

Supplementary Material for “Estimation of Subgraph Densities in Noisy Networks” by Chang, Kolaczyk and Yao

Proof of Theorem 1

Recalling the definition of F_M and F_{M^*} as the joint distributions of $\mathbf{Y}$ when $\mathbf{Y}$ follows models M and M^* , respectively, denote by $F_{i,j,M}$ and F_{i,j,M^*} the corresponding marginal distribution of $Y_{i,j}$. From Assumption 1, we have

$$\mathcal{H}^2(F_M, F_{M^*}) \leq \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{H}^2(F_{i,j,M}, F_{i,j,M^*}) + \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{S}^c} \mathcal{H}^2(F_{i,j,M}, F_{i,j,M^*}) ,$$

where $\mathcal{S} = \text{supp}(\mathbf{A})$, $\mathcal{S}^c = \text{supp}(\mathbf{A}^*)$, and $\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the Hellinger distance between two distributions. Since $F_{i,j,M} = F_{i,j,M^*}$ for any $i \neq j$ which implies $\mathcal{H}^2(F_{i,j,M}, F_{i,j,M^*}) = 0$, then $\mathcal{H}^2(F_M, F_{M^*}) = 0$.

Without lose of generality, we assume $d_f = |f(M) - f(M^*)|$ for some $M \in \mathcal{M}$ with $f(M) < f(M^*)$. For any $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{E}$, we consider the hypothesis testing problem $H_0 : \mathbf{Y} \sim M$ versus $H_1 : \mathbf{Y} \sim M^*$, and define the test function $\Psi = I\{\hat{f} > f(M) + d_f/2\}$, which means we reject H_0 if $\Psi = 1$ and accept H_0 if $\Psi = 0$. The testing affinity (Le Cam, 1973, 2012) is defined as

$$\pi = \inf_{\substack{0 \leq \phi \leq 1 \\ \phi \text{-measurable}}} \mathbb{E}_{H_0}(\phi) + \mathbb{E}_{H_1}(1 - \phi),$$

and it is the minimal sum of type I and type II errors of any test between H_0 and H_1 . Recall $\mathcal{H}(F_M, F_{M^*}) = 0$ and $\pi \geq 1 - \mathcal{H}(F_M, F_{M^*})$, then $\pi = 1$. Notice that $\mathbb{P}_M(|\hat{f} - f| \geq d_f/2) \geq \mathbb{P}_M(\hat{f} > f + d_f/2) = \text{type I error}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{M^*}(|\hat{f} - f| \geq d_f/2) \geq \mathbb{P}_{M^*}(\hat{f} \leq f - d_f/2) = \mathbb{P}_{M^*}\{\hat{f} \leq f(M) + d_f/2\} = \text{type II error}$. Thus $\max\{\mathbb{P}_M(|\hat{f} - f| \geq$

$d_f/2), \mathbb{P}_{M^*}(|\hat{f} - f| \geq d_f/2)\} \geq 1/2$ which implies

$$\sup_{\mathcal{M}} \mathbb{P}\left(|\hat{f} - f| \geq \frac{d_f}{2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Since the above result holds for any $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{E}$, the proof of Theorem 1 is complete. $\square$

A useful lemma

To prove Proposition 1 and Theorems 2 and 3, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let $N = p(p-1)/2$, $\kappa_1 = \alpha(1-\alpha)$ and $\kappa_2 = \beta(1-\beta)$. Under Assumption 1, if $N_1 = p(p-1)\delta \rightarrow \infty$ and $N_2 = p(p-1)(1-\delta) \rightarrow \infty$, it holds that $\sqrt{N}(\hat{u}_1 - u_1, \hat{u}_2 - u_2, \hat{u}_3 - u_3)^\top \rightarrow_d \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$ with $\Sigma = (\sigma_{ij})_{3 \times 3}$, where $\sigma_{11} = \delta\kappa_2 + (1-\delta)\kappa_1$, $\sigma_{22} = \delta\kappa_2(1/2 - \kappa_2) + (1-\delta)\kappa_1(1/2 - \kappa_1)$, $\sigma_{33} = \delta\beta\kappa_2(1/3 - \beta\kappa_2) + (1-\delta)\kappa_1(1-\alpha)\{1/3 - \kappa_1(1-\alpha)\}$, $\sigma_{12} = \sigma_{21} = \delta\kappa_2(\beta - 1/2) + (1-\delta)\kappa_1(1/2 - \alpha)$, $\sigma_{13} = \sigma_{31} = \delta\kappa_2(\beta^2/3 - 2\kappa_2/3) + (1-\delta)\kappa_1\{(1-\alpha)^2/3 - 2\kappa_1/3\}$ and $\sigma_{23} = \sigma_{32} = \delta\beta\kappa_2(1/3 - \kappa_2) + (1-\delta)(1-\alpha)\kappa_1(1/3 - \kappa_1)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{(i, j) : A_{i,j} = 1, i < j\}$ and $\mathcal{S}^c = \{(i, j) : A_{i,j} = 0, i < j\}$. By the definition of $\hat{u}_k$ and u_k ($k = 1, 2, 3$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{u}_1 - u_1 &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{S}} \{Y_{i,j} - (1-\beta)\} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{S}^c} (Y_{i,j} - \alpha), \\ \hat{u}_2 - u_2 &= \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{S}} (|Y_{i,j,*} - Y_{i,j}| - 2\kappa_2) + \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{S}^c} (|Y_{i,j,*} - Y_{i,j}| - 2\kappa_1) \\ \hat{u}_3 - u_3 &= \frac{1}{3N} \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{S}} (\xi_{i,j} - 3\beta\kappa_2) + \frac{1}{3N} \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{S}^c} \{\xi_{i,j} - 3\kappa_1(1-\alpha)\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_{i,j} = I(Y_{i,j,**} - 2Y_{i,j,*} + Y_{i,j} = 1 \text{ or } -2)$. It follows from Assumption 1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
N\mathbb{E}\{(\hat{u}_1 - u_1)^2\} &= \delta\kappa_2 + (1 - \delta)\kappa_1 = \sigma_{11}, \\
N\mathbb{E}\{(\hat{u}_2 - u_2)^2\} &= \delta\kappa_2\left(\frac{1}{2} - \kappa_2\right) + (1 - \delta)\kappa_1\left(\frac{1}{2} - \kappa_1\right) = \sigma_{22}, \\
N\mathbb{E}\{(\hat{u}_3 - u_3)^2\} &= \delta\beta\kappa_2\left(\frac{1}{3} - \beta\kappa_2\right) + (1 - \delta)\kappa_1(1 - \alpha)\left\{\frac{1}{3} - \kappa_1(1 - \alpha)\right\} = \sigma_{33}, \\
N\mathbb{E}\{(\hat{u}_1 - u_1)(\hat{u}_2 - u_2)\} &= \delta\kappa_2\left(\beta - \frac{1}{2}\right) + (1 - \delta)\kappa_1\left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha\right) = \sigma_{12}, \\
N\mathbb{E}\{(\hat{u}_1 - u_1)(\hat{u}_3 - u_3)\} &= \delta\kappa_2\left(\frac{\beta^2}{3} - \frac{2\kappa_2}{3}\right) + (1 - \delta)\kappa_1\left\{\frac{(1 - \alpha)^2}{3} - \frac{2\kappa_1}{3}\right\} = \sigma_{13}, \\
N\mathbb{E}\{(\hat{u}_2 - u_2)(\hat{u}_3 - u_3)\} &= \delta\beta\kappa_2\left(\frac{1}{3} - \kappa_2\right) + (1 - \delta)(1 - \alpha)\kappa_1\left(\frac{1}{3} - \kappa_1\right) = \sigma_{23}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the Lindberg-Feller Central Limit Theorem, we have Lemma 1. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 1

Define $g_1(x, y, z) = (1 - z)x + z(1 - y)$ and $g_2(x, y, z) = (1 - z)x(1 - x) + zy(1 - y)$ for any $(x, y, z) \in (0, 1)^3$. When α is known, it holds that $g_1(\alpha, \hat{\beta}, \hat{\delta}) - g_1(\alpha, \beta, \delta) = \hat{u}_1 - u_1$ and $g_2(\alpha, \hat{\beta}, \hat{\delta}) - g_2(\alpha, \beta, \delta) = \hat{u}_2 - u_2$. Since the equations $g_1(\alpha, y, z) = u_1$ and $g_2(\alpha, y, z) = u_2$ have the unique solution $(y, z) = (\beta, \delta)$, and $(\hat{u}_1, \hat{u}_2) = (u_1, u_2) + o_p(1)$, we have consistency of $(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\delta})$. By Taylor expansion, we have $\mathbf{D}_\alpha(\hat{\beta} - \beta, \hat{\delta} - \delta)^\top = (\hat{u}_1 - u_1, \hat{u}_2 - u_2)^\top$ with

$$\mathbf{D}_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial g_1(x, y, z)}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial g_1(x, y, z)}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial g_2(x, y, z)}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial g_2(x, y, z)}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{(x, y, z) = (\alpha, \beta^*, \delta^*)} \quad (\text{S.1})$$

where $(\beta^*, \delta^*) = \lambda \cdot (\beta, \delta) + (1 - \lambda) \cdot (\hat{\beta}, \hat{\delta})$ for some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Notice that $\det(\mathbf{D}_\alpha) = -\delta^*(1 - \alpha - \beta^*)^2$. Since $\delta(1 - \alpha - \beta)^2 \geq c$ for some positive constant c , with the continuity of the function $\delta(1 - \alpha - \beta)^2$ with respect to (β, δ) , we know $\det(\mathbf{D}_\alpha) \leq -c/2$ with probability approaching one. Therefore, $(\hat{\beta} - \beta, \hat{\delta} - \delta)^\top = \mathbf{D}_\alpha^{-1}(\hat{u}_1 - u_1, \hat{u}_2 - u_2)^\top$.

From Lemma 1, $(\hat{u}_1 - u_1, \hat{u}_2 - u_2) = O_p(N^{-1/2})$ which implies part (i) of Proposition 1. Analogously, we have part (ii). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2

It follows from Lemma 1 that $\sqrt{N}(\hat{u}_1 - u_1, \hat{u}_2 - u_2)^\top \rightarrow_d \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma}_1)$ where $\mathbf{\Sigma}_1 = (\sigma_{ij})_{2 \times 2}$ with σ_{ij} specified in Lemma 1. We first consider the case with known α . As we have shown in the proof of Proposition 1, $(\hat{\beta} - \beta, \hat{\delta} - \delta)^\top = \mathbf{D}_\alpha^{-1}(\hat{u}_1 - u_1, \hat{u}_2 - u_2)^\top$ with

$$\mathbf{D}_\alpha^{-1} = -\frac{1}{\delta^*(1 - \alpha - \beta^*)^2} \begin{pmatrix} \beta^*(1 - \beta^*) - \alpha(1 - \alpha) & -(1 - \alpha - \beta^*) \\ -\delta^*(1 - 2\beta^*) & -\delta^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, $\sqrt{N}(\hat{\beta} - \beta, \hat{\delta} - \delta)^\top \rightarrow_d \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma}_{1,\alpha})$ with

$$\mathbf{\Sigma}_{1,\alpha} = \frac{1}{\delta^2 \kappa_3^4} \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_2 - \kappa_1 & -\kappa_3 \\ -\delta(1 - 2\beta) & -\delta \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{\Sigma}_1 \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_2 - \kappa_1 & -\delta(1 - 2\beta) \\ -\kappa_3 & -\delta \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\kappa_1 = \alpha(1 - \alpha)$, $\kappa_2 = \beta(1 - \beta)$ and $\kappa_3 = 1 - \alpha - \beta$. This completes part (i) of Theorem 2. For part (ii), notice that

$$\mathbf{D}_\beta = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \frac{\partial g_1(x,y,z)}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial g_1(x,y,z)}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial g_2(x,y,z)}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial g_2(x,y,z)}{\partial z} \end{array} \right) \Big|_{(x,y,z)=(\alpha^*, \beta, \delta^*)},$$

where $(\alpha^*, \delta^*) = \lambda \cdot (\alpha, \delta) + (1 - \lambda) \cdot (\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\delta})$ for some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Then

$$\mathbf{D}_\beta^{-1} = -\frac{1}{(1 - \delta^*)(1 - \alpha^* - \beta)^2} \begin{pmatrix} \beta(1 - \beta) - \alpha^*(1 - \alpha^*) & -(1 - \alpha^* - \beta) \\ -(1 - \delta^*)(1 - 2\alpha^*) & 1 - \delta^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha, \hat{\delta} - \delta)^\top = \mathbf{D}_\beta^{-1}(\hat{u}_1 - u_1, \hat{u}_2 - u_2)^\top$, then $\sqrt{N}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha, \hat{\delta} - \delta)^\top \rightarrow_d \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1,\beta})$ with

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1,\beta} = \frac{1}{(1-\delta)^2 \kappa_3^4} \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_2 - \kappa_1 & -\kappa_3 \\ -(1-\delta)(1-2\alpha) & 1-\delta \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_2 - \kappa_1 & -(1-\delta)(1-2\alpha) \\ -\kappa_3 & 1-\delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, we have part (ii). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3

Define $g_3(x, y, z) = (1-z)x(1-x)^2 + zy^2(1-y)$ for any $(x, y, z) \in (0, 1)^3$. Recall $g_1(x, y, z) = (1-z)x + z(1-y)$ and $g_2(x, y, z) = (1-z)x(1-x) + zy(1-y)$. Following the same arguments in the proof of Proposition 1 for the consistency of $(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\delta})$, we have the consistency of $(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}, \hat{\delta})$. By Taylor expansion, we have $\mathbf{D}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha, \hat{\beta} - \beta, \hat{\delta} - \delta)^\top = (\hat{u}_1 - u_1, \hat{u}_2 - u_2, \hat{u}_3 - u_3)^\top$ with

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial g_1(x,y,z)}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial g_1(x,y,z)}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial g_1(x,y,z)}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial g_2(x,y,z)}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial g_2(x,y,z)}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial g_2(x,y,z)}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial g_3(x,y,z)}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial g_3(x,y,z)}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial g_3(x,y,z)}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix} \bigg|_{(x,y,z)=(\alpha^*, \beta^*, \delta^*)},$$

where $(\alpha^*, \beta^*, \delta^*) = \lambda \cdot (\alpha, \beta, \delta) + (1-\lambda) \cdot (\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}, \hat{\delta})$ for some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Notice that $\det(\mathbf{D}) = -(1-\delta^*)\delta^*(1-\alpha^*-\beta^*)^4$. Since $(1-\delta)\delta(1-\alpha-\beta)^4 \geq c$ for some positive constant c , with the continuity of the function $(1-\delta)\delta(1-\alpha-\beta)^4$ with respect to (α, β, δ) , we know $\det(\mathbf{D}) \leq -c/2$ with probability approaching one. Therefore, $(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha, \hat{\beta} - \beta, \hat{\delta} - \delta)^\top = \mathbf{D}^{-1}(\hat{u}_1 - u_1, \hat{u}_2 - u_2, \hat{u}_3 - u_3)^\top$. From Lemma 1, $(\hat{u}_1 - u_1, \hat{u}_2 - u_2, \hat{u}_3 - u_3) = O_p(N^{-1/2})$

which implies $(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha, \hat{\beta} - \beta, \hat{\delta} - \delta) = O_p(N^{-1/2})$. Since

$$\mathbf{D}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(1-2\beta^*)\alpha^* + \beta^{*2}}{(1-\delta^*)(1-\alpha^*-\beta^*)^2} & \frac{\alpha^* - 2\beta^*}{(1-\delta^*)(1-\alpha^*-\beta^*)^2} & \frac{1}{(1-\delta^*)(1-\alpha^*-\beta^*)^2} \\ -\frac{(1-2\alpha^*)\beta^* + \alpha^{*2}}{\delta^*(1-\alpha^*-\beta^*)^2} & \frac{\beta^* - 2\alpha^* + 1}{\delta^*(1-\alpha^*-\beta^*)^2} & -\frac{1}{\delta^*(1-\alpha^*-\beta^*)^2} \\ -\frac{3(\alpha^* + \beta^*) - 6\alpha^*\beta^* - 1}{(1-\alpha^*-\beta^*)^3} & -\frac{3\alpha^* - 3\beta^* - 1}{(1-\alpha^*-\beta^*)^3} & -\frac{2}{(1-\alpha^*-\beta^*)^3} \end{pmatrix},$$

then $\sqrt{N}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha, \hat{\beta} - \beta, \hat{\delta} - \delta)^T \rightarrow_d \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma_2)$ with

$$\Sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(1-2\beta)\alpha + \beta^2}{(1-\delta)\kappa_3^2} & \frac{\alpha - 2\beta}{(1-\delta)\kappa_3^2} & \frac{1}{(1-\delta)\kappa_3^2} \\ -\frac{(1-2\alpha)\beta + \alpha^2}{\delta\kappa_3^2} & \frac{\beta - 2\alpha + 1}{\delta\kappa_3^2} & -\frac{1}{\delta\kappa_3^2} \\ \frac{3\kappa_3 + 6\alpha\beta - 2}{\kappa_3^3} & \frac{3\kappa_3 + 6\beta - 2}{\kappa_3^3} & -\frac{2}{\kappa_3^3} \end{pmatrix} \Sigma \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(1-2\beta)\alpha + \beta^2}{(1-\delta)\kappa_3^2} & -\frac{(1-2\alpha)\beta + \alpha^2}{\delta\kappa_3^2} & \frac{3\kappa_3 + 6\alpha\beta - 2}{\kappa_3^3} \\ \frac{\alpha - 2\beta}{(1-\delta)\kappa_3^2} & \frac{\beta - 2\alpha + 1}{\delta\kappa_3^2} & \frac{3\kappa_3 + 6\beta - 2}{\kappa_3^3} \\ \frac{1}{(1-\delta)\kappa_3^2} & -\frac{1}{\delta\kappa_3^2} & -\frac{2}{\kappa_3^3} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\kappa_1 = \alpha(1 - \alpha)$, $\kappa_2 = \beta(1 - \beta)$, $\kappa_3 = 1 - \alpha - \beta$, and Σ is specified in Lemma 1.

This completes the proof of Theorem 3. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 2

Define

$$T_{\mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v}=(i_1, i'_1, \dots, i_k, i'_k) \in \mathcal{V}} \prod_{\ell=1}^k \mathbb{E}\{\varphi_{\ell}(Y_{i_{\ell}, i'_{\ell}})\}.$$

Since $|1 - \alpha - \beta| \geq c$ for some positive constant c , the convergence rate of $|\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k) - C_{\mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)|$ is the same as that of $|\tilde{T}_{\mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k) - T_{\mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)|$. To simplify the notation, we write $\tilde{T}_{\mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$ and $T_{\mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$ as $\tilde{T}_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $T_{\mathcal{V}}$, respectively. Let

$\dot{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell}) = \varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell}) - \mathbb{E}\{\varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})\}$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_\mathcal{V} - T_\mathcal{V} &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \left[\prod_{\ell=1}^k \varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell}) - \prod_{\ell=1}^k \mathbb{E}\{\varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})\} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{\substack{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_k = 1 \\ \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in \{0, 1\}}} \prod_{\ell=1}^k \dot{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})^{\xi_\ell} [\mathbb{E}\{\varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})\}]^{1-\xi_\ell} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_k = 1 \\ \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in \{0, 1\}}} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \prod_{\ell=1}^k \dot{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})^{\xi_\ell} [\mathbb{E}\{\varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})\}]^{1-\xi_\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(|\tilde{T}_\mathcal{V} - T_\mathcal{V}|^2) \leq J_k \sum_{\substack{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_k = 1 \\ \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in \{0, 1\}}} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \prod_{\ell=1}^k \dot{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})^{\xi_\ell} [\mathbb{E}\{\varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})\}]^{1-\xi_\ell} \right)^2 \right\}$$

where $J_k = 2^k - 1$. For any given $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in \{0, 1\}$, define

$$\psi_{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k}(\mathbf{v}) = \prod_{\ell=1}^k \dot{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})^{\xi_\ell} [\mathbb{E}\{\varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})\}]^{1-\xi_\ell}$$

with $\mathbf{v} = (i_1, i'_1, \dots, i_k, i'_k) \in \mathcal{V}$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}(|\tilde{T}_\mathcal{V} - T_\mathcal{V}|^2) \leq J_k \sum_{\substack{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_k = 1 \\ \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in \{0, 1\}}} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \psi_{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k}(\mathbf{v}) \right)^2 \right\}. \quad (\text{S.2})$$

For $\aleph_\mathcal{V}(s)$ defined in (20), we adopt the convention $\aleph_\mathcal{V}(0) = 1$. If $\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_k = s$ with $1 \leq s \leq k$, without lose of generality, we assume $\xi_1 = \dots = \xi_s = 1$ and $\xi_{s+1} = \dots = \xi_k = 0$. Then

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \psi_{1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^s \dot{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell}) \cdot \prod_{\ell=s+1}^k \mathbb{E}\{\varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})\} \right).$$

For any $\mathbf{v} = (i_1, i'_1, \dots, i_k, i'_k) \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = (\tilde{i}_1, \tilde{i}'_1, \dots, \tilde{i}_k, \tilde{i}'_k) \in \mathcal{V}$, if $|\{\{i_1, i'_1\}, \dots, \{i_s, i'_s\}\} \cap \{\{\tilde{i}_1, \tilde{i}'_1\}, \dots, \{\tilde{i}_s, \tilde{i}'_s\}\}| < s$, then $\mathbb{E}\{\psi_{1,\dots,1,0,\dots,0}(\mathbf{v})\psi_{1,\dots,1,0,\dots,0}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}})\} = 0$. Recall that $|\psi_{\xi_1,\dots,\xi_k}(\mathbf{v})| \leq q_{\max}^k$ for any $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$, where $q_{\max} = \max\{1 - \alpha, \alpha, 1 - \beta, \beta\}$. Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left\{\frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \psi_{1,\dots,1,0,\dots,0}(\mathbf{v})\right\}^2\right] \leq \frac{2^s s! \aleph_{\mathcal{V}}(k-s)}{|\mathcal{V}|^2} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} q_{\max}^{2k} = \frac{2^s s! q_{\max}^{2k} \aleph_{\mathcal{V}}(k-s)}{|\mathcal{V}|}.$$

Similarly, we know

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left\{\frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \psi_{\xi_1,\dots,\xi_k}(\mathbf{v})\right\}^2\right] \leq \frac{2^s s! q_{\max}^{2k} \aleph_{\mathcal{V}}(k-s)}{|\mathcal{V}|} \quad (\text{S.3})$$

for any $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_k = s$. Therefore, from (S.2), it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}(|\tilde{T}_{\mathcal{V}} - T_{\mathcal{V}}|^2) \leq \frac{2^k k! q_{\max}^{2k} J_k^2}{|\mathcal{V}|} \max_{1 \leq s \leq k} \aleph_{\mathcal{V}}(k-s) = \frac{2^k k! q_{\max}^{2k} J_k^2}{|\mathcal{V}|} \aleph_{\mathcal{V}}. \quad (\text{S.4})$$

It follows from Markov inequality that

$$|\tilde{T}_{\mathcal{V}} - T_{\mathcal{V}}| = O_p\left(\sqrt{\frac{\aleph_{\mathcal{V}}}{|\mathcal{V}|}}\right).$$

We complete the proof of Proposition 2. □

Proof of Proposition 3

Notice that $\aleph_{\mathcal{V}}(s)/\aleph_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow 0$ for each $1 \leq s \leq k-2$. By the definition of $\varphi_{\ell}(\cdot)$, we have

$\dot{\varphi}_{\ell}(Y_{i_{\ell}, i'_{\ell}}) = (-1)^{1-\tau_{\ell}} \dot{Y}_{i_{\ell}, i'_{\ell}}$ with $\dot{Y}_{i_{\ell}, i'_{\ell}} = Y_{i_{\ell}, i'_{\ell}} - \mathbb{E}(Y_{i_{\ell}, i'_{\ell}})$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{T}_{\mathcal{V}} - T_{\mathcal{V}} &= \sum_{\substack{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_k = 1 \\ \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in \{0, 1\}}} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \prod_{\ell=1}^k \dot{\varphi}_{\ell}(Y_{i_{\ell}, i'_{\ell}})^{\xi_{\ell}} [\mathbb{E}\{\varphi_{\ell}(Y_{i_{\ell}, i'_{\ell}})\}]^{1-\xi_{\ell}} + o_p\left(\sqrt{\frac{\aleph_{\mathcal{V}}}{|\mathcal{V}|}}\right) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \left[\dot{\varphi}_j(Y_{i_j, i'_j}) \prod_{\ell \neq j} \mathbb{E}\{\varphi_{\ell}(Y_{i_{\ell}, i'_{\ell}})\} \right] + o_p\left(\sqrt{\frac{\aleph_{\mathcal{V}}}{|\mathcal{V}|}}\right) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{1-\tau_j}}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \left[\dot{Y}_{i_j, i'_j} \prod_{\ell \neq j} \mathbb{E}\{\varphi_{\ell}(Y_{i_{\ell}, i'_{\ell}})\} \right] + o_p\left(\sqrt{\frac{\aleph_{\mathcal{V}}}{|\mathcal{V}|}}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{S.5}$$

Notice that $\aleph_{\mathcal{V}}/|\mathcal{V}| = O_p(N^{-1})$ and $\sqrt{N}(\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{V}} - C_{\mathcal{V}}) = (1 - \alpha - \beta)^{-k} \sqrt{N}(\tilde{T}_{\mathcal{V}} - T_{\mathcal{V}})$. Then we complete the proof of Proposition 3. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4

Let

$$\theta = \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{1-\tau_j}}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \left[\dot{Y}_{i_j, i'_j} \prod_{\ell \neq j} \mathbb{E}\{\varphi_{\ell}(Y_{i_{\ell}, i'_{\ell}})\} \right] \right)^2 \right\}.$$

Based on the Berry-Essen Theorem, we have

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \mathbb{P}\left\{ \sqrt{N}(\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{V}} - C_{\mathcal{V}}) \leq z \right\} - \Phi\left\{ \frac{(1 - \alpha - \beta)^k z}{\sqrt{N\theta}} \right\} \right| \rightarrow 0, \tag{S.6}$$

where $\Phi(\cdot)$ denotes the cumulative distribution function of standard normal distribution.

It holds that

$$\theta = \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{2-\tau_{j_1}-\tau_{j_2}}}{|\mathcal{V}|^2} \sum_{\mathbf{v}, \tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}} \left[\mathbb{E}(\dot{Y}_{i_{j_1}, i'_{j_1}} \dot{Y}_{i_{j_2}, i'_{j_2}}) \prod_{\ell \neq j_1} \mathbb{E}\{\varphi_{\ell}(Y_{i_{\ell}, i'_{\ell}})\} \prod_{\ell \neq j_2} \mathbb{E}\{\varphi_{\ell}(Y_{i_{\ell}, i'_{\ell}})\} \right]. \tag{S.7}$$

Notice that $\mathbb{E}(\mathring{Y}_{i_{j_1}, i'_{j_1}} \mathring{Y}_{\tilde{i}_{j_2}, \tilde{i}'_{j_2}}) = \{A_{i_{j_1}, i'_{j_1}}(1 - \alpha - \beta) + \alpha\} \{1 - \alpha - A_{i_{j_1}, i'_{j_1}}(1 - \alpha - \beta)\} = \text{Var}(Y_{i_{j_1}, i'_{j_1}})$ if $\{i_{j_1}, i'_{j_1}\} = \{\tilde{i}_{j_2}, \tilde{i}'_{j_2}\}$, and $\mathbb{E}(\mathring{Y}_{i_{j_1}, i'_{j_1}} \mathring{Y}_{\tilde{i}_{j_2}, \tilde{i}'_{j_2}}) = 0$ if $\{i_{j_1}, i'_{j_1}\} \neq \{\tilde{i}_{j_2}, \tilde{i}'_{j_2}\}$. For any $j_1, j_2 = 1, \dots, k$ and $\mathbf{v} = (i_1, i'_1, \dots, i_k, i'_k) \in \mathcal{V}$, define $\mathcal{V}_{j_1, j_2}(\mathbf{v}) = \{\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = (\tilde{i}_1, \tilde{i}'_1, \dots, \tilde{i}_k, \tilde{i}'_k) \in \mathcal{V} : \{\tilde{i}_{j_2}, \tilde{i}'_{j_2}\} = \{i_{j_1}, i'_{j_1}\}\}$. Then

$$\theta = \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{2-\tau_{j_1}-\tau_{j_2}}}{|\mathcal{V}|^2} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \left[\text{Var}(Y_{i_{j_1}, i'_{j_1}}) \prod_{\ell \neq j_1} \mathbb{E}\{\varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})\} \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}_{j_1, j_2}(\mathbf{v})} \prod_{\ell \neq j_2} \mathbb{E}\{\varphi_\ell(Y_{\tilde{i}_\ell, \tilde{i}'_\ell})\} \right].$$

Define

$$Z = \frac{\sqrt{N}}{(1 - \alpha - \beta)^k} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{1-\tau_j}}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \left\{ \mathring{Y}_{i_j, i'_j}^\dagger \prod_{\ell \neq j} \varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell}) \right\}.$$

Given $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_{i,j})_{p \times p}$, we have $Z \rightarrow_d \mathcal{N}(0, \hat{\sigma}_\mathcal{V}^2)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}_\mathcal{V}^2 &= \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha - \beta)^{2k}} \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} N \mathbb{E}^* \left(\left[\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{1-\tau_j}}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \left\{ \mathring{Y}_{i_j, i'_j}^\dagger \prod_{\ell \neq j} \varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell}) \right\} \right]^2 \right) \\ &=: \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha - \beta)^{2k}} \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} N \theta^*, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{E}^*(\cdot)$ denotes the conditional expectation given $\mathbf{Y}$. Based on the Berry-Essen Theorem, we have

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \mathbb{P}(Z \leq z | \mathbf{Y}) - \Phi \left\{ \frac{(1 - \alpha - \beta)^k z}{\sqrt{N \theta^*}} \right\} \right| \rightarrow 0. \quad (\text{S.8})$$

Same as (S.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^* &= \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{2-\tau_{j_1}-\tau_{j_2}}}{|\mathcal{V}|^2} \sum_{\mathbf{v}, \tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}} \left[\mathbb{E}^*(\mathring{Y}_{i_{j_1}, i'_{j_1}}^\dagger \mathring{Y}_{\tilde{i}_{j_2}, \tilde{i}'_{j_2}}^\dagger) \prod_{\ell \neq j_1} \varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell}) \prod_{\ell \neq j_2} \varphi_\ell(Y_{\tilde{i}_\ell, \tilde{i}'_\ell}) \right] \\ &= \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{2-\tau_{j_1}-\tau_{j_2}}}{|\mathcal{V}|^2} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \left[\text{Var}^*(Y_{i_{j_1}, i'_{j_1}}^\dagger) \prod_{\ell \neq j_1} \varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell}) \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}_{j_1, j_2}(\mathbf{v})} \prod_{\ell \neq j_2} \varphi_\ell(Y_{\tilde{i}_\ell, \tilde{i}'_\ell}) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Var}^*(\cdot)$ denotes the conditional variance given $\mathbf{Y}$. It follows from (S.6) and (S.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \mathbb{P}\left\{\sqrt{N}(\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{V}} - C_{\mathcal{V}}) \leq z\right\} - \mathbb{P}(Z \leq z \mid \mathbf{Y}) \right| \\ & \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \Phi\left\{\frac{(1 - \alpha - \beta)^k z}{\sqrt{N\theta}}\right\} - \Phi\left\{\frac{(1 - \alpha - \beta)^k z}{\sqrt{N\theta^*}}\right\} \right| + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

In the sequel, we show $|\theta^* - \theta| = o_p(N^{-1})$. To do this, we only need to show

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{j_1, j_2} &:= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|^2} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \left[\text{Var}^*(Y_{i_{j_1}, i'_{j_1}}^\dagger) \prod_{\ell \neq j_1} \varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell}) \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}_{j_1, j_2}(\mathbf{v})} \prod_{\ell \neq j_2} \varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, \tilde{i}'_\ell}) \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|^2} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \left[\text{Var}(Y_{i_{j_1}, i'_{j_1}}) \prod_{\ell \neq j_1} \mathbb{E}\{\varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})\} \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}_{j_1, j_2}(\mathbf{v})} \prod_{\ell \neq j_2} \mathbb{E}\{\varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, \tilde{i}'_\ell})\} \right] \\ &= o_p(N^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

for any $j_1, j_2 = 1, \dots, k$. Notice that $\text{Var}^*(Y_{i_j, i'_j}^\dagger) = Y_{i_j, i'_j}(\beta - \alpha) + \alpha(1 - \beta)$ and $\mathbb{E}\{\text{Var}^*(Y_{i_j, i'_j}^\dagger)\} = \text{Var}(Y_{i_j, i'_j})$. Given j_1 , define $\tilde{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell}) = \varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})$ for any $\ell \neq j_1$, and $\tilde{\varphi}_{j_1}(Y_{i_{j_1}, i'_{j_1}}) = Y_{i_{j_1}, i'_{j_1}}(\beta - \alpha) + \alpha(1 - \beta)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{j_1, j_2} &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|^2} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \left[\prod_{\ell=1}^k \tilde{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell}) - \prod_{\ell=1}^k \mathbb{E}\{\tilde{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})\} \right] \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}_{j_1, j_2}(\mathbf{v})} \prod_{\ell \neq j_2} \mathbb{E}\{\varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, \tilde{i}'_\ell})\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|^2} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \left[\prod_{\ell=1}^k \mathbb{E}\{\tilde{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})\} \right] \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}_{j_1, j_2}(\mathbf{v})} \left[\prod_{\ell \neq j_2} \varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, \tilde{i}'_\ell}) - \prod_{\ell \neq j_2} \mathbb{E}\{\varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, \tilde{i}'_\ell})\} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|^2} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \left[\prod_{\ell=1}^k \tilde{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell}) - \prod_{\ell=1}^k \mathbb{E}\{\tilde{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})\} \right] \\ &\quad \quad \times \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}_{j_1, j_2}(\mathbf{v})} \left[\prod_{\ell \neq j_2} \varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, \tilde{i}'_\ell}) - \prod_{\ell \neq j_2} \mathbb{E}\{\varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, \tilde{i}'_\ell})\} \right] \\ &:= \Delta_{j_1, j_2}(1) + \Delta_{j_1, j_2}(2) + \Delta_{j_1, j_2}(3). \end{aligned}$$

We will show $|\Delta_{j_1, j_2}(1)| = o_p(N^{-1})$, $|\Delta_{j_1, j_2}(2)| = o_p(N^{-1})$ and $|\Delta_{j_1, j_2}(3)| = o_p(N^{-1})$.

For $\Delta_{j_1, j_2}(1)$, it holds that

$$\Delta_{j_1, j_2}(1) = \sum_{\substack{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_k = 1 \\ \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in \{0, 1\}}}^k \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|^2} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \prod_{\ell=1}^k \tilde{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})^{\xi_\ell} [\mathbb{E}\{\tilde{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})\}]^{1-\xi_\ell} B_1(\mathbf{v})$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell}) = \tilde{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell}) - \mathbb{E}\{\tilde{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})\}$ and

$$B_1(\mathbf{v}) = \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}_{j_1, j_2}(\mathbf{v})} \prod_{\ell \neq j_2} \mathbb{E}\{\varphi_\ell(Y_{\tilde{i}_\ell, \tilde{i}'_\ell})\}.$$

Same as (S.2) and (S.4), we have

$$\mathbb{E}\{|\Delta_{j_1, j_2}(1)|^2\} \leq \frac{C\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{V}}^3}{|\mathcal{V}|^3} = O(N^{-3}),$$

which implies $|\Delta_{j_1, j_2}(1)| = O_p(N^{-3/2}) = o_p(N^{-1})$. Notice that if $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}_{j_1, j_2}(\mathbf{v})$, then $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}_{j_2, j_1}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}})$. We can reformulate $\Delta_{j_1, j_2}(2)$ as

$$\Delta_{j_1, j_2}(2) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|^2} \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}} \left[\prod_{\ell \neq j_2} \varphi_\ell(Y_{\tilde{i}_\ell, \tilde{i}'_\ell}) - \prod_{\ell \neq j_2} \mathbb{E}\{\varphi_\ell(Y_{\tilde{i}_\ell, \tilde{i}'_\ell})\} \right] \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}_{j_2, j_1}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}})} \left[\prod_{\ell=1}^k \mathbb{E}\{\tilde{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})\} \right].$$

Following the same arguments to bound $\mathbb{E}\{|\Delta_{j_1, j_2}(1)|^2\}$, we have $|\Delta_{j_1, j_2}(2)| = o_p(N^{-1})$.

For $\Delta_{j_1, j_2}(3)$, we can reformulate it as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{j_1, j_2}(3) &= \sum_{\substack{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_k = 1 \\ \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in \{0, 1\}}}^k \sum_{\substack{\tilde{\xi}_1 + \dots + \tilde{\xi}_{j_2-1} + \tilde{\xi}_{j_2+1} + \dots + \tilde{\xi}_k = 1 \\ \tilde{\xi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\xi}_{j_2-1}, \tilde{\xi}_{j_2+1}, \dots, \tilde{\xi}_k \in \{0, 1\}}}^{k-1} \\ &\quad \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|^2} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^k \tilde{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})^{\xi_\ell} [\mathbb{E}\{\tilde{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})\}]^{1-\xi_\ell} \right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}_{j_1, j_2}(\mathbf{v})} \left(\prod_{\ell \neq j_2} \tilde{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{\tilde{i}_\ell, \tilde{i}'_\ell})^{\tilde{\xi}_\ell} [\mathbb{E}\{\varphi_\ell(Y_{\tilde{i}_\ell, \tilde{i}'_\ell})\}]^{1-\tilde{\xi}_\ell} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|^2} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^k \dot{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})^{\xi_\ell} [\mathbb{E}\{\tilde{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})\}]^{1-\xi_\ell} \right) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \times \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}_{j_1, j_2}(\mathbf{v})} \left(\prod_{\ell \neq j_2} \dot{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{\tilde{i}_\ell, \tilde{i}'_\ell})^{\tilde{\xi}_\ell} [\mathbb{E}\{\varphi_\ell(Y_{\tilde{i}_\ell, \tilde{i}'_\ell})\}]^{1-\tilde{\xi}_\ell} \right) \left. \right|^2 \Big\} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|^4} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^k \dot{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})^{\xi_\ell} [\mathbb{E}\{\tilde{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})\}]^{1-\xi_\ell} \right)^2 \right. \\
& \quad \times \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \left(\sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}_{j_1, j_2}(\mathbf{v})} \prod_{\ell \neq j_2} \dot{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{\tilde{i}_\ell, \tilde{i}'_\ell})^{\tilde{\xi}_\ell} [\mathbb{E}\{\varphi_\ell(Y_{\tilde{i}_\ell, \tilde{i}'_\ell})\}]^{1-\tilde{\xi}_\ell} \right)^2 \Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\tilde{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})$ is bounded, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|^2} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^k \dot{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})^{\xi_\ell} [\mathbb{E}\{\tilde{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})\}]^{1-\xi_\ell} \right) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \times \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}_{j_1, j_2}(\mathbf{v})} \left(\prod_{\ell \neq j_2} \dot{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{\tilde{i}_\ell, \tilde{i}'_\ell})^{\tilde{\xi}_\ell} [\mathbb{E}\{\varphi_\ell(Y_{\tilde{i}_\ell, \tilde{i}'_\ell})\}]^{1-\tilde{\xi}_\ell} \right) \left. \right|^2 \Big\} \\
& \leq \frac{C}{|\mathcal{V}|^3} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left(\sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}_{j_1, j_2}(\mathbf{v})} \prod_{\ell \neq j_2} \dot{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{\tilde{i}_\ell, \tilde{i}'_\ell})^{\tilde{\xi}_\ell} [\mathbb{E}\{\varphi_\ell(Y_{\tilde{i}_\ell, \tilde{i}'_\ell})\}]^{1-\tilde{\xi}_\ell} \right)^2 \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Same as (S.3), we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left\{ \left(\sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}_{j_1, j_2}(\mathbf{v})} \prod_{\ell \neq j_2} \dot{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{\tilde{i}_\ell, \tilde{i}'_\ell})^{\tilde{\xi}_\ell} [\mathbb{E}\{\varphi_\ell(Y_{\tilde{i}_\ell, \tilde{i}'_\ell})\}]^{1-\tilde{\xi}_\ell} \right)^2 \right\} \leq C \aleph_{\mathcal{V}} \aleph_{\mathcal{V}} (k-2),$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|^2} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^k \hat{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell}) \right)^{\xi_\ell} [\mathbb{E}\{\hat{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})\}]^{1-\xi_\ell} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \times \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}_{j_1, j_2}(\mathbf{v})} \left(\prod_{\ell \neq j_2} \hat{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, \tilde{i}'_\ell})^{\tilde{\xi}_\ell} [\mathbb{E}\{\varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, \tilde{i}'_\ell})\}]^{1-\tilde{\xi}_\ell} \right) \left. \right|^2 \Big\} \\
& \leq \frac{C \aleph_{\mathcal{V}} \aleph_{\mathcal{V}}(k-2)}{|\mathcal{V}|^2} = o\left(\frac{\aleph_{\mathcal{V}}^2}{|\mathcal{V}|^2}\right) = o(N^{-2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Then $|\Delta_{j_1, j_2}(3)| = o_p(N^{-1})$. We complete the proof of Theorem 4. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 4

To simplify the notation, we write $\hat{T}_{\mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$, $\tilde{T}_{\mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$ and $T_{\mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$ as $\hat{T}_{\mathcal{V}}$, $\tilde{T}_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $T_{\mathcal{V}}$, respectively. For given $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k \in \{0, 1\}$, we define $\hat{\varphi}_\ell(x) = (x - \tilde{\alpha})^{\tau_\ell} (1 - \tilde{\beta} - x)^{1-\tau_\ell}$ for $x \in \{0, 1\}$. Recall that

$$\tilde{T}_{\mathcal{V}} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \prod_{\ell=1}^k \varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell}).$$

As we have shown in Proposition 2 that $|\tilde{T}_{\mathcal{V}} - T_{\mathcal{V}}| = O_p(N^{-1/2})$. To show $|\hat{T}_{\mathcal{V}} - T_{\mathcal{V}}| = O_p(N^{-1/2})$, we only need to prove $|\hat{T}_{\mathcal{V}} - \tilde{T}_{\mathcal{V}}| = O_p(N^{-1/2})$.

For each $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$, we have the following identity

$$\prod_{\ell=1}^k \hat{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell}) - \prod_{\ell=1}^k \varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell}) = \sum_{\substack{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_k = 1 \\ \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in \{0, 1\}}} \prod_{\ell=1}^k \{\hat{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell}) - \varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})\}^{\xi_\ell} \{\varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})\}^{1-\xi_\ell}.$$

Recall that $\hat{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell}) - \varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell}) = (\alpha - \tilde{\alpha})^{\tau_\ell} (\beta - \tilde{\beta})^{1-\tau_\ell}$ and $Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell} \in \{0, 1\}$. Let $r_{\max} =$

$\max\{|\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha|, |\tilde{\beta} - \beta|\}$. Notice that $r_{\max} = O_p(N^{-1/2})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \prod_{\ell=1}^k \hat{\varphi}_{\ell}(Y_{i_{\ell}, i'_{\ell}}) - \prod_{\ell=1}^k \varphi_{\ell}(Y_{i_{\ell}, i'_{\ell}}) \right| &\leq \sum_{\substack{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_k = 1 \\ \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in \{0,1\}}}^k \prod_{\ell=1}^k (|\hat{\alpha} - \alpha|^{\tau_{\ell}} |\hat{\beta} - \beta|^{1-\tau_{\ell}})^{\xi_{\ell}} \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_k = 1 \\ \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in \{0,1\}}}^k r_{\max}^{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_k} = \sum_{\ell=1}^k C_k^{\ell} r_{\max}^{\ell}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $|\hat{T}_{\mathcal{V}} - \tilde{T}_{\mathcal{V}}| \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^k C_k^{\ell} r_{\max}^{\ell} = O_p(N^{-1/2})$.

Recall that $\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha = O_p(N^{-1/2})$, $\tilde{\beta} - \beta = O_p(N^{-1/2})$ and $\hat{T}_{\mathcal{V}} - T_{\mathcal{V}} = O_p(N^{-1/2})$. It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{N}(\hat{C}_{\mathcal{V}} - C_{\mathcal{V}}) &= \frac{\sqrt{N}\hat{T}_{\mathcal{V}}}{(1 - \tilde{\alpha} - \tilde{\beta})^k} - \frac{\sqrt{N}T_{\mathcal{V}}}{(1 - \alpha - \beta)^k} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{N}(\hat{T}_{\mathcal{V}} - T_{\mathcal{V}})}{(1 - \alpha - \beta)^k} + \frac{kT_{\mathcal{V}}\sqrt{N}(\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha)}{(1 - \alpha - \beta)^{k+1}} + \frac{kT_{\mathcal{V}}\sqrt{N}(\tilde{\beta} - \beta)}{(1 - \alpha - \beta)^{k+1}} + O_p(N^{-1/2}) \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{N}(\hat{T}_{\mathcal{V}} - T_{\mathcal{V}})}{(1 - \alpha - \beta)^k} + \frac{kC_{\mathcal{V}}\sqrt{N}(\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha)}{1 - \alpha - \beta} + \frac{kC_{\mathcal{V}}\sqrt{N}(\tilde{\beta} - \beta)}{1 - \alpha - \beta} + O_p(N^{-1/2}). \end{aligned} \tag{S.9}$$

In the sequel, we will specify the leading term of $\sqrt{N}(\hat{T}_{\mathcal{V}} - T_{\mathcal{V}})$. Notice that $\sqrt{N}(\hat{T}_{\mathcal{V}} - T_{\mathcal{V}}) = \sqrt{N}(\hat{T}_{\mathcal{V}} - \tilde{T}_{\mathcal{V}}) + \sqrt{N}(\tilde{T}_{\mathcal{V}} - T_{\mathcal{V}})$. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T}_{\mathcal{V}} - \tilde{T}_{\mathcal{V}} &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \prod_{\ell=1}^k \hat{\varphi}_{\ell}(Y_{i_{\ell}, i'_{\ell}}) - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \prod_{\ell=1}^k \varphi_{\ell}(Y_{i_{\ell}, i'_{\ell}}) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{\substack{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_k = 1 \\ \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in \{0,1\}}}^k \prod_{\ell=1}^k \{\hat{\varphi}_{\ell}(Y_{i_{\ell}, i'_{\ell}}) - \varphi_{\ell}(Y_{i_{\ell}, i'_{\ell}})\}^{\xi_{\ell}} \{\varphi_{\ell}(Y_{i_{\ell}, i'_{\ell}})\}^{1-\xi_{\ell}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\hat{\varphi}_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell}) - \varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell}) = (\alpha - \tilde{\alpha})^{\tau_\ell} (\beta - \tilde{\beta})^{1-\tau_\ell}$, we have that

$$\hat{T}_\mathcal{V} - \tilde{T}_\mathcal{V} = \sum_{\substack{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_k = 1 \\ \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in \{0, 1\}}}^k (\alpha - \tilde{\alpha})^{\sum_{\ell=1}^k \tau_\ell \xi_\ell} (\beta - \tilde{\beta})^{\sum_{\ell=1}^k (1-\tau_\ell) \xi_\ell} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \prod_{\ell=1}^k \{\varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})\}^{1-\xi_\ell}.$$

If $\sum_{\ell=1}^k \xi_\ell \geq 2$, then

$$(\alpha - \tilde{\alpha})^{\sum_{\ell=1}^k \tau_\ell \xi_\ell} (\beta - \tilde{\beta})^{\sum_{\ell=1}^k (1-\tau_\ell) \xi_\ell} = O_p(N^{-1}).$$

for any $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_k \in \{0, 1\}$. Due to $|\varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})| \leq \max\{1 - \alpha, \alpha, 1 - \beta, \beta\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T}_\mathcal{V} - \tilde{T}_\mathcal{V} &= \sum_{\substack{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_k = 1 \\ \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in \{0, 1\}}}^k (\alpha - \tilde{\alpha})^{\sum_{\ell=1}^k \tau_\ell \xi_\ell} (\beta - \tilde{\beta})^{\sum_{\ell=1}^k (1-\tau_\ell) \xi_\ell} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \prod_{\ell=1}^k \{\varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})\}^{1-\xi_\ell} + O_p(N^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k (\alpha - \tilde{\alpha})^{\tau_j} (\beta - \tilde{\beta})^{1-\tau_j} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \prod_{\ell \neq j} \varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell}) + O_p(N^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Similar to (S.4), we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \prod_{\ell \neq j} \varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell}) - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \prod_{\ell \neq j} \mathbb{E}\{\varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})\} \right| = O_p\left(\sqrt{\frac{\aleph_\mathcal{V}}{|\mathcal{V}|}}\right)$$

for any $j = 1, \dots, k$. Since $\aleph_\mathcal{V}/|\mathcal{V}| \asymp N^{-1}$, it holds that

$$\hat{T}_\mathcal{V} - \tilde{T}_\mathcal{V} = \sum_{j=1}^k (\alpha - \tilde{\alpha})^{\tau_j} (\beta - \tilde{\beta})^{1-\tau_j} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \prod_{\ell \neq j} \mathbb{E}\{\varphi_\ell(Y_{i_\ell, i'_\ell})\} + O_p(N^{-1}).$$

As we have shown in (S.5),

$$\tilde{T}_{\mathcal{V}} - T_{\mathcal{V}} = \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{1-\tau_j}}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \left[\dot{Y}_{i_j, i'_j} \prod_{\ell \neq j} \mathbb{E}\{\varphi_{\ell}(Y_{i_{\ell}, i'_{\ell}})\} \right] + o_p(N^{-1/2}).$$

Thus, it follows from (S.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{N}(\hat{C}_{\mathcal{V}} - C_{\mathcal{V}}) &= \frac{\sqrt{N}}{(1-\alpha-\beta)^k} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{1-\tau_j}}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \left[\dot{Y}_{i_j, i'_j} \prod_{\ell \neq j} \mathbb{E}\{\varphi_{\ell}(Y_{i_{\ell}, i'_{\ell}})\} \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{(1-\alpha-\beta)^k} \sum_{j=1}^k \sqrt{N}(\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha)^{\tau_j} (\tilde{\beta} - \beta)^{1-\tau_j} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \prod_{\ell \neq j} \mathbb{E}\{\varphi_{\ell}(Y_{i_{\ell}, i'_{\ell}})\} \\ &\quad + \frac{kC_{\mathcal{V}}\sqrt{N}(\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha)}{1-\alpha-\beta} + \frac{kC_{\mathcal{V}}\sqrt{N}(\tilde{\beta} - \beta)}{1-\alpha-\beta} + o_p(1). \end{aligned}$$

We complete the proof of Proposition 4. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 5

Recall that $\hat{u}_1 - u_1 = (2N)^{-1} \sum_{i \neq j} \dot{Y}_{i,j}$, $\hat{u}_2 - u_2 = (4N)^{-1} \sum_{i \neq j} \{\eta_{i,j} - \mathbb{E}(\eta_{i,j})\}$ and $\hat{u}_3 - u_3 = (6N)^{-1} \sum_{i \neq j} \{\xi_{i,j} - \mathbb{E}(\xi_{i,j})\}$ with $\eta_{i,j} = |Y_{i,j,*} - Y_{i,j}|$ and $\xi_{i,j} = I(Y_{i,j,**} - 2Y_{i,j,*} + Y_{i,j} = 1 \text{ or } -2)$. Let $\dot{\eta}_{i,j} = \eta_{i,j} - \mathbb{E}(\eta_{i,j})$ and $\dot{\xi}_{i,j} = \xi_{i,j} - \mathbb{E}(\xi_{i,j})$. Define $\kappa_1 = \alpha(1-\alpha)$ and $\kappa_2 = \beta(1-\beta)$. Due to $\{(Y_{i,j}, Y_{i,j,*}, Y_{i,j,**})\}_{i < j}$ are independent, and $Y_{i,j} = Y_{j,i}$, $Y_{i,j,*} = Y_{j,i,*}$ and $Y_{i,j,**} = Y_{j,i,**}$, thus $\mathbb{E}(\dot{Y}_{s_1, t_1} \dot{Y}_{s_2, t_2}) = A_{s_1, t_1} \kappa_2 + (1 - A_{s_1, t_1}) \kappa_1$ if $\{s_1, t_1\} = \{s_2, t_2\}$, $\mathbb{E}(\dot{Y}_{s_1, t_1} \dot{Y}_{s_2, t_2}) = 0$ if $\{s_1, t_1\} \neq \{s_2, t_2\}$, $\mathbb{E}(\dot{Y}_{s_1, t_1} \dot{\eta}_{s_2, t_2}) = A_{s_1, t_1} \kappa_2 (2\beta - 1) + (1 - A_{s_1, t_1}) \kappa_1 (1 - 2\alpha)$ if $\{s_1, t_1\} = \{s_2, t_2\}$, $\mathbb{E}(\dot{Y}_{s_1, t_1} \dot{\eta}_{s_2, t_2}) = 0$ if $\{s_1, t_1\} \neq \{s_2, t_2\}$, $\mathbb{E}(\dot{Y}_{s_1, t_1} \dot{\xi}_{s_2, t_2}) = A_{s_1, t_1} \kappa_2 (\beta^2 - 2\kappa_2) + (1 - A_{s_1, t_1}) \kappa_1 \{(1 - \alpha)^2 - 2\kappa_1\}$ if $\{s_1, t_1\} = \{s_2, t_2\}$ and $\mathbb{E}(\dot{Y}_{s_1, t_1} \dot{\xi}_{s_2, t_2}) = 0$ if $\{s_1, t_1\} \neq \{s_2, t_2\}$. Notice that $\sqrt{N}\{\hat{C}_{\mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k) - C_{\mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)\} = S_{\mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k) + \Xi_{\mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$ with $\Xi_{\mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k) = \Delta_{\alpha, \mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k) \sqrt{N}(\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha) + \Delta_{\beta, \mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k) \sqrt{N}(\tilde{\beta} - \beta)$. The asymptotic variances of $S_{\mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$ has been specified in (22) and the asymptotic variance of $\Xi_{\mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$ can be obtained via Theorems 2 and 3. Here we only need to

specify $\text{Cov}\{S_{\mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k), \Xi_{\mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)\}$. Due to $\Xi_{\mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$ is a linear combination of $\sqrt{N}(\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha)$ and $\sqrt{N}(\tilde{\beta} - \beta)$, and the leading terms of $\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha$ and $\tilde{\beta} - \beta$ are both linear combinations of $\hat{u}_1 - u_1$, $\hat{u}_2 - u_2$ and $\hat{u}_3 - u_3$, then the leading term of $\Xi_{\mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$ is also a linear combination of $\hat{u}_1 - u_1$, $\hat{u}_2 - u_2$ and $\hat{u}_3 - u_3$. We first calculate a more general result $\text{Cov}\{S_{\mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k), x_1\sqrt{N}(\hat{u}_1 - u_1) + x_2\sqrt{N}(\hat{u}_2 - u_2) + x_3\sqrt{N}(\hat{u}_3 - u_3)\}$ for any $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Cov}\{S_{\mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k), x_1\sqrt{N}(\hat{u}_1 - u_1) + x_2\sqrt{N}(\hat{u}_2 - u_2) + x_3\sqrt{N}(\hat{u}_3 - u_3)\} \\
&= \frac{x_1}{2(1 - \alpha - \beta)^k} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{1-\tau_j}}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{s \neq t} \mathbb{E}(\dot{Y}_{i_j, i'_j} \dot{Y}_{s, t}) \prod_{\ell \neq j} \mathbb{E}\{\varphi_{\ell}(Y_{i_{\ell}, i'_{\ell}})\} \\
&+ \frac{x_2}{4(1 - \alpha - \beta)^k} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{1-\tau_j}}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{s \neq t} \mathbb{E}(\dot{Y}_{i_j, i'_j} \dot{\eta}_{s, t}) \prod_{\ell \neq j} \mathbb{E}\{\varphi_{\ell}(Y_{i_{\ell}, i'_{\ell}})\} \\
&+ \frac{x_3}{6(1 - \alpha - \beta)^k} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{1-\tau_j}}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{s \neq t} \mathbb{E}(\dot{Y}_{i_j, i'_j} \dot{\xi}_{s, t}) \prod_{\ell \neq j} \mathbb{E}\{\varphi_{\ell}(Y_{i_{\ell}, i'_{\ell}})\} \\
&= \left\{ \frac{x_1(\kappa_2 - \kappa_1)}{1 - \alpha - \beta} + \frac{x_2\{\kappa_2(2\beta - 1) - \kappa_1(1 - 2\alpha)\}}{2(1 - \alpha - \beta)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{x_3[\kappa_2(\beta^2 - 2\kappa_2) - \kappa_1\{(1 - \alpha)^2 - 2\kappa_1\}]}{3(1 - \alpha - \beta)} \right\} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{1-\tau_j} C_{\mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{j-1}, 1, \tau_{j+1}, \dots, \tau_k) \\
&+ \left[\frac{x_1\kappa_1}{(1 - \alpha - \beta)^k} + \frac{x_2\kappa_1(1 - 2\alpha)}{2(1 - \alpha - \beta)^k} + \frac{x_3\kappa_1\{(1 - \alpha)^2 - 2\kappa_1\}}{3(1 - \alpha - \beta)^k} \right] \\
&\quad \times \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{1-\tau_j}}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \prod_{\ell \neq j} \mathbb{E}\{\varphi_{\ell}(Y_{i_{\ell}, i'_{\ell}})\}. \tag{S.10}
\end{aligned}$$

If α is known, we have $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha$ and $\tilde{\beta} = \hat{\beta}$. Then $\Xi_{\mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k) = \Delta_{\beta, \mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)\sqrt{N}(\hat{\beta} - \beta)$. As we have shown in the proof of Theorem 2, $\hat{\beta} - \beta = g_{\beta, 1}(\hat{u}_1 - u_1) + g_{\beta, 2}(\hat{u}_2 - u_2) + o_p(N^{-1/2})$. With selecting $x_1 = g_{\beta, 1}\Delta_{\beta, \mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$, $x_2 = g_{\beta, 2}\Delta_{\beta, \mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$ and $x_3 = 0$

in (S.10), we then have part (i).

If β is known, we have $\tilde{\alpha} = \hat{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta} = \beta$. Then $\Xi_{\mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k) = \Delta_{\alpha, \mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k) \sqrt{N}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha)$. As we have shown in the proof of Theorem 2, $\hat{\alpha} - \alpha = g_{\alpha,1}(\hat{u}_1 - u_1) + g_{\alpha,2}(\hat{u}_2 - u_2) + o_p(N^{-1/2})$. With selecting $x_1 = g_{\alpha,1}\Delta_{\alpha, \mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$, $x_2 = g_{\alpha,2}\Delta_{\alpha, \mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$ and $x_3 = 0$ in (S.10), we then have part (ii).

If α and β are unknown, we have $\tilde{\alpha} = \hat{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta} = \hat{\beta}$. Then $\Xi_{\mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k) = \Delta_{\alpha, \mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k) \sqrt{N}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha) + \Delta_{\beta, \mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k) \sqrt{N}(\hat{\beta} - \beta)$. As we have shown in the proof of Theorem 3, $\hat{\alpha} - \alpha = g_{\alpha,1}(\hat{u}_1 - u_1) + g_{\alpha,2}(\hat{u}_2 - u_2) + g_{\alpha,3}(\hat{u}_3 - u_3) + o_p(N^{-1/2})$ and $\hat{\beta} - \beta = g_{\beta,1}(\hat{u}_1 - u_1) + g_{\beta,2}(\hat{u}_2 - u_2) + g_{\beta,3}(\hat{u}_3 - u_3) + o_p(N^{-1/2})$. With selecting $x_1 = g_{\alpha,1}\Delta_{\alpha, \mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k) + g_{\beta,1}\Delta_{\beta, \mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$, $x_2 = g_{\alpha,2}\Delta_{\alpha, \mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k) + g_{\beta,2}\Delta_{\beta, \mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$ and $x_3 = g_{\alpha,3}\Delta_{\alpha, \mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k) + g_{\beta,3}\Delta_{\beta, \mathcal{V}}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$ in (S.10), we then have part (iii). $\square$

References

- Le Cam, L. (1973). Convergence of estimates under dimensionality restrictions. *Annals of Statistics*, **1**, 38–53.
- Le Cam, L. (2012). *Asymptotic methods in statistical decision theory*. Springer Science & Business Media.