(N_0, N_1 \cup N_a, N_a) = 1$.

(1) When $N_b \neq \emptyset$, we have cost relationship $(N_0^*, N_1^*) = (N_0 \cup N_a, N_1 \cup N_b) < (N_0 \cup N_a \cup N_b, N_1)$.

This is equivalent to show that given current partition $(N_0 \cup N_a \cup N_b, N_1)$, moving N_b from the do-nothing set to the maintenance set can reduce cost. We next show that if we keep moving the component that arrives first in N_b in Algorithm 1 to the maintenance set, the cost keeps reducing until $N_b = \emptyset$, which implies moving the whole set N_b to the maintenance set reduces cost.

Denote the costs of $(N_0 \cup N_a, N_1 \cup N_b)$ and $(N_0 \cup N_a \cup N_b, N_1)$ by C and C_0 respectively, and initialize $C' = C_0$. We prove $C < C_0$ by the following steps:

Step 1: If all components in N_b are moved into N_1^* after set N_1 does in Algorithm 1, then $C < C_0$ because the cost reduces if we repeat how Algorithm 1 moves N_b to N_1^* .

Step 2: In this step, there exists at least one component $i \in N_b$ that joins N_1^* no later than some component in N_1 . Suppose component $k \in N_b$ is the earliest one in N_b that joins N_1^* and suppose k joins N_1^* along with set S^j , i.e., $k \in S^j$, where $|S^j| = j$ and $S^j \subseteq N_1^*$. Therefore, when $S^j \subseteq N_1^*$ joins N_1^* , the current partition is $(N_0 \cup N_a \cup N_b \cup S, N_1 \setminus S)$, where set $S^j \setminus \{k\} \subseteq S$,

and hence from Proposition 2, we have

$$\Delta_r(N_0 \cup N_a \cup N_b \cup S, N_1 \setminus S, S^j) < 1. \quad (1)$$

Step 3: If $j = 1$, then $S^j = \{k\}$. Denote the costs for partition $(N_0 \cup N_a \cup N_b \setminus \{k\}, N_1 \cup \{k\})$ by C_1 . From Inequation (1), we have $\Delta_r(N_0 \cup N_a \cup N_b, N_1, \{k\}) < \Delta_r(N_0 \cup N_a \cup N_b \cup S, N_1 \setminus S, \{k\}) < 1$ and therefore $C_1 < C'$. We then update $N_b = N_b \setminus \{k\}$ and $C' = C_1$ and go to Step 1.

Step 4: In this step, we have $j > 1$. From Algorithm 1, we know that any subset $N \subset S^j$ cannot join N_1^* given current partition $(N_0 \cup N_a \cup N_b \cup S, N_1 \setminus S)$. From Proposition 2, we have

$$\Delta_r(N_0 \cup N_a \cup N_b \cup S, N_1 \setminus S, N) > 1. \quad (2)$$

Let $N + \{k\} = S^j$. We have

$$r_N p(N_0 \cup N_a \cup N_b \cup S, N_1 \setminus S) + \rho_k < \sum_{i \in N} \rho_i + \rho_k < r_{S^j} p(N_0 \cup N_a \cup N_b \cup S, N_1 \setminus S),$$

where the first inequality is from Inequation (2) and the second inequality is from Inequation (1). Therefore, we have $\rho_k < (r_{S^j} - r_N) p(N_0 \cup N_a \cup N_b \cup S, N_1 \setminus S)$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_r(N_0 \cup N_a \cup N_b, N_1, \{k\}) &= \frac{\rho_k}{r_{\{k\}} p(N_0 \cup N_a \cup N_b, N_1)} \\ &< \frac{(r_{S^j} - r_N) p(N_0 \cup N_a \cup N_b \cup S, N_1 \setminus S)}{r_{\{k\}} p(N_0 \cup N_a \cup N_b, N_1)} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{i \in N} \frac{1 - Q_i(1, m)}{1 - Q_i(g_{i,1}, m)} p(N_0 \cup N_a \cup N_b \cup S, N_1 \setminus S)}{p(N_0 \cup N_a \cup N_b, N_1)} \\ &= \frac{p(N_0 \cup N_a \cup N_b \cup S \setminus N, (N_1 \cup N) \setminus S)}{p(N_0 \cup N_a \cup N_b, N_1)} \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is from $N = S^j \setminus \{k\} \subseteq S$. From Proposition 2, by denoting the cost of partition $(N_0 \cup N_a \cup N_b \setminus \{k\}, N_1 \cup \{k\})$ by C_1 , we have $C_1 < C'$ since $\Delta_r(N_0 \cup N_a \cup N_b, N_1, \{k\}) < 1$. We then update $N_b = N_b \setminus \{k\}$ and $C' = C_1$ and go to Step 1.

Therefore, we can always lower the cost C' by moving one component from N_b to the maintenance set. When $N_b = \emptyset$, we have $C' = C < C_0$.

(2) When $N_b \neq \emptyset$, we have cost relationship $(N_0 \cup N_a \cup N_b, N_1) < (N_0 \cup N_b, N_1 \cup N_a) = (N'_0, N'_1)$.

This is equivalent to show that moving set N_a from the maintenance set to the do-nothing set can lower cost. From Proposition 3, we need to prove $\Delta_s(N_0 \cup N_b, N_1 \cup N_a, N_a) > 1$.

By using the same method as proof (1), we can also prove the cost relationship $(N_0 \cup N_a, N_1 \cup N_b) < (N_0, N_1 \cup N_a \cup N_b)$ when $N_b \neq \emptyset$. From Proposition 3, we have $\Delta_s(N_0, N_1 \cup N_a \cup N_b, N_a) > 1$. Therefore,

$$\Delta_s(N_0 \cup N_b, N_1 \cup N_a, N_a) > \Delta_s(N_0, N_1 \cup N_a \cup N_b, N_a) > 1$$

(3) We have $\text{cost}(N'_0, N'_1) = (N_0^*, N_1^*)$ if and only if $N_b = \emptyset$ and $\Delta_r(N_0 \cup N_a, N_1, N_a) = \Delta_s(N_0, N_1 \cup N_a, N_a) = 1$.

When $(N_0^*, N_1^*) = (N'_0, N'_1)$, we have $(N_0 \cup N_a, N_1 \cup N_b) = (N_0 \cup N_a \cup N_b, N_1) = (N_0 \cup N_b, N_1 \cup N_a)$.

The first equality $(N_0 \cup N_a, N_1 \cup N_b) = (N_0 \cup N_a \cup N_b, N_1)$ holds if and only if $N_b = \emptyset$. Otherwise, following the steps of proof (1), we can always have $(N_0 \cup N_a, N_1 \cup N_b) < (N_0 \cup N_a \cup N_b, N_1)$.

Given $N_b = \emptyset$, the second equality is equivalent to $(N_0 \cup N_a, N_1) = (N_0, N_1 \cup N_a)$, which happens if and only if $\Delta_r(N_0 \cup N_a, N_1, N_a) = \Delta_s(N_0, N_1 \cup N_a, N_a) = 1$ based on Corollary 1. \square

B.1. Proof of Corollary 1:

Proof. We first show $p(N_0 \cup N_u, N_1)r_N \leq p(N_0, N_1 \cup N_u)s_N$.

$$\begin{aligned} & p(N_0 \cup N_u, N_1)r_N \\ &= \prod_{i \in N_0 \cup N_u} (1 - Q_i(g_{i,1}, m)) \prod_{i \in N_1} (1 - Q_i(1, m)) \left(\frac{\prod_{i \in N} (1 - Q_i(1, m)) - \prod_{i \in N} (1 - Q_i(g_{i,1}, m))}{\prod_{i \in N} (1 - Q_i(g_{i,1}, m))} \right) \\ &= \prod_{i \in N_0 \cup N_u - N} (1 - Q_i(g_{i,1}, m)) \prod_{i \in N_1 \cup N} (1 - Q_i(1, m)) \left(\frac{\prod_{i \in N} (1 - Q_i(1, m)) - \prod_{i \in N} (1 - Q_i(g_{i,1}, m))}{\prod_{i \in N} (1 - Q_i(1, m))} \right) \\ &= p(N_0 \cup N_u \setminus N, N_1 \cup N)s_N \leq p(N_0, N_1 \cup N_u)s_N, \end{aligned}$$

where equality holds when $N = N_u$.

(1) When $N_1 \neq \emptyset$, we have

$$\Delta_r(N_0 \cup N_u, N_1, N) = \frac{\sum_{k \in N} \rho_k}{r_N p(N_0 \cup N_u, N_1)} \geq \frac{\sum_{k \in N} \rho_k}{s_N p(N_0, N_1 \cup N_u)} = \Delta_s(N_0, N_1 \cup N_u, N),$$

where equality holds when $N = N_u$.

(2) When $N_1 = \emptyset$ and $N \neq N_u$, we have

$$\Delta_r(N_0 \cup N_u, N_1, N) = \frac{1 + \sum_{k \in N} \rho_k}{r_N p(N_0 \cup N_u, N_1)} > \frac{\sum_{k \in N} \rho_k}{s_N p(N_0, N_1 \cup N_u)} = \Delta_s(N_0, N_1 \cup N_u, N).$$

(3) When $N_1 = \emptyset$ and $N = N_u$, we have

$$\Delta_r(N_0 \cup N_u, N_1, N) = \frac{1 + \sum_{k \in N} \rho_k}{r_N p(N_0 \cup N_u, N_1)} = \frac{1 + \sum_{k \in N} \rho_k}{s_N p(N_0, N_1 \cup N_u)} = \Delta_s(N_0, N_1 \cup N_u, N).$$

Therefore, $\Delta_r(N_0 \cup N_u, N_1, N) > \Delta_s(N_0, N_1 \cup N_u, N)$ when $N \subset N_u$ and $\Delta_r(N_0 \cup N_u, N_1, N) = \Delta_s(N_0, N_1 \cup N_u, N)$ when $N = N_u$. \square